

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 148 cum "We Hongkongers" Research Report No. 105

Survey Date: 7 October to 12 October 2022

Release Date: 14 October 2022

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI) and opened to the world. HKPORI proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information.

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/research-reports-en/wehkers.html?lang=en.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This is Report No. 105 under our "We Hongkongers" Project Series, as well as Report No. 148 under HKPOP Panel Survey Series, which is our broader umbrella for our online panel surveys. Around the time of our data collection for this survey, John Lee has become the Chief Executive for 100 days and he will announce his first Policy Address soon. We have thus added the themes of "Policy Address expectations" and "CE 100 days in office" to the survey, in addition to the topic of "Living and working in Greater Bay Area" which has become a repeated tracking survey in our "We Hongkongers" Project Series.

HKPORI sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, but only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis in this report.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	Rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population from Census and Statistics Department; 2) appraisal of political condition and political inclination distribution from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	7 October, 3pm – 12 October, 3pm
Total sample size	4,736
Response rate	5.6%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

^[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. "95% confidence level" means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the "HKPOP Panel" recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPORI uses "HKPOP Panel" as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.


All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPORI adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPORI normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPORI definition)



Weighting Procedure

HKPORI has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPORI adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 5 by 4" weighting procedure involving six variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, appraisal of political condition and political inclination. Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPORI are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of appraisal of political condition and political inclination would match that of the general population in HKPORI's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPORI's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above

- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

• Secondary or below

Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives

- Students
- Retired person

The appraisals of political condition used for weighting are as follows:

- Very satisfied
- Quite satisfied
- Half-half // Don't know / hard to say // Refused to answer
- Ouite dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

The political inclinations used for weighting are as follows:

- Pro-democracy camp
- Pro-establishment camp
- Localist
- Centrist // Other // No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp // Don't know / hard to say // Refused to answer

Part 1: Policy Address Expectations

Quantitative Analysis Results


Quantitative analysis results of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q1 CE Carrie Lam will soon deliver the 2021 Policy Address. How important or unimportant do you think the Polity Address is ?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=3,953)	Non- pro-democracy camp supporters ^[2] (Base=548)	Combined (Base=4,729)	
Very important Somewhat } Important important	8% 14% }22%	21% 38% }59%	16% 31% }47%	
Half-half	20%	21%	20%	
Somewhat unimportant Very unimportant	17% }46% 29%	5% }14% 9%	10% }25% 15%	
Don't know / hard to say	11%	11% 6%		
Mean ^[3]	2.5	3.6	3.2	

^[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


^[3] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of confidence, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean

Table 4: Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q2 Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how much expectation do you have on it?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=3,958)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[4] (Base=548)	Combined (Base=4,735)	
Very high expectation Somewhat high expectation expectation	1% }11%	9% } 37% 28%	6% }28%	
Half-half	4%	24%	4%	
Somewhat low expectation Very low expectation expectation	11% }24% 14%	12% } 14% 2%	11% }17%	
No expectation	57%	21%	57%	
Don't know / hard to say	4%	3%	4%	
Mean ^[5]	2.3	3.4	2.3	

^[4] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


^[5] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of confidence, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean

Table 5: Q3a Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q3a Irrespective of how important think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with housing issue?	ey Address is, how t that it deals with the Camp supporters (Base=3,949)		Non-pro-democ camp supporte (Base=548)	Combined (Base=4,726)
Very necessary	60	0%	52%	55%
Somewhat } Neces		}81%	32% }85	% }82% }
Half-half		11%	12%	12%
Somewhat unnecessary } Unne	cessary	% }2%	2%)
Very unnecessary	2	2%	2%	3%
Don't know / hard to say		5%	<1%	2%
Mean ^[7]		4.5	4.3	4.3

^[6] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 3: Q3a Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


^[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of confidence, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean

Table 6: Q3b Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q3b Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the healthcare and public health issue? Pro-democrace camp supported (Base=3,956)		supporters	camp s	o-democracy upporters ^[8] se=548)		bined =4,733)	
Very necessary		60%		53%		56%	
Somewhat }	Necessary	20%	}79%	31%	}88%	27%	}83%
Half-half		10%			11%	1	1%
Somewhat unnecessary }	Unnecessary	3%	}5%	1%	}4%	1%	}3%
Very unnecessary		2%		1%		2%	
Don't know / har	d to say		5%		2%	3	%
Mean ^[9]			4.4		4.4	4	1.4

^[8] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 4: Q3b Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


^[9] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of confidence, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean

Table 7: Q3c Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q3c Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the education issue?		Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=3,948)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[10] (Base=545)	Combined (Base=4,722)	
Very necessary		46%	39%	40%	
Somewhat necessary	} Necessary	17% }63%	33% } 73%	30% }69%	
Half-	-half	18%	17%	18%	
Somewhat unnecessary	} Unnecessary	1% }7%	5% }8%	5% }14%	
Very unnecessary		6%	3%	4%	
Don't know	Don't know / hard to say		2%	4%	
Mean	[11]	4.1	4.0	4.0	

^[10] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 5: Q3c Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


^[11] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of confidence, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean

Table 8: Q3d Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

think the Policy Addre	8d Irrespective of how important you nk the Policy Address is, how cessary is it that it deals with the cial welfare issue?		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[12] (Base=546)	Combined (Base=4,721)	
Very necessary		51%	37%	41%	
Somewhat necessary	} Necessary	27% } 78%	35% }72%	32% }74%	
Half	f-half	15%	16%	16%	
Somewhat unnecessary	} Unnecessary	<1% }2%	6% }10%	4% }7%	
Very unnecessary		2%	3%	3%	
Don't know / hard to say		5%	2%	3%	
Mea	n ^[13]	4.3	4.0	4.1	

^[12] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 6: Q3d Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp


^[13] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of confidence, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean

Table 9: Q3e Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q3a Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the labour policy issue?		Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=3,940)		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[14] (Base=547)		Combined (Base=4,715)	
Very necessary		52%		30%		35%	
Somewhat necessary	} Necessary	20%	} 72%	45%	}75%	38%	}74%
Half-	-half	18%			17%	18	3%
Somewhat unnecessary Very unnecessary	} Unnecessary	<1% 4%	} 5%	3%	}6%	3%	}6%
Don't know	/ hard to say	6%	,	270	2%		%
Mear		4.2			4.0		.0

^[14] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 7: Q3e Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[15] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of confidence, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean


Part 2: CE 100 Days in Office

Table 10: Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q1 CE John Lee has been in office for about 100 days, how much has your confidence in the future of Hong Kong increased or decreased?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=3,959)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[16] (Base=548)	Combined (Base=4,736)	
Increased a lot Increased slightly	4% 12% }16%	12% 31% }42%	10% 34%	
Unchanged	29%	36%	36%	
Decreased slightly Decreased a lot Decreased	18% 32% }51%	7% 11% }18%	9% 18% }27%	
Don't know / hard to say	5% 3%		3%	
Mean ^[17]	2.3	3.3	3.0	

^[16] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 8: Q1 Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

15


^[17] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Table 11: Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q2 Counting on the past 100 days, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the policy direction of CE John Lee?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=3,958)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[18] (Base=548)	Combined (Base=4,735)	
Very much satisfied Somewhat satisfied Half-half	3% 2% }5% 20%	8% 34% 33%	6% 24% 30%	
Somewhat dissatisfied Very much dissatisfied	19% } 60% 41%	8% 10%	13% }31% 19%	
Don't know / hard to say	14%	7%	8%	
Mean ^[19]	1.9	3.2	2.9	

^[18] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 9: Q2 Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[19] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.


Part 3: Living and Working in Greater Bay Area

Table 12: Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q1 How willing or unwilling are you to settle down in the Greater Bay Area outside Hong Kong and Macau?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=3,958)	camp supporters camp supporters camp supporters	
Very willing Somewhat willing Half-half	3% 2% 18%	9% 25% 34% 26%	7% 18% }24%
Somewhat unwilling Strongly unwilling Don't know / hard to say	5% 83% }87%	8% 30% }38% 5%	7% 46% }53%
Mean ^[21]	1.3	2.7	2.3

^[20] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 10: Q1 Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

•


^[21] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Table 13: Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q2 How willing or unwilling are you to work in the Greater Bay Area outside Hong Kong and Macau?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=3,955)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[22] (Base=548)	Combined (Base=4,732)	
Very willing Somewhat willing Half-half	3% <1% }4%	11% 13% }24% 20%	8% 10% 2%	
Somewhat unwilling Very unwilling	11% 75% }86%	10% 28% }38%	9% 43% }52%	
Not applicable (not in the labour force)	5% 18%		13%	
Don't know / hard to say	4%	4%	4%	
Mean ^[23]	1.3	2.6	2.2	

^[22] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Chart 11: Q2 Combined chart



^{*} Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^[23] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
---------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------

		Raw	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		ed sample	Raw sample		Weighted sample	
		Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male		2,255	57.0%	324	31.9%	321	58.6%	1,701	52.7%	2,702	57.1%	2,231	47.1%
Female		1,695	42.8%	693	68.1%	222	40.5%	1,512	46.8%	2,018	42.6%	2,488	52.5%
Other		9	0.2%	<1	<0.1%	5	0.9%	17	0.5%	15	0.3%	17	0.4%
	Total	3,959	100.0%	1,018	100.0%	548	100.0%	3,230	100.0%	4,735	100.0%	4,736	100.0%
Mi	ssing case(s)	0		0		0		0		1		<1	

Λ σο·	Pro democracy comp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Illai

	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	516	13.0%	307	30.2%	36	6.6%	389	12.1%	596	12.6%	884	18.7%
30 - 39	1,017	25.7%	113	11.1%	98	17.9%	447	13.9%	1,174	24.8%	663	14.0%
40 - 49	981	24.8%	178	17.5%	130	23.7%	540	16.7%	1,173	24.8%	823	17.4%
50 - 59	881	22.3%	176	17.3%	137	25.0%	787	24.4%	1,060	22.4%	1,037	21.9%
60 or above	563	14.2%	243	23.9%	147	26.8%	1,066	33.0%	732	15.5%	1,329	28.1%
Total	3,958	100.0%	1,018	100.0%	548	100.0%	3,230	100.0%	4,735	100.0%	4,736	100.0%
Missing case(s)	1		<1		0		0		1		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-	Pro-democracy camp supporters				o-democrac	ey camp si	upporters #		Total			
	Raw	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	
Primary or below	10	0.3%	9	0.9%	3	0.5%	11	0.3%	13	0.3%	20	0.4%	
Secondary	610	15.4%	454	44.6%	126	23.1%	1,233	38.2%	758	16.4%	1,782	38.5%	
Tertiary or above	3,336	84.3%	554	54.5%	417	76.4%	1,986	61.5%	3,859	83.3%	2,828	61.1%	
Total	3,956	100.0%	1,018	100.0%	546	100.0%	3,230	100.0%	4,630	100.0%	4,630	100.0%	
Missing case(s)	3		<1		2		<1		106		106		

Occupation:	Pro-	Pro-democracy camp supporters				o-democrac	cy camp su	apporters #	Total				
	Raw	Raw sample		ed sample	Raw	sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw sample		Weighted sample		
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	
Administrators and professionals	1,581	40.0%	180	17.7%	177	32.4%	544	16.8%	1,799	38.9%	810	17.5%	
Clerks and service workers	1,095	27.7%	267	26.2%	148	27.1%	1,037	32.1%	1,287	27.8%	1,505	32.6%	
Workers	106	2.7%	15	1.5%	15	2.7%	69	2.1%	124	2.7%	98	2.1%	
Students	132	3.3%	70	6.9%	17	3.1%	203	6.3%	155	3.4%	285	6.2%	
Home-makers / housewives	168	4.2%	158	15.6%	22	4.0%	307	9.5%	193	4.2%	478	10.3%	
Others	871	22.0%	328	32.2%	168	30.7%	1,071	33.2%	1,066	23.1%	1,447	31.3%	
Total	3,953	100.0%	1,018	100.0%	547	100.0%	3,230	100.0%	4,624	100.0%	4,624	100.0%	
Missing case(s)	6		<1		1		<1		112		112		

Political inclination:	Pro-	Pro-democracy camp supporters				o-democrac	cy camp si	upporters #		То	tal	
	Raw	Raw sample		Weighted sample		sample	Weight	ed sample	Raw	sample	Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	2,260	57.1%	659	64.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,260	48.8%	659	14.2%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	41	7.5%	688	21.3%	41	0.9%	688	14.9%
Localist	1,699	42.9%	359	35.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,699	36.7%	359	7.8%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	196	35.8%	970	30.0%	196	4.2%	970	21.0%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39	0.8%	50	1.1%
No political inclination /												
politically neutral / don't	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	311	56.8%	1,572	48.7%	311	6.7%	1,572	34.0%
belong to any camp												
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	87	1.9%	328	7.1%
Total	3,959	100.0%	1,018	100.0%	548	100.0%	3,230	100.0%	4,633	100.0%	4,626	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		103		110	

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	4,736
Survey period	7-12/10/2022
Success rate	5.6%
Questionnaires sent out	84,739
Questionnaires received	4,922
Ineligible samples	29
Invalid samples	0
Incomplete samples	157
Standard error	0.7%
Sampling error	1.4%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Policy Address expectations Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q1 CE John Lee will soon deliver the 2022	Pro-democracy ca	amp supporters	Non-pro-democracy	y camp supporters #	Tota	1	
Plicy Address. How important or unimportant	Percent	tages	Perce	ntages	Percent	ages	
do you think the Policy Address is?	(Base=3	3,953)	(Base	=548)	(Base=4,729)		
Very important }Important Somewhat important	7.8% 14.2%	}22.0%	21.0% 37.7% }58.7%		16.0% 30.9%	}46.9%	
Half-half	20.3%		20.7%		20.5%		
Somewhat unimportant } Unimportant Very unimportant	17.4% 29.1%	}46.5%	5.4% 8.8%	}14.2%	9.9% 15.1%	}25.0%	
Don't know / hard to say	11.2	%	6.4	4%	7.6%		
Total	100.0)%	100.0%		100.0%		
Mean values	2.5	5	3	.6	3.2		
Standard error of mean	<0.	1	<(0.1	<0.1	[
Median	2.0		4	.0	4.0		
Refuse to answer	6		(0	6		
Net value (Important- Unimportant)	aportant- Unimportant) -24.4%		+44	1.6%	+21.9%		

 $^{\#\} Including\ pro-establishment\ camp\ supporters,\ centrist\ supporters,\ no\ political\ inclination\ /\ politically\ neutral\ /\ do\ not\ belong\ to\ any\ camp$

Policy Address expectations Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q2 Irrespective of how important you think	Pro-democracy o	camp supporters	Non-pro-democrac	y camp supporters #	То	tal	
the Policy Address is , how much expectation	Percen	tages	Perce	ntages	Percer	ntages	
do you have on it?	(Base=	3,958)	(Base	=548)	(Base=4,735)		
Very high expectation }High	0.9%	}10.6%	9.1%	}37.3%	6.4%	}28.2%	
Somewhat high expectation expectation	9.7%	} 10.070	28.2%	337.370	21.8%	}20.270	
Half-half	4.4%		24.	4%	18.9	9%	
Somewhat low expectation }Low	10.7%	10.7%) 14.00/	11.1%) 17 20/	
Very low expectation expectation	13.8%	}24.3%	2.1%	}14.0%	6.2%	}17.3%	
No expectation	56.5	5%	20.	7%	32	3%	
Don't know / hard to say	4.0	%	3.:	5%	3.3	%	
Total	100.	0%	100	0.0%	100.0%		
Mean values	2	3	3	.4	3.	2	
Standard error of mean	0.	1	<(0.1	<0	.1	
Median	2.0	2.0		.0	3.	0	
Refuse to answer	1			0	1	,	
Net value (High expectation- Low	-13.	8%	+23	5.3%	+10	Q0/ ₀	
expectation)	-13.	O / V	123		+10.9%		

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Policy Address expectations Q3a Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q3a Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it	Pro-democracy c	amp supporters	Non-pro-democrac	y camp supporters #	Т	otal	
that it deals with the following issues?	Percen	tages	Perce	ntages	Perce	entages	
[Labour policy]	(Base=3	3,949)	(Base	=548)	(Base=4,726)		
Strongly necessary }Necessary Somewhat necessary	59.8% 21.6%	}81.4%	51.6% 32.3% }84.0%		54.7% 27.6%	}82.3%	
Half-half	11.1%		11.	7%	12.0%		
Somewhat unnecessary }Unnecessary	0.5%	}2.2%	1.9%	}4.0%	1.4%	}4.2%	
Strongly unnecessary	1.6%	,	2.1%	, ,	2.8%	,	
Don't know / hard to say	5.39	%	0.3	3%	1.	5%	
Total	100.0	0%	100	0.0%	100.0%		
Mean values	4.5	5	4	.3	4	4.3	
Standard error of mean	<0.	1	<(0.1	<	0.1	
Median	5.0		5	.0	5	5.0	
Refuse to answer	10		(0	10		
Net value (Necessary- Unnecessary)	+79.2	2%	+80	0.0%	+78.1%		

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Policy Address expectations Q3b Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q3b Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it	Pro-democracy ca		Non-pro-democracy			otal	
that it deals with the following issues? [Housing]	(Base=3		(Base=		(Base=4,733)		
Strongly necessary }Necessary Somewhat necessary	59.9% 19.6%	}79.5%		}84.0%	55.6% 27.4%	}82.9%	
Half-half	10.0%		11.3	3%	11.3%		
Somewhat unnecessary }Unnecessary	3.4%	}5.3%	1.0%	}2.4%	1.4%	}2.9%	
Strongly unnecessary	1.9%		1.5%	,	1.5%	,	
Don't know / hard to say	5.2%	%	2.2	%	2.	8%	
Total	100.0)%	100.0%		100.0%		
Mean values	4.4		4.	4	4	1.4	
Standard error of mean	<0.	1	<0	.1	<	0.1	
Median	5.0		5.0	0	5	5.0	
Refuse to answer	3	3			3		
Net value (Necessary- Unnecessary)	+74.2	2%	+81.	6%	+80.0%		

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Policy Address expectations Q3c Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q3c Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it	Pro-democracy camp supporters Percentages (Base=3,948)			y camp supporters #	Total	
that it deals with the following issues? [Healthcare and public health]				=545)	Percentages (Base=4,722)	
Strongly necessary }Necessary Somewhat necessary	45.9% 17.1%	}62.9%	39.2% 33.5%	}72.6%	39.6% 29.8%	}69.4%
Half-half		7%	16.8%		17.7%	
Somewhat unnecessary }Unnecessary Strongly unnecessary	1.2% 5.8%	}7.0%	5.1% 3.4%	}8.5%	4.6% 4.0%	}8.5%
Don't know / hard to say	12.4	1%	2.1%		4.4%	
Total	100.	0%	100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values	4.	1	4.0		4.0	
Standard error of mean	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median	5.0		4.0		4.0	
Refuse to answer	11		3		14	
Net value (Necessary- Unnecessary)	+56.0%		+64.2%		+60.8%	

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Policy Address expectations Q3d Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q3d Irrespective of how important you think	Pro-democracy	Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		tal	
the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the following issues?	Percer	ntages	Percen	ntages	Percentages		
[Social welfare]	(Base=	3,947)	(Base	=546)	(Base=	4,721)	
Strongly necessary \{ \text{Necessary}	50.8%	}78.0%	37.3%	}72.0%	41.3%	}73.8%	
Somewhat necessary	27.2%		34.7%		32.4%		
Half-half	14.	14.5%		16.3%		16.1%	
Somewhat unnecessary }Unnecessary	0.4%	}2.2%	6.4%	}9.5%	4.5%	}7.5%	
Strongly unnecessary	1.7%	32.270	3.1%	,,,,,,,	3.0%	, 7.570	
Don't know / hard to say	5.3%		2.2%		2.7%		
Total	100	.0%	100.0%		100.0%		
Mean values	4.	3	4.0		4.1		
Standard error of mean	<0	<0.1		0.1	<0.1		
Median	5.0		4.0		4.0		
Refuse to answer	12		2		14		
Net value (Necessary- Unnecessary)	+75	+75.9%		.5%	+66.3%		

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Policy Address expectations Q3e Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q3e Irrespective of how important you think	Pro-democracy	Pro-democracy camp supporters		y camp supporters #	То	tal
the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the following issues?	Percei	ntages	Percer	ntages	Percentages	
[Education]	(Base=	3,940)	(Base	=547)	(Base=	4,715)
Strongly necessary } Necessary Somewhat necessary	52.0% 19.7%	}71.7%	30.2% 44.6%	}74.8%	35.2% 38.4%	}73.6%
Half-half	17.8%		17.4%		17.7%	
Somewhat unnecessary }Unnecessary Strongly unnecessary	0.4% 4.5%	}4.9%	3.3% 2.2%	}5.6%	3.0%	}6.0%
Don't know / hard to say	5.6	5%	2.2%		2.7%	
Total	100	.0%	100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values	4.	2	4.0		4.0	
Standard error of mean	<0	<0.1		<0.1		.1
Median	5.0		4.0		4.	0
Refuse to answer	19		1		20	
Net value (Necessary- Unnecessary)	+66	+66.8%		.3%	+67.6%	

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

CE 100 days in office Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q1 CE John Lee has been in office for about 100 days, how much has your confidence in	Pro-democracy camp supporters Percentages (Base=3,959)		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
the future of Hong Kong increased or decreased?			Percer (Base		Percentages (Base=4,736)	
Increased a lot Increased Increased	3.8% }15.6%		11.8% 30.6%	}42.4%	9.9% 23.6%	}33.5%
Unchanged	29.4	%	36.4%		36.1%	
Decreased slightly }Decreased Decreased slightly	18.1% 32.4%	}50.5%	6.8% 11.4%	}18.2%	9.2% 18.0%	}27.2%
Don't know / hard to say	4.5%		2.9%		3.1	%
Total	100.0)%	100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values	2.3	1	3.3		3.0	
Standard error of mean	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median	an 2.0		3.0		3.0	
Refuse to answer 0		0		0		
Net value (Increased- Decreased) -34.9%		+24	.2%	+6.3%		

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

CE 100 days in office Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q2 Counting on the past 100 days, how	Pro-democracy camp supporters Percentages		Non-pro-democracy	y camp supporters #	Total Percentages	
satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the			Perce	ntages		
policy direction of CE John Lee?	(Base=	=3,957)	(Base	=546)	(Base=	4,732)
Very much satisfied }Satisfied	3.1%	}5.3%	7.8%	}41.6%	6.4%	}30.1%
Somewhat satisfied	2.2%	} J.J /0	33.8%	}41.070	23.7%	350.170
Half-half	20.	3%	33.	0%	30.	4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	19.1%		8.2%		12.5%	
}Dissatisfied Very dissatisfied	40.9%	}60.0%	10.2%	}18.4%	18.7%	}31.3%
Don't know / hard to say	14.3%		7.0%		8.2	2%
Total	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values	1.9		3.2		2.9	
Standard error of mean	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median 2.0		3.0		3.0		
Refuse to answer 2		2		4		
Net value (Satisfied- Dissatisfied)	-54.	.7%	+23.2%		-1.2%	

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Living and working in Greater Bay Area Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

Q1 How willing or unwilling are you to	Pro-democracy ca	amp supporters	Non-pro-democracy	y camp supporters #	Total	
settle down in the Greater Bay Area outside	Percent	ages	Percei	ntages	Percentages	
Hong Kong and Macau?	(Base=3,958)		(Base	=547)	(Base=	4,734)
Very willing } Willing Somewhat willing	3.2% 1.7%		8.7% 25.0%	}33.7%	6.7% 17.8%	}24.5%
Half-half	3.5%	%	23.6%		17.4%	
Somewhat unwilling }Unwilling	4.7%	}87.5%	8.0%	}38.0%	6.7%	}52.6%
Very unwilling	82.8%	,07.570	29.9%	,30.070	45.9%	, 32.070
Don't know / hard to say	4.2%		4.7%		5.4	%
Total	100.0)%	100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values	1.3		2.7		2.3	
Standard error of mean <0.1		<0.1		<0.1		
Median	1.0		3.0		2.0	
Refuse to answer	1		1		2	
Net value (Willing- Unwilling)	(Willing- Unwilling) -82.6%		-4.3	3%	-28.1%	

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Living and working in Greater Bay Area Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 7-12/10/2022

	Pro-democracy	camp supporters	Non-pro-democrac	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		tal	
Q2 How willing or unwilling are you to work in the Greater Bay Area outside Hong Kong and Macau?	Percei	ntages	Perce	entages	Percentages		
	(Base=	3,955)	(Base	e=548)	(Base=	4,732)	
Very willing } Willing	3.2%	}3.5%	10.6%	}23.8%	7.9%	}17.5%	
Somewhat willing	0.4%	33.370	13.2%	}23.670	9.6%	<i>}17.57</i> 0	
Half-half	2.0	0%	16	.4%	13.:	3%	
Somewhat unwilling }Unwilling	10.7%	10.7%		}38.0%	9.0%	}51.5%	
Very unwilling	75.0%	}85.7%	28.3%	336.070	42.6%	351.570	
Not applicable	4.6%		17	17.9%		13.3%	
Don't know / hard to say	4.1%		3.9%		4.3%		
Total	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%		
Mean values	1.3		2.6		2.2		
Standard error of mean	<0).1	<	<0.1		<0.1	
Median 1.0		0	3	3.0		0	
Refuse to answer 4		0		4			
Net value (Willing- Unwilling)	-82.	2%	-14	-14.3%		-34.0%	

[#] Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (7-12/10/2022)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Las	t updated: xxxx-xx-xx
Ten	tative next update: xxxx-xx-xx
*Re	equired
Elig	gibility Confirmation
Are	you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above (i.e., currently residing in Hong Kong)? *
\bigcirc	Yes
\bigcirc	No
CE	in Office: Day 100; Expectation on the Policy Address
CE	John Lee has been in office for about 100 days, how much has your confidence in the future of Hong
Kor	ng increased or decreased?
\bigcirc	Increased a lot
\bigcirc	Increased slightly
\bigcirc	Unchanged
\bigcirc	Decreased slightly
\bigcirc	Decreased a lot
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say
Cou	ınting on the past 100 days, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the policy direction of CE John
Lee	?
\bigcirc	Very much satisfied
\bigcirc	Somewhat satisfied
\bigcirc	Half-half
\bigcirc	Somewhat dissatisfied
\bigcirc	Very much dissatisfied

\bigcirc	Don't know / hard t	o say					
CE	John Lee will soon o	deliver the 20	22 Policy Add	lress. How im	portant or un	important do	you think the
Poli	cy Address is?						
\bigcirc	Very important						
\bigcirc	Somewhat importar	nt					
\bigcirc	Half-half						
\bigcirc	Somewhat unimpor	tant					
\bigcirc	Very unimportant						
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard t	o say					
Irre	espective of how imp	ortant you th	nink the Policy	y Address is, l	how much exp	ectation do y	ou have on it?
\bigcirc	Very high expectation	on					
\bigcirc	Somewhat high exp	ectation					
\bigcirc	Half-half						
\bigcirc	Somewhat low expe	ectation					
\bigcirc	Very low expectation	n					
\bigcirc	No expectation						
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard t	o say					
follo	espective of how impowing issues? domise the five issues)	ortant you th	nink the Policy	y Address is, l	how necessary	is it that it d	eals with the
		Strongly	Somewhat	101 10	Somewhat	Strongly	Don't know /
		necessary	necessary	Half-half	unnecessary	unnecessary	
	Housing						
Н	ealthcare and public						
	health						
	Education						
	Social welfare						
	Labour policy						
	Hongkongers Series v willing or unwillin		settle down in	the Crester	Ray Area outs	ide Hang Ka	ng and
	cau?	s are jou to s	occie do mii ili	and Greater	ong rica outs	TWO ITOING INO	
\bigcirc	Very willing						
\bigcirc	Somewhat willing						
_	8						

\bigcirc	Half-half				
\bigcirc	Somewhat unwilling				
\bigcirc	Very unwilling				
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say				
Hov	w willing or unwilling are you to w	ork in	the Greater Bay	Area o	utside Hong Kong and Macau?
\bigcirc	Not applicable (not in the labour for	orce)			
\bigcirc	Very willing				
\bigcirc	Somewhat willing				
\bigcirc	Half-half				
\bigcirc	Somewhat unwilling				
\bigcirc	Very unwilling				
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say				
Cor	nmunity Health				
Hav	ve you ever contracted COVID-19	?			
\bigcirc	Yes				
\bigcirc	No / don't know				
				. 64	277D 40
		will con	itract or re-cont	ract CC	OVID-19 over the next one month?
`	ase select the closest answer)		200/ 1		700/ 1
0	0% chance (Certainly not)	0	20% chance	0	70% chance
0	0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)	0	25% chance	0	80% chance
0	0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)	0	30% chance	0	90% chance
0	0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)	0	35% chance	0	100% chance (Certainly will)
\circ	1% chance (1 in 100)	\circ	40% chance	\circ	% chance
\circ	5% chance (1 in 20)	\circ	45% chance	\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say
\circ	10% chance (1 in 10)	\bigcirc	50% chance		
\bigcirc	15% chance	\bigcirc	60% chance		
**	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•41 41	49	e	. I III. COVID 100
	w satisfied or dissatisfied are you w	vith the	government's p	ertorm	ance in handling COVID-19?
0	Very much satisfied				
0	Somewhat satisfied				
\bigcirc	Half-half				
0	Somewhat dissatisfied				
\bigcirc	Vary may ab discotisfied				
	Very much dissatisfied Don't know / hard to say				

Other Opinions

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current in Hong Kong?

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	•	Don't know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

Personal Information

This	section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this
surv	ey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past
data	you provided in this survey series for analysis.
\bigcirc	I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions or personal information)
0	Provide / update personal information now
Gen	der
\bigcirc	Male
\bigcirc	Female
\bigcirc	Other
Age	
\bigcirc	years old

Educational attainment

35 - 39

((The highest level attende	ed, regardless of whether	you have completed the course	including what vo	u are attending)

- O Primary or below
- O Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)

\bigcirc	Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)			
\bigcirc	Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)			
\bigcirc	Tertiary: bachelor degree course			
0	Tertiary: postgraduate school or above			
Occ	upation			
(Ow	ner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or			
conte	ent)			
\bigcirc	Administrator and professional			
\bigcirc	Clerical and service worker			
\bigcirc	Production worker			
\bigcirc	Student			
\bigcirc	Home-maker / housewife			
\bigcirc	Retired person			
\bigcirc	Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed			
\bigcirc	Other:			
Wh	ich of the following best describes your political inclination?			
(Ran	domise the four camps)			
\bigcirc	Localist			
\bigcirc	Pro-democracy camp			
\bigcirc	Centrist			
\bigcirc	Pro-establishment camp			
\bigcirc	Other:			
\bigcirc	No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp			
\bigcirc	Don't know / hard to say			

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.