



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE
香港民意研究所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 150 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 107

Survey Date: 2 December to 7 December 2022

Release Date: 9 December 2022

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI) and opened to the world.
HKPORI proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information.

Research Background

“We Hongkongers” is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website “We the People” hosted by the White House of the United States, “We Hongkongers” aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: <https://www.pori.hk/research-reports-en/wehkers.html?lang=en>.

“We Hongkongers” Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong’s political and public sentiment, HKPORI redeveloped the “We Hongkongers” Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the “HKPOP Panel” established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the “We Hongkongers Panel Survey” to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the “We Hongkongers” Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This is Report No. 107 under our “We Hongkongers” Project Series, as well as Report No. 150 under HKPOP Panel Survey Series, which is our broader umbrella for our online panel surveys. The theme of this survey is on “Youth Matters”. This is the second time we conduct this survey, and please refer to “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 99 for the last survey results.

HKPORI sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, but only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis in this report.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	Rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population from Census and Statistics Department; 2) appraisal of political condition and political inclination distribution from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	2 December, 3pm – 7 December, 3pm
Total sample size	2,936
Response rate	4.0%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPORI uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPORI adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPORI normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPORI definition)

Success rate		
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the HKPOP Panel}}$	x 100.0%
=	$\frac{2,936}{73,216}$	x 100.0%
=	4.0%	

Weighting Procedure

HKPORI has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPORI adopts a “2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 5 by 4” weighting procedure involving six variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, appraisal of political condition and political inclination. Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPORI are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of appraisal of political condition and political inclination would match that of the general population in HKPORI’s regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPORI’s survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The appraisals of political condition used for weighting are as follows:

- Very satisfied
- Quite satisfied
- Half-half // Don’t know / hard to say // Refused to answer
- Quite dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

The political inclinations used for weighting are as follows:

- Pro-democracy camp
- Pro-establishment camp
- Localist
- Centrist // Other // No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp // Don’t know / hard to say // Refused to answer

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:


Table 3: Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 2-7/12/2022

Q1 How much / little do you think there is room for young people in Hong Kong to develop?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=2,446)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[2] (Base=354)	Combined (Base=2,933)
Very much	4%	4%	4%
Somewhat much	1%	35%	25%
Half-half	20%	28%	25%
Somewhat little	33%	14%	19%
Very little	42%	13%	23%
Don't know / hard to say	1%	5%	4%
Mean^[3]	1.9	3.0	2.7

[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


Table 4: Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 2-7/12/2022

Q2 How much do you think the government cares about the views of young people when making policies?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=2,447)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[4] (Base=353)	Combined (Base=2,933)
Very much } Much	6% } 6%	10% } 31%	8% } 23%
Somewhat much }	<1% }	21% }	15% }
Half-half	8%	36%	27%
Somewhat little } Little	28% } 85%	15% } 32%	19% } 49%
Very little }	57% }	18% }	30% }
Don't know / hard to say	1%	1%	1%
Mean^[5]	1.7	2.9	2.5

[4] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[5] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


Table 5: Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 2-7/12/2022

Q3 How much do you think the government cares about the views of the elderly when making policies?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=2,448)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[6] (Base=354)	Combined (Base=2,935)
Very much } Much	17% } 22%	7% } 31%	8% } 27%
Somewhat much }	5% }	24% }	19% }
Half-half	22%	33%	31%
Somewhat little } Little	25% } 54%	24% } 34%	25% } 40%
Very little }	29% }	10% }	16% }
Don't know / hard to say	2%	1%	2%
Mean^[7]	2.5	2.9	2.8

[6] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 3: Q3 Combined chart



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


Table 6: Q4 Survey results; Survey period: 2-7/12/2022

Q4 How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of young people in public affairs?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=2,441)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[8] (Base=353)	Combined (Base=2,928)
Very well	3%	3%	3%
Somewhat well	1%	23%	17%
Half-half	10%	38%	29%
Somewhat poor	27%	17%	19%
Very poor	57%	18%	30%
Don't know / hard to say	2%	2%	3%
Mean^[9]	1.6	2.8	2.4

[8] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[9] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 4: Q4 Combined chart



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


Table 7: Q5 Survey results; Survey period: 2-7/12/2022

Q5 How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of the elderly in public affairs?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=2,448)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[10] (Base=354)	Combined (Base=2,936)
Very well	3%	8%	7%
Somewhat well	9%	15%	13%
Half-half	27%	36%	32%
Somewhat poor	23%	22%	22%
Very poor	35%	12%	20%
Don't know / hard to say	4%	7%	6%
Mean^[11]	2.2	2.9	2.6

[10] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[11] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 5: Q5 Combine chart



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	1,410	57.6%	237	37.1%	218	61.6%	1,045	50.5%	1,697	57.8%	1,385	47.2%
Female	1,034	42.2%	396	62.1%	133	37.6%	1,023	49.4%	1,232	42.0%	1,543	52.6%
Other	4	0.2%	5	0.8%	3	0.8%	2	0.1%	7	0.2%	7	0.3%
Total	2,448	100.0%	639	100.0%	354	100.0%	2,070	100.0%	2,936	100.0%	2,936	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	342	14.0%	247	38.7%	23	6.5%	223	10.8%	395	13.5%	543	18.5%
30 - 39	670	27.4%	63	9.9%	72	20.4%	303	14.7%	785	26.8%	415	14.1%
40 - 49	569	23.3%	112	17.5%	73	20.7%	323	15.6%	672	22.9%	507	17.3%
50 - 59	514	21.0%	145	22.8%	89	25.2%	455	22.0%	623	21.2%	616	21.0%
60 or above	352	14.4%	71	11.1%	96	27.2%	763	36.9%	459	15.6%	854	29.1%
Total	2,447	100.0%	639	100.0%	353	100.0%	2,068	100.0%	2,934	100.0%	2,934	100.0%
Missing case(s)	1		<1		1		2		2		2	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	6	0.2%	2	0.3%	1	0.3%	40	1.9%	8	0.3%	45	1.6%
Secondary	330	13.5%	248	38.9%	77	21.8%	712	34.4%	421	14.6%	1,029	35.8%
Tertiary or above	2,111	86.3%	388	60.8%	275	77.9%	1,318	63.7%	2,447	85.1%	1,802	62.7%
Total	2,447	100.0%	639	100.0%	353	100.0%	2,070	100.0%	2,876	100.0%	2,876	100.0%
Missing case(s)	1		<1		1		<1		60		60	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	975	39.9%	124	19.4%	122	34.5%	494	23.9%	1,121	39.0%	626	21.8%
Clerks and service workers	682	27.9%	200	31.4%	84	23.7%	616	29.8%	788	27.4%	904	31.4%
Workers	58	2.4%	21	3.3%	14	4.0%	58	2.8%	74	2.6%	84	2.9%
Students	85	3.5%	42	6.6%	10	2.8%	119	5.8%	101	3.5%	177	6.2%
Home-makers / housewives	93	3.8%	106	16.7%	11	3.1%	158	7.7%	108	3.8%	297	10.3%
Others	553	22.6%	144	22.6%	113	31.9%	623	30.1%	683	23.8%	786	27.4%
Total	2,446	100.0%	638	100.0%	354	100.0%	2,070	100.0%	2,875	100.0%	2,875	100.0%
Missing case(s)	2		1		0		0		61		61	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	1,382	56.5%	373	58.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,382	48.1%	373	13.0%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27	7.6%	431	20.8%	27	0.9%	431	15.0%
Localist	1,066	43.5%	266	41.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,066	37.1%	266	9.3%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	121	34.2%	490	23.7%	121	4.2%	490	17.1%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	32	1.1%	26	0.9%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	206	58.2%	1,150	55.5%	206	7.2%	1,150	40.0%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40	1.4%	137	4.8%
Total	2,448	100.0%	639	100.0%	354	100.0%	2,070	100.0%	2,874	100.0%	2,871	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		62		65	

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	2,936
Survey period	2-7/12/2022
Success rate	4.0%
Questionnaires sent out	73,216
Questionnaires received	3,161
Ineligible samples	102
Invalid samples	0
Incomplete samples	123
Standard error	0.9%
Sampling error	1.8%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 2-7/12/2022

Q1 How much / little do you think there is room for young people in Hong Kong to develop?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,446)	Percentages (Base=354)	Percentages (Base=2,933)
Very much	3.7%	4.2%	3.8%
Somewhat much	1.0%	35.3%	25.3%
Half-half	19.7%	27.8%	24.7%
Somewhat little	32.6%	14.1%	19.5%
Very little	41.8%	13.4%	22.8%
Don't know / hard to say	1.2%	5.2%	4.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	2	0	2
Net value (Much room- Little room)	-69.7%	+12.1%	-13.2%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 2-7/12/2022

Q2 How much do you think the government cares about the views of young people when making policies?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,447)	Percentages (Base=353)	Percentages (Base=2,933)
Very much	5.7%	9.9%	8.2%
Somewhat much	0.4%	20.6%	14.7%
Half-half	7.8%	35.9%	27.0%
Somewhat little	28.3%	14.6%	18.6%
Very little / not at all	56.9%	17.8%	30.3%
Don't know / hard to say	1.0%	1.2%	1.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	1	1	2
Net value (Care much- Care little)	-79.1%	-1.9%	-25.9%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q3 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 2-7/12/2022

Q3 How much do you think the government cares about the views of the elderly when making policies?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,448)	Percentages (Base=354)	Percentages (Base=2,935)
Very much	16.8%	6.5%	8.3%
Somewhat much	5.2%	24.5%	18.8%
Half-half	22.0%	33.5%	30.7%
Somewhat little	25.1%	23.9%	24.5%
Very little / not at all	29.2%	10.3%	15.9%
Don't know / hard to say	1.6%	1.3%	1.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	0	0	<1
Net value (Care much- Care little)	-32.3%	-3.3%	-13.4%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 2-7/12/2022

Q4 How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of young people in public affairs?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,441)	Percentages (Base=353)	Percentages (Base=2,928)
Very well	3.1%	2.8%	2.7%
Somewhat well	1.5%	22.8%	16.5%
Half-half	9.7%	37.6%	29.1%
Somewhat poor	26.8%	16.9%	18.7%
Very poor	56.6%	17.6%	30.3%
Don't know / hard to say	2.3%	2.4%	2.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	7	1	8
Net value (Well- Poor)	-78.9%	-8.8%	-29.9%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q5 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 2-7/12/2022

Q5 How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of the elderly in public affairs?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,448)	Percentages (Base=354)	Percentages (Base=2,936)
Very well	3.2%	8.3%	6.6%
Somewhat well	8.9%	15.3%	12.9%
Half-half	26.7%	35.8%	32.2%
Somewhat poor	22.8%	21.9%	22.1%
Very poor	35.0%	11.6%	20.2%
Don't know / hard to say	3.5%	7.1%	6.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Refuse to answer	0	0	<1
Net value (Well- Poor)	-45.7%	-9.9%	-22.9%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (2-7/12/2022)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

***Required**

Eligibility Confirmation

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above (i.e., currently residing in Hong Kong)? *

- Yes
- No

We Hongkongers Series

How much / little do you think there is room for young people in Hong Kong to develop?

- Very much
- Somewhat much
- Half-half
- Somewhat little
- Very little
- Don't know / hard to say

How much do you think the government cares about the views of young people when making policies?

- Very much
- Somewhat much
- Half-half
- Somewhat little
- Very little / not at all
- Don't know / hard to say

How much do you think the government cares about the views of the elderly when making policies?

- Very much
- Somewhat much
- Half-half
- Somewhat little
- Very little / not at all
- Don't know / hard to say

How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of young people in public affairs?

- Very well
- Somewhat well
- Half-half
- Somewhat poor
- Very poor
- Don't know / hard to say

How well / poorly do you think the government has been promoting the participation of the elderly in public affairs?

- Very well
- Somewhat well
- Half-half
- Somewhat poor
- Very poor
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health**How likely do you think it is that you will contract or re-contract COVID-19 over the next one month?***(Please select the closest answer)*

- | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|
| <input type="radio"/> 0% chance (Certainly not) | <input type="radio"/> 20% chance | <input type="radio"/> 70% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000) | <input type="radio"/> 25% chance | <input type="radio"/> 80% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000) | <input type="radio"/> 30% chance | <input type="radio"/> 90% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000) | <input type="radio"/> 35% chance | <input type="radio"/> 100% chance (Certainly will) |
| <input type="radio"/> 1% chance (1 in 100) | <input type="radio"/> 40% chance | <input type="radio"/> _____ % chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 5% chance (1 in 20) | <input type="radio"/> 45% chance | <input type="radio"/> Don't know / hard to say |
| <input type="radio"/> 10% chance (1 in 10) | <input type="radio"/> 50% chance | |
| <input type="radio"/> 15% chance | <input type="radio"/> 60% chance | |

Have you ever contracted COVID-19?

- Yes
- No / don't know

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling COVID-19?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions**Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current in Hong Kong?**

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don't know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

In the 2021 Legislative Council Election, did you vote, was a registered voter but did not vote, or not a registered voter?

(The "2021 Legislative Council Election" is the one that formed the current Legislative Council)

- Voted
- Was a registered voter, but did not vote
- Not a registered voter
- Don't remember / don't know / hard to say

Personal Information

This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update ([skip questions on personal information](#))
- Provide / update personal information now

Gender

- Male
- Female
- Other

Age

- _____ years old
- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- Other: _____
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.