

## 2023 年 4 月 13 日 新聞公報

### 香港民研發放「一國兩制 25 周年中期民情總結」之 立法會議員民望總結

#### 背景說明

香港民意研究所（香港民研）前身為香港大學民意研究計劃（港大民研）。公報內的「香港民研」指的可以是香港民意研究所或其前身港大民研。

香港民研在去年六月啟動「一國兩制 25 周年中期民情總結」，至今已發表了 21 個調查系列的歷年數據總結，今次是第 22 次，餘下最後 3 次總結，將於本年六月底前完成。此外，由 2022 年下半年開始，我們已經把定期民意調查和記招的次數大幅減少，改為集中資源進行公民教育工作。

我們已在網站開展了「主席的話」、「民研快訊：問與答」、「民研快訊：最新消息」等多個欄目，作為公民教育的公開材料，我們會繼續豐富內容，並逐漸增加服務項目。歡迎到我們的網站查看更多內容，並追蹤我們的 Facebook、Instagram 和 Twitter 帳號，以獲得額外圖表和分析。

#### 公報簡要

香港民研於四月初由真實訪問員以隨機抽樣電話訪問方式，分兩階段先後成功訪問了 508 及 502 名香港居民。

提名調查結果顯示，6 位最多人認識的立法會議員分別是李慧琼、葉劉淑儀、田北辰、梁美芬、何君堯和李梓敬，支持度排首位是田北辰，得 49.5 分。第二至三位是葉劉淑儀和李慧琼，分別得 47.0 及 46.1 分。然後是梁美芬和何君堯，分別得 40.7 及 34.8 分。而李梓敬得 35.2 分，但由於認知率較低而被剔除。葉劉淑儀的評分較半年前顯著下跌。

評分調查的實效回應比率為 56.9%。在 95% 置信水平下，調查的評分誤差不超過 +/-3.3。

#### 樣本資料

	提名調查	評分調查
調查日期	: 4-11/4/2023	11-12/4/2023
成功樣本數目 <sup>[1]</sup>	: 508 (包括 251 個固網及 257 個手機樣本)	502 (包括 248 個固網及 254 個手機樣本)
實效回應比率	: 65.2%	56.9%
調查方法	: 由真實訪問員進行隨機抽樣電話訪問	

訪問對象	: 18 歲或以上操粵語的香港居民
抽樣誤差 <sup>[2]</sup>	: 在 95% 置信水平下，評分誤差不超過 +/-3.3
加權方法	: 按照政府統計處提供的統計數字以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字來自《二零二一年年中人口數字》，而教育程度（最高就讀程度）及經濟活動身分統計數字則來自《香港的女性及男性 - 主要統計數字》（2021 年版）。

[1] 數字為調查的總樣本數目，個別題目則可能只涉及次樣本。有關數字請參閱下列數表內列出的樣本數目。

[2] 此公報中所有誤差數字均以 95% 置信水平計算。95% 置信水平，是指倘若以不同隨機樣本重複進行有關調查 100 次，則 95 次各自計算出的誤差範圍會包含人口真實數字。由於調查數字涉及抽樣誤差，傳媒引用百分比數字時，應避免使用小數點，在引用評分數字時，則可以使用一個小數點。

## 最新數據

在提名調查中，被訪者可在未經提示下說出最多 10 名最熟悉的議員，結果首 6 位最多被訪者提及的議員分別是李慧琼、葉劉淑儀、田北辰、梁美芬、何君堯和李梓敬，他們於是被納入評分調查。在評分調查中，被訪者就個別議員以 0 至 100 分進行評分，0 分代表絕對不支持，100 分代表絕對支持，50 分為一半半。統計結果後，認知度最低的再被剔除，之後再按支持度由高至低順序排列，得出五大立法會議員。以下是五大立法會議員的最新評分，按評分高至低排列<sup>[3]</sup>：

調查日期	<u>4-7/10/21</u>	<u>19-22/4/22</u>	<u>18-19/10/22</u>	<u>11-12/4/23</u>		<u>最新變化</u>
樣本數目	656-704	650-685	583	502		--
回應比率	62.9%	47.6%	61.4%	56.9%		--
最新結果 <sup>[4]</sup>	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	認知率	--
田北辰	47.7{1}	50.9{1} <sup>[5]</sup>	52.5{1}	49.5+/-2.3{1}	91.8%	-3.0
葉劉淑儀	42.8{2}	47.7{2} <sup>[5]</sup>	51.7{2} <sup>[5]</sup>	47.0+/-2.8{2}	95.2%	-4.7 <sup>[5]</sup>
李慧琼	39.2{3}	43.7{3} <sup>[5]</sup>	46.5{3}	46.1+/-3.0{3}	92.0%	-0.4
梁美芬	37.1{4} <sup>[5]</sup>	42.7{4} <sup>[5]</sup>	42.5{4}	40.7+/-2.9{4}	88.4%	-1.8
何君堯	32.8{5}	35.8{5}	37.2{5}	34.8+/-3.0{5}	89.7%	-2.4
李梓敬	--	--	--	35.2+/-3.3 <sup>[6]</sup>	65.2%	--
葛珮帆	--	--	40.4 <sup>[6]</sup>	--	--	--
周浩鼎	--	38.0 <sup>[6]</sup>	--	--	--	--
麥美娟	34.2 <sup>[6]</sup>	--	--	--	--	--

[3] 如四捨五入後的數字相同，則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

[4] 括弧{ }內數字為排名。

[5] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95% 置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

[6] 於評分調查認知率較低。


最新調查顯示，市民對立法會議員的最新支持度排名，首位是田北辰，得 49.5 分。第二至三位是葉劉淑儀和李慧琼，分別得 47.0 及 46.1 分。然後是梁美芬和何君堯，分別得 40.7 及 34.8 分。而李梓敬得 35.2 分，但由於認知率較低而被剔除。葉劉淑儀的評分較半年前顯著下跌。

須要說明，躋身「五大議員」的先決條件是市民的熟悉程度，然後再按支持度排名。「五大」以外的議員，支持度可以很高或很低，但由於並非市民最熟悉的議員，所以不在榜內。

## 下次新聞公報／發佈會 (暫定)

- [新聞公報] 4月18日(星期二)下午三時  
司局長民望

## 「一國兩制 25 周年中期民情總結」





Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111  
Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361  
Website 網址: <https://www.pori.hk>

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
香港民意研究所

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang  
地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

## Press Release on April 13, 2023

### HKPORI wraps up popularity of Legislative Councillors under “One Country Two Systems 25-year Mid-term Review”

#### **Background**

The predecessor of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI) was Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “HKPORI” in this release can refer to Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute or its predecessor HKUPOP.

HKPORI launched the “One Country Two Systems 25-year Mid-term Review” in June last year. Wrap up of the historical data of 21 survey series have been released since then. This is the 22nd release, and the last 3 wrap ups will be completed by the end of June this year. Besides, starting from the second half of 2022, we have already greatly reduced the frequency of our tracking polls and press conferences to channel our resources into civic education work.

We have already launched multiple new columns like “From the President”, “PORI Express: Q&A”, “PORI Express: Latest News” in our website as our civic education materials for the public. We will continue to enrich its content and gradually increase the number of service items. Please visit our website for more contents and follow us on Facebook, Instagram and Twitter to see extra charts and analyses.

#### **Abstract**

HKPORI successfully interviewed 508 and 502 Hong Kong residents respectively in two random telephone surveys conducted by real interviewers in early April.

Our naming survey shows that Starry Lee, Regina Ip, Michael Tien, Priscilla Leung, Junius Ho and Dominic Lee are the six Legislative Councillors that top people’s mind now. In terms of rating, Michael Tien ranks first with 49.5 marks. Regina Ip and Starry Lee rank the 2nd and 3rd with 47.0 and 46.1 marks respectively. Priscilla Leung and Junius Ho follow with 40.7 and 34.8 marks respectively, whereas Dominic Lee obtains a rating of 35.2 marks, but is dropped due to his relatively low recognition rate. The rating of Regina Ip has decreased significantly compared to half a year ago.

The effective response rate of the rating survey is 56.9%. The maximum sampling error of ratings is +/-3.3 at 95% confidence level.

#### **Contact Information**

	<b>Naming Survey</b>	<b>Rating Survey</b>
Date of survey	: 4-11/4/2023	11-12/4/2023
Sample size <sup>[1]</sup>	: 508 (including 251 landline and 257 mobile samples)	502 (including 248 landline and 254 mobile samples)

Effective response rate	: 65.2%	56.9%
Survey method	: Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers	
Target population	: Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above	
Sampling error <sup>[2]</sup>	: Sampling error of ratings not more than +/-3.3 at 95% conf. level	
Weighting method	: Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from “Mid-year population for 2021”, while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from “Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2021 Edition)”.	

[1] This figure is the total sample size of the survey. Some questions may only involve a subsample, the size of which can be found in the tables below.

[2] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

## Latest Figures

In the naming survey, respondents could name, unprompted, up to 10 councillors whom they knew best. Starry Lee, Regina Ip, Michael Tien, Priscilla Leung, Junius Ho and Dominic Lee were the top 6 councillors being mentioned most frequently, they therefore entered the rating survey. In the rating survey, respondents were asked to rate individual councillors using a 0-100 scale, where 0 indicates absolutely no support, 100 indicates absolute support and 50 means half-half. After calculation, the bottom councillor in terms of recognition rate was dropped; the remaining 5 were then ranked according to their support ratings to become the top 5 Legislative Councillors. Recent ratings of the top 5 Legislative Councillors are summarized below, in descending order of support ratings<sup>[3]</sup>:

Date of survey	<u>4-7/10/21</u>	<u>19-22/4/22</u>	<u>18-19/10/22</u>	<u>11-12/4/23</u>	<i>Latest change</i>	
Sample size	656-704	650-685	583	<b>502</b>	--	
Response rate	62.9%	47.6%	61.4%	<b>56.9%</b>	--	
Latest findings <sup>[4]</sup>	Finding	Finding	Finding	<i>Finding &amp; error</i>	<i>Recognition rate</i>	--
Michael Tien	47.7{1}	50.9{1} <sup>[5]</sup>	52.5{1}	<b>49.5+/-2.3{1}</b>	<b>91.8%</b>	<b>-3.0</b>
Regina Ip	42.8{2}	47.7{2} <sup>[5]</sup>	51.7{2} <sup>[5]</sup>	<b>47.0+/-2.8{2}</b>	<b>95.2%</b>	<b>-4.7<sup>[5]</sup></b>
Starry Lee	39.2{3}	43.7{3} <sup>[5]</sup>	46.5{3}	<b>46.1+/-3.0{3}</b>	<b>92.0%</b>	<b>-0.4</b>
Priscilla Leung	37.1{4} <sup>[5]</sup>	42.7{4} <sup>[5]</sup>	42.5{4}	<b>40.7+/-2.9{4}</b>	<b>88.4%</b>	<b>-1.8</b>
Junius Ho	32.8{5}	35.8{5}	37.2{5}	<b>34.8+/-3.0{5}</b>	<b>89.7%</b>	<b>-2.4</b>
Dominic Lee	--	--	--	<b>35.2+/-3.3<sup>[6]</sup></b>	<b>65.2%</b>	--
Elizabeth Quat	--	--	40.4 <sup>[6]</sup>	--	--	--
Holden Chow	--	38.0 <sup>[6]</sup>	--	--	--	--
Alice Mak	34.2 <sup>[6]</sup>	--	--	--	--	--

[3] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

[4] Numbers in curly brackets { } indicate the rankings.

[5] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

[6] Recognition rates were comparatively low in the rating survey.

The latest survey shows that Michael Tien is the most popularly supported councillor, attaining 49.5 marks. Regina Ip and Starry Lee rank the 2nd and 3rd with 47.0 and 46.1 marks respectively. Priscilla Leung and Junius Ho follow with 40.7 and 34.8 marks respectively, whereas Dominic Lee obtains a rating of 35.2 marks, but is dropped due to his relatively low recognition rate. The rating of Regina Ip has decreased significantly compared to half a year ago.

It should be noted, however, that our list of “top 5” only includes LegCo members who are best known to the public, ranked according to their support ratings. Other councillors may well have very high or low support ratings, but because they are relatively less well-known, they are not included in our final list.

**Upcoming Press Release / Press Conference (Tentative)**

- [Press Release] April 18 (Tuesday) at 15:00  
Popularity of Secretaries of Departments and Directors of Bureaux

**“One Country Two Systems 25-year Mid-term Review”**

