

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE 香港民意研究所

Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111 Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361

Website 網址: https://www.pori.hk

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang

地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

### 2023年4月18日 新聞公報

### 香港民研發放司局長民望數字

### 特別宣佈

香港民意研究所(香港民研)前身為香港大學民意研究計劃(港大民研)。公報內的「香港民研」指的可以是香港民意研究所或其前身港大民研。

香港民研在去年六月啟動「一國兩制 25 周年中期民情總結」,至今已發表了 22 次歷年數據總結,餘下最後 3 次總結,將於本年六月底前完成。此外,由 2022 年下半年開始,我們已經把定期民意調查和記招的次數大幅減少,改為集中資源進行公民教育工作。

我們已在網站開展了「主席的話」、「民研快訊:問與答」、「民研快訊:最新消息」等多個欄目, 作為公民教育的公開材料,我們會繼續豐富內容,並逐漸增加服務項目。歡迎到我們的網站查 看更多內容,並追蹤我們的 Facebook、Instagram 和 Twitter 帳號,以獲得額外圖表和分析。

### 公報簡要

香港民研於三月由真實訪問員以隨機抽樣電話訪問方式成功訪問了1,026名香港居民。

最新調查顯示,政務司司長陳國基的支持度評分為 45.3 分,民望淨值為正 7 個百分點。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 55.5 分,民望淨值為正 29 個百分點。律政司司長林定國的支持度評分為 43.4 分,民望淨值為正 3 個百分點。三名司長的評分和支持度淨值與三個月前相比皆沒有顯著變化。局長方面,排名最高的是公務員事務局局長楊何蓓茵,最低的則是教育局局長蔡若蓮。另外,十五名局長中只有蔡若蓮的民望淨值為負數。對比半年前,鄧炳強和曾國衞的支持率淨值錄得大幅度及顯著下跌。

調查的實效回應比率為 42.8%。在 95%置信水平下,調查的百分比誤差不超過+/-4%,淨值誤差不超過+/-8%,評分誤差不超過+/-2.0。

### 樣本資料

調查日期 : 6-20/3/2023

調查方法 : 由真實訪問員進行隨機抽樣電話訪問

訪問對象 : 18 歲或以上操粵語的香港居民

成功樣本數目[1] : 1,026 (包括 501 個固網及 525 個手機樣本)

實效回應比率 : 42.8%

抽樣誤差[2] : 在95%置信水平下,百分比誤差不超過+/-4%,淨值誤差不超過+/-8%,評分

誤差不超過+/-2.0

加權方法 : 按照政府統計處提供的統計數字以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。全港人口

年齡及性別分佈統計數字來自《二零二一年年中人口數字》,而教育程度(最高就讀程度)及經濟活動身分統計數字則來自《香港的女性及男性 - 主要統

計數字》(2021年版)。

[1] 數字為調查的總樣本數目,個別題目則可能只涉及次樣本。有關數字請參閱下列數表內列出的樣本數目。

[2] 此公報中所有誤差數字均以 95%置信水平計算。95%置信水平,是指倘若以不同隨機樣本重複進行有關調查 100 次,則 95 次各自計算出的誤差範圍會包含人口真實數字。由於調查數字涉及抽樣誤差,傳媒引用百分比 數字時,應避免使用小數點,在引用評分數字時,則可以使用一個小數點。

### 最新數據

以下是各問責司長的最新民望數字:

調查日期	23/2/22[3]	7-11/3/22	30/4-6/5/22	<u>5-9/9/22</u>	5-9/12/22	6-20/3/23	最新變化	
樣本數目	917	559-620	579-660	1,002	1,004	1,026		
回應比率	47.6%	51.5%	41.5%	48.6%	60.2%	42.8%		
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差		
	政務	司司長李家	超		政務司司	長陳國基		
政務司司長評分[4]		34.8		46.8 44.3		45.3+/-1.9	+1.1	
出任政務司司長支持率[4]		24%		26%	25%	26+/-3%	+1%	
出任政務司司長反對率[4]		38%		13% 18% <sup>[5]</sup>		19+/-2%	+1%	
支持率淨值[4]		-14%		13%	7% <b>7</b> +/ <b>-4%</b>			
			財政	文司司長陳茂波				
財政司司長評分	47.6 <sup>[5]</sup>	44.7	50.3 <sup>[5]</sup>	58.4 <sup>[5]</sup>	55.4 <sup>[5]</sup>	55.5+/-1.8	+0.1	
出任財政司司長支持率	36%	36%	42% [5]	55% <sup>[5]</sup>	50% <sup>[5]</sup>	50+/-3%	+1%	
出任財政司司長反對率	30%	26%	16%[5]	17% 21% <sup>[5]</sup>		21+/-3%		
支持率淨值	6%	11%	27% <sup>[5]</sup>	39% <sup>[5]</sup>	29% <sup>[5]</sup>	29+/-5%	+1%	
	律政	司司長鄭君	<b>詩驊</b>	律政司司長林定國				
律政司司長評分		26.6	30.3 <sup>[5]</sup>	45.9	43.8	43.4+/-2.0	-0.5	
出任律政司司長支持率		11%	12%	29%	30%	27+/-3%	-2%	
出任律政司司長反對率		50%	45%	17%	23% <sup>[5]</sup>	24+/-3%	+1%	
支持率淨值		-39%	-32%	12%	6%	3+/-5%	-3%	

- [3] 調查為財政預算案即時調查,只問及財政司司長評分及支持率。
- [4] 李家超已於 2022 年 4 月初辭任政務司司長。
- [5] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在95%置信水平的抽樣誤差,表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過,變化在統計學上成立與否,並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義,而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

調查顯示,政務司司長陳國基的支持度評分為 45.3 分,其支持率為 26%,反對率為 19%,民 望淨值為正 7 個百分點。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 55.5 分,支持率為 50%,反對率 為 21%,民望淨值為正 29 個百分點。律政司司長林定國的支持度評分為 43.4 分,其支持率為 27%,反對率為 24%,民望淨值為正 3 個百分點。三名司長的評分和支持度淨值與三個月前相 比皆沒有顯著變化。

### 以下是各局長的最新民望數字,按支持率淨值排列<sup>[6]</sup>:

調査日期	7-11/3/22	31/5-5/6/22	5-9/9/22	6-20/3/23	最新變化
 	575-596	569-599	505-516	518-526	
回應比率	51.5%	39.8%	48.6%	42.8%	
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	
楊何蓓茵出任公務員事務局局長支持率			27%	29+/-4%	+3%
楊何蓓茵出任公務員事務局局長反對率			8%	12+/-3%	+4%
支持率淨值			18%	17+/-5%	-1%
林世雄出任運輸及物流局局長支持率			25%	28+/-4%	+3%
林世雄出任運輸及物流局局長反對率			9%	13+/-3%	+ <b>4</b> % <sup>[7]</sup>
支持率淨值			15%	<i>15+/-6%</i>	-1%
丘應樺出任商務及經濟發展局局長支持率			28%	30+/-4%	+2%
丘應樺出任商務及經濟發展局局長反對率			10%	<i>16+/-3%</i>	+ <b>6%</b> <sup>[7]</sup>
支持率淨值			19%	<i>15+/-6%</i>	-4%
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長支持率	17%	21%	27% <sup>[7]</sup>	27+/-4%	-1%
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長反對率	15% <sup>[7]</sup>	15%	$9\%^{[7]}$	12+/-3%	+4%
支持率淨值	2%[7]	5%	19% <sup>[7]</sup>	<i>15+/-5%</i>	-4%
孫玉菡出任勞工及福利局局長支持率			31%	29+/-4%	-2%
孫玉菡出任勞工及福利局局長反對率			12%	<i>17+/-3%</i>	+ <b>6</b> % <sup>[7]</sup>
支持率淨值			19%	12+/-6%	-7%
麥美娟出任民政及青年事務局局長支持率			41%	40+/-4%	-1%
麥美娟出任民政及青年事務局局長反對率			26%	<i>29+/-4%</i>	+3%
支持率淨值			15%	<i>10+/-7%</i>	-4%
楊潤雄出任文化體育及旅遊局局長支持率			36%	37+/-4%	+1%
楊潤雄出任文化體育及旅遊局局長反對率			26%	27+/-4%	+1%
支持率淨值			9%	10+/-7%	+1%
謝展寰出任環境及生態局局長支持率			24%	24+/-4%	
謝展寰出任環境及生態局局長反對率			9%	<i>14+/-3%</i>	+5% <sup>[7]</sup>
支持率淨值			15%	10+/-5%	-5%
何永賢出任房屋局局長支持率			26%	30+/-4%	+4%
何永賢出任房屋局局長反對率			13%	21+/-4%	+8% <sup>[7]</sup>
支持率淨值			13%	9+/-6%	-4%
盧寵茂出任醫務衞生局局長支持率			41%	41+/-4%	
盧寵茂出任醫務衞生局局長反對率			24%	<i>32+/-4%</i>	+8% <sup>[7]</sup>
支持率淨值			17%	9+/-7%	-8%
甯漢豪出任發展局局長支持率			22%	23+/-4%	+1%
甯漢豪出任發展局局長反對率			9%	<i>16+/-3%</i>	+ <b>7%</b> <sup>[7]</sup>
支持率淨值			13%	8+/-6%	-6%
鄧炳強出任保安局局長支持率	38%	44% <sup>[7]</sup>	53% <sup>[7]</sup>	42+/-4%	-11%[7]
鄧炳強出任保安局局長反對率	39%	34%	$28\%^{[7]}$	<i>35+/-4%</i>	+ <b>7%</b> <sup>[7]</sup>

調查日期	7-11/3/22	31/5-5/6/22	<u>5-9/9/22</u>	6-20/3/23	最新變化
樣本數目	575-596	569-599	505-516	518-526	
回應比率	51.5%	39.8%	48.6%	42.8%	
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	
支持率淨值	-1%	10% <sup>[7]</sup>	25% <sup>[7]</sup>	7+/-8%	-18%[7]
曾國衞出任政制及內地事務局局長支持率	23%	25%	39% <sup>[7]</sup>	31+/-4%	-7% <sup>[7]</sup>
曾國衞出任政制及內地事務局局長反對率	27% <sup>[7]</sup>	24%	16% <sup>[7]</sup>	25+/-4%	+ <b>9</b> % <sup>[7]</sup>
支持率淨值	-4%	1%	22% <sup>[7]</sup>	7+/-7%	-16% <sup>[7]</sup>
孫東出任創新科技及工業局局長支持率			24%	26+/-4%	+2%
孫東出任創新科技及工業局局長反對率			14%	21+/-4%	+7%[7]
支持率淨值			10%	5+/ <b>-6</b> %	-5%
蔡若蓮出任教育局局長支持率			32%	31+/-4%	-1%
蔡若蓮出任教育局局長反對率			32%	33+/-4%	+2%
支持率淨值			1%	-2+/-7%	-3%

<sup>[6]</sup> 如四捨五入後的數字相同,則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

局長方面,只有教育局長蔡若蓮的民望淨值為負數,排名由高至低分別是公務員事務局局長楊何蓓茵、運輸及物流局局長林世雄、商務及經濟發展局局長丘應樺、財經事務及庫務局局長許正宇、勞工及福利局局長孫玉菡、民政及青年事務局局長麥美娟、文化體育及旅遊局局長楊潤雄、環境及生態局局長謝展寰、房屋局局長何永賢、醫務衞生局局長盧寵茂、發展局局長甯漢豪、保安局局長鄧炳強、政制及內地事務局局長曾國衞、創新科技及工業局局長孫東和教育局局長蔡若蓮。對比半年前,鄧炳強和曾國衞的支持率淨值錄得大幅度及顯著下跌。

根據香港民研的標準,暫時沒有官員屬於「表現理想」,只有陳茂波屬於「表現成功」,鄧炳強、盧寵茂、麥美娟、楊潤雄、曾國衞、蔡若蓮、何永賢及林定國屬於「表現一般」,丘應樺、孫玉菡、楊何蓓茵、林世雄、許正宇、陳國基、孫東、謝展寰及甯漢豪屬於「表現不彰」,沒有官員屬於「表現失敗」或「表現拙劣」。

以下是各司局長民望級別總表:

### 「表現理想」: 支持率超過66%者,以支持率排名[8],即括弧內數字

沒有官員

### 「表現成功」: 支持率超過 50%者,以支持率排名[8],即括弧內數字

財政司司長陳茂波(50%)

### 「表現一般」: 非其他五類者,以支持率排名[8],即括弧內數字

保安局局長鄧炳強(42%)

醫務衞牛局局長盧寵茂(41%)

民政及青年事務局局長麥美娟(40%)

文化體育及旅遊局局長楊潤雄(37%)

政制及內地事務局局長曾國衞(31%)

教育局局長蔡若蓮(31%)

房屋局局長何永賢(30%)

律政司司長林定國(27%)

<sup>[7]</sup> 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在95%置信水平的抽樣誤差,表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過,變化在統計學上成立與否,並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義,而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

### 「表現不彰」: 認知率不足 50%者,以支持率排名[8],括弧內第一數字為支持率,第二數字為認知率

商務及經濟發展局局長丘應樺(30%,46%)

勞工及福利局局長孫玉菡(29%,47%)

公務員事務局局長楊何蓓茵(29%,41%)

運輸及物流局局長林世雄(28%,41%)

財經事務及庫務局局長許正宇(27%,39%)

政務司司長陳國基(26%,45%)

創新科技及工業局局長孫東(26%,47%)

環境及生態局局長謝展寰(24%,38%)

發展局局長甯漢豪(23%,39%)

### 「表現失敗」:反對率超過50%者,以反對率排名[8],即括弧內數字

沒有官員

### 「表現拙劣」:反對率超過66%者,以反對率排名[8],即括弧內數字

沒有官員

[8] 如四捨五入後的數字相同,則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

### 下次新聞公報/發佈會(暫定)

■ [發佈會] 4 月 26 日(星期三)下午三時 特首及政府民望;

「一國兩制 25 周年中期民情總結」之政府民望與生活快樂程度的關係



Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111 Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361

Website 網址: https://www.pori.hk

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang

地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

### Press Release on April 18, 2023

# HKPORI releases popularity figures of Secretaries of Departments and Directors of Bureaux

#### **Special Announcements**

The predecessor of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI) was Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). "HKPORI" in this release can refer to Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute or its predecessor HKUPOP.

HKPORI launched the "One Country Two Systems 25-year Mid-term Review" in June last year. Since then, 22 wrap ups of historical data have been released, and the last 3 wrap ups will be completed by the end of June this year. Besides, starting from the second half of 2022, we have already greatly reduced the frequency of our tracking polls and press conferences to channel our resources into civic education work.

We have already launched multiple new columns like "From the President", "PORI Express: Q&A", "PORI Express: Latest News" in our website as our civic education materials for the public. We will continue to enrich its content and gradually increase the number of service items. Please visit our website for more contents and follow us on Facebook, Instagram and Twitter to see extra charts and analyses.

#### **Abstract**

HKPORI successfully interviewed 1,026 Hong Kong residents by a random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers in March.

Our latest survey shows that the support rating of CS Eric Chan is 45.3 marks, and his net popularity is positive 7 percentage points. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 55.5 marks, and his net popularity is positive 29 percentage points. As for SJ Paul Lam, his support rating is 43.4 marks, while his net popularity stands at positive 3 percentage points. The support ratings and net popularities of all three Secretaries of Departments have not changed much over the past three months. As for the Directors of Bureaux, Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung ranks the first while Secretary for Education Christine Choi ranks at the bottom and she is also the only one among all 15 Directors who got a negative net approval rate. Compared to half a year ago, the net approval rates of Chris Tang and Erick Tsang have decreased sharply and significantly.

The effective response rate of the survey is 42.8%. The maximum sampling error of percentages is  $\pm -4\%$ , that of net values is  $\pm -8\%$  and that of ratings is  $\pm -2.0$  at 95% confidence level.

#### **Contact Information**

Date of survey : 6-20/3/2023

Survey method : Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers

Target population : Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above

Sample size<sup>[1]</sup> : 1,026 (including 501 landline and 525 mobile samples)

Effective response rate : 42.8%

Sampling error<sup>[2]</sup> : Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4%, that of net values not

more than  $\pm -8\%$  and that of ratings not more than  $\pm -2.0$  at 95% conf. level

Weighting method : Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics

Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from "Mid-year population for 2021", while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from "Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2021 Edition)".

#### **Latest Figures**

Recent popularity figures of the Secretaries of Departments under the accountability system are summarized below:

Date of survey	23/2/22 <sup>[3]</sup>	7-11/3/22	30/4-6/5/22	5-9/9/22	5-9/12/22	6-20/3/23	<u>Latest</u> change	
Sample size	917	559-620	579-660	1,002	1,004	1,026		
Response rate	47.6%	51.5%	41.5%	48.6%	60.2%	42.8%		
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error		
	C	S John L	ee	CS Eric Chan				
Rating of CS [4]		34.8		46.8	44.3	45.3+/-1.9	+1.1	
Vote of confidence in CS [4]		24%		26%	25%	26+/-3%	+1%	
Vote of no confidence in CS [4]		38%		13%	18%[5]	19+/-2%	+1%	
Net approval rate [4]		-14%		13%	7%	7+/-4%		
			F	S Paul Chan				
Rating of FS	47.6 <sup>[5]</sup>	44.7	50.3 <sup>[5]</sup>	58.4 <sup>[5]</sup>	55.4 <sup>[5]</sup>	<i>55.5+/-1.8</i>	+0.1	
Vote of confidence in FS	36%	36%	42%[5]	55% <sup>[5]</sup>	50% <sup>[5]</sup> <b>50+/-3</b> %		+1%	
Vote of no confidence in FS	30%	26%	16% <sup>[5]</sup>	17%	21% <sup>[5]</sup>	21+/-3%		
Net approval rate	6%	11%	27% <sup>[5]</sup>	39% <sup>[5]</sup>	29%[5]	29+/-5%	+1%	

<sup>[1]</sup> This figure is the total sample size of the survey. Some questions may only involve a subsample, the size of which can be found in the tables below.

<sup>[2]</sup> All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. "95% confidence level" means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

Date of survey	23/2/22 <sup>[3]</sup>	7-11/3/22	<u>30/4-6/5/22</u>	5-9/9/22	5-9/12/22	<u>6-20/3/23</u>	<u>Latest</u> change	
Sample size	917	559-620	579-660	1,002	1,004	1,026		
Response rate	47.6%	51.5%	41.5%	48.6%	60.2%	42.8%		
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error		
	CT'	Towaga Ch	one	SJ Paul Lam				
	Si	Teresa Ch	eng		SJ Pa	ui Lam		
Rating of SJ	 29	26.6	30.3 <sup>[5]</sup>	45.9	43.8	43.4+/-2.0	-0.5	
Rating of SJ Vote of confidence in SJ				45.9 29%			-0.5 -2%	
		26.6	30.3 <sup>[5]</sup>		43.8	43.4+/-2.0		

- [3] The survey was the Budget instant poll and only asked about the rating of FS and vote of confidence in him.
- [4] John Lee resigned as Chief Secretary in early April 2022.
- [5] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Our survey shows that the latest support rating of CS Eric Chan is 45.3 marks. His approval rate stands at 26%, disapproval rate 19%, giving a net popularity of positive 7 percentage points. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 55.5 marks. His approval rate stands at 50%, disapproval rate 21%, thus a net popularity of positive 29 percentage points. As for SJ Paul Lam, his support rating is 43.4 marks. His approval rate stands at 27%, disapproval rate 24%, giving a net popularity of positive 3 percentage points. The support ratings and net popularities of all three Secretaries of Departments have not changed much over the past three months.

Latest popularity figures of Directors of Bureaux sorted by net approval rates<sup>[6]</sup> are summarized below:

Date of survey	7-11/3/22	31/5-5/6/22	<u>5-9/9/22</u>	6-20/3/23	<u>Latest</u> <u>change</u>
Sample size	575-596	569-599	505-516	518-526	
Response rate	51.5%	39.8%	48.6%	42.8%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding and error	
Vote of confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung			27%	29+/-4%	+3%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung			8%	12+/-3%	+4%
Net approval rate			18%	17+/-5%	-1%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung			25%	28+/-4%	+3%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung			9%	13+/-3%	+ <b>4</b> % <sup>[7]</sup>
Net approval rate			15%	15+/-6%	-1%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau			28%	30+/-4%	+2%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau			10%	16+/-3%	+6%[7]
Net approval rate			19%	<i>15+/-6%</i>	-4%

Date of survey	7-11/3/22	31/5-5/6/22	<u>5-9/9/22</u>	6-20/3/23	<u>Latest</u> change
Sample size	575-596	569-599	505-516	518-526	
Response rate	51.5%	39.8%	48.6%	42.8%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding and error	
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	17%	21%	27% <sup>[7]</sup>	27+/-4%	-1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	15% <sup>[7]</sup>	15%	9% <sup>[7]</sup>	12+/-3%	+4%
Net approval rate	2% <sup>[7]</sup>	5%	19% <sup>[7]</sup>	15+/-5%	-4%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun			31%	29+/-4%	-2%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun			12%	17+/-3%	+6%[7]
Net approval rate			19%	12+/-6%	-7%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak			41%	40+/-4%	-1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak			26%	29+/-4%	+3%
Net approval rate			15%	10+/-7%	-4%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung			36%	37+/-4%	+1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung			26%	27+/-4%	+1%
Net approval rate			9%	10+/-7%	+1%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan			24%	24+/-4%	
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan			9%	14+/-3%	+5%[7]
Net approval rate			15%	10+/-5%	-5%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho			26%	30+/-4%	+4%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho			13%	21+/-4%	+8%[7]
Net approval rate			13%	9+/-6%	-4%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau			41%	41+/-4%	
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau			24%	32+/-4%	+8%[7]
Net approval rate			17%	9+/-7%	-8%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn			22%	23+/-4%	+1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn			9%	16+/-3%	+7%[7]
Net approval rate			13%	8+/-6%	-6%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Security Chris Tang	38%	44% <sup>[7]</sup>	53% <sup>[7]</sup>	42+/-4%	-11%[7]
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Security Chris Tang	39%	34%	28% <sup>[7]</sup>	35+/-4%	+7% <sup>[7]</sup>
Net approval rate	-1%	10%[7]	25% <sup>[7]</sup>	7+/-8%	-18%[7]

Date of survey	7-11/3/22	31/5-5/6/22	<u>5-9/9/22</u>	6-20/3/23	<u>Latest</u> change
Sample size	575-596	569-599	505-516	518-526	
Response rate	51.5%	39.8%	48.6%	42.8%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding and error	
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	23%	25%	39% <sup>[7]</sup>	31+/-4%	-7% <sup>[7]</sup>
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	27% <sup>[7]</sup>	24%	16% <sup>[7]</sup>	25+/-4%	+9%[7]
Net approval rate	-4%	1%	22%[7]	7+/-7%	-16% <sup>[7]</sup>
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong			24%	26+/-4%	+2%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong			14%	21+/-4%	+7% <sup>[7]</sup>
Net approval rate			10%	5+/-6%	-5%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Education Christine Choi			32%	31+/-4%	-1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Education Christine Choi			32%	33+/-4%	+2%
Net approval rate			1%	-2+/-7%	-3%

<sup>[6]</sup> If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

As for the Directors of Bureaux, only Secretary for Education Christine Choi got a negative net approval rate. Ranked from high to low, they are Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung, Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung, Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau, Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui, Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun, Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak, Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung, Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan, Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho, Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau, Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn, Secretary for Security Chris Tang, Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang, Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong and Secretary for Education Christine Choi. Compared to half a year ago, the net approval rates of Chris Tang and Erick Tsang have decreased sharply and significantly.

According to HKPORI's standard, no one falls under the category of "ideal" performer for the time being, only Paul Chan falls under the category of "successful" performer. The performance of Chris Tang, Lo Chung-mau, Alice Mak, Kevin Yeung, Erick Tsang, Christine Choi, Winnie Ho and Paul Lam can be labeled as "mediocre". That of Algernon Yau, Chris Sun, Ingrid Yeung, Lam Sai-hung, Christopher Hui, Eric Chan, Sun Dong, Tse Chin-wan and Bernadette Linn can be labeled as "inconspicuous". No one falls into the category of "depressing" or "disastrous" performer.

The following table summarizes the grading of principal officials:

- 1	"Ideal": thos brackets <sup>[8]</sup>	se with	approval	rates	of	over	66%;	ranked	by	their	approval	rates	shown	inside
	Nil													

<sup>[7]</sup> The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

## "Successful": those with approval rates of over 50%; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets $^{[8]}$

FS Paul Chan (50%)

## "Mediocre": those not belonging to other 5 types; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets $^{[8]}\,$

Secretary for Security Chris Tang (42%)

Secretary for Health Lo Chung-mau (41%)

Secretary for Home and Youth Affairs Alice Mak (40%)

Secretary for Culture, Sports and Tourism Kevin Yeung (37%)

Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang (31%)

Secretary for Education Christine Choi (31%)

Secretary for Housing Winnie Ho (30%)

SJ Paul Lam (27%)

## "Inconspicuous": those with recognition rates of less than 50%; ranked by their approval rates $^{[8]}$ ; the first figure inside bracket is approval rate while the second figure is recognition rate

Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Algernon Yau (30%, 46%)

Secretary for Labour and Welfare Chris Sun (29%, 47%)

Secretary for the Civil Service Ingrid Yeung (29%, 41%)

Secretary for Transport and Logistics Lam Sai-hung (28%, 41%)

Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui (27%, 39%)

CS Eric Chan (26%, 45%)

Secretary for Innovation, Technology and Industry Sun Dong (26%, 47%)

Secretary for Environment and Ecology Tse Chin-wan (24%, 38%)

Secretary for Development Bernadette Linn (23%, 39%)

## "Depressing": those with disapproval rates of over 50%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets $^{[8]}$

Nil

## "Disastrous": those with disapproval rates of over 66%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets [8]

Nil

[8] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

### **Upcoming Press Release / Press Conference (Tentative)**

• [Press Conference] April 26 (Wednesday) at 15:00

Popularities of CE and SAR Government;

Relationship between popularity of SAR Government and level of happiness under "One Country Two Systems 25-year Mid-term Review"