


POP



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM


HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Latest Tracking Poll Results

December 21, 2021

POP



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Community Democracy Project -

Community Health Module

Latest Results

December 21, 2021

Contact Information - Community Health Module

3

	HKPOP Panel	
Date of survey	November 29, 3pm – December 20, 3pm	
Survey method	Online survey	
Target population	Hong Kong residents aged 12+	
	Representative Panel	Volunteer Panel
Total sample size	1,268	10,066
Response rate	14.1%	12.6%
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-3% at 95% confidence level	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.	

Survey Result - Community Health Module

4


- **Latest survey period: 29/11-20/12/2021** (*Representative Panel N=1,265 Volunteer Panel N=10,050*)
- **Last survey period: 8-29/11/2021** (*Representative Panel N=1,018 Volunteer Panel N=7,964*)
- **Second last survey period: 25/10-8/11/2021** (*Representative Panel N=838 Volunteer Panel N=6,876*)

Opinion Question [^]		Representative Panel (N=1,265)		Volunteer Panel (N=10,050)	
		Don't know / hard to say	Average	Don't know / hard to say	Average
Q1 How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month? [Logarithmic Scale]	Latest	21%	10%	18%	6% ▲*
	Last	19%	8%	17%	5%
	Second Last	20%	10%	17%	6%

[^] Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020. * Significant change

Survey Result - Community Health Module

Assessment of the public's expected chance of COVID-19 infection



^ Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020.

* Significant change

Survey Result - Community Health Module

6

- **Latest survey period: 29/11-20/12/2021** (Representative Panel N=1,266 Volunteer Panel N=10,057)
- **Last survey period: 8-29/11/2021** (Representative Panel N=1,021 Volunteer Panel N=7,974)
- **Second last survey period: 25/10-8/11/2021** (Representative Panel N=836 Volunteer Panel N=6,873)

Opinion Question [^]		Representative Panel (N=1,266)				Volunteer Panel (N=10,057)			
		Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean [†]	Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean [†]
Q2 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?	Latest	32%	21%	45%	2.6	30% ▼*	11% ▼*	58% ▲*	2.4 ▼*
	Last	34%	20%	45%	2.6	33%	13%	53%	2.5
	Second Last	31%	19%	50%	2.5	34%	11%	55%	2.5

[^] Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say


[†] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

* Significant change

Survey Result - Community Health Module

7


Appraisal of HK Government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia



^ Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say

* Significant change

POP



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

限聚指數

Group Gathering Prohibition Index

21/12/2021

樣本資料 - 限聚指數基準調查

Contact Information - Group Gathering Prohibition Index Benchmark Survey

9

	香港民研意見群組成員 HKPOP Panel
調查日期 Survey date	23/11 15:00 – 29/11 15:00
調查方法 Survey method	以電郵接觸群組成員，並於網上完成調查 Online survey
訪問對象 Target population	十二歲或以上的香港市民 Hong Kong residents aged 12+
總成功樣本 Total sample size	5,888
回應比率 Response rate	6.6%
抽樣誤差 Sampling error	95% 置信水平，百分比誤差 +/-1% Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
加權方法 Weighting method	按照1) 政府統計處提供的全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字、各區議會人口數字； 2) 選舉事務處提供的區議會選舉結果；3) 常規調查中的特首評分分佈數字，以 「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。 The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.

限聚指數

Group Gathering Prohibition Index

10


- **最新調查日期 Latest survey date: 23-29/11/2021 (N=5,888)**
- **上次調查日期 Last survey date: 18-25/10/2021 (N=5,974)**
- **上上次調查日期 Second last survey date: 13-20/9/2021 (N=6,210)**

意見題目	Opinion Questions
<p>你認為香港應否無條件全面撤銷「限聚令」？</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ 應該無條件撤銷「限聚令」▪ 不應該，應視乎疫情而定▪ 不知道／很難說 <p>[追問沒有選擇應該“無條件撤銷「限聚令」”者]</p> <p>你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於2人？</p> <p>你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於4人？</p> <p>你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於8人？</p> <p>你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於16人？</p> <p>你認為感染個案清零多少天後，限聚令應該全面撤銷？</p> <p>請於以下欄位列舉你認為合適的 [個案數 及 限聚人數] 組合.....</p>	<p>Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally▪ No, it should depend on the epidemic situation▪ Don't know / hard to say <p>[For respondents NOT answering “Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally”]</p> <p>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people?</p> <p>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people?</p> <p>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people?</p> <p>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people?</p> <p>After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether?</p> <p>Please list combinations of [number of cases & number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:</p>


調查結果 - 限聚接受程度

Survey Result – Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level

限聚接受程度 Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level



POP



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Latest Tracking Poll Results

December 21, 2021

Contact Information

13

- Date of survey: 9-14/12/2021
- Survey method: Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers
- Target population: Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above
- Sample size: 1,017 (including 505 landline and 512 mobile samples)
- Effective response rate: 58.0%
- Sampling error: Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4%, that of net values not more than +/-8% and that of ratings not more than +/-2.0 at 95% conf. level
- Weighting method: Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from “Mid-year population for 2020”, while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from “Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2020 Edition)”.

Survey Topic

14

- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Popularity of HKSAR Government
 - People's satisfaction with the HKSAR Government
 - People's trust in the HKSAR Government
 - People's appraisals of society's conditions
- Public Sentiment Index

Survey Result - Popularity of Chief Executive

15

● Popularity of Chief Executive


		29/11-3/12/2021	9-14/12/2021	Change	Record
CE Carrie Lam	Rating	34.6	35.8	▲1.1	Record high since Nov. 2021
	Vote of confidence	21%	21%	--	--
	Vote of no confidence	66%	63%	▼3%	Record low since Nov. 2021
	Net approval rate	-45%	-42%	▲3%	Record high since Nov. 2021

- Our latest survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 35.8 marks, with 34% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her approval rate is 21%, disapproval rate 63%, giving a net popularity of negative 42 percentage points. The rating and net popularity have not registered any significant change compared to half a month ago.

Survey Result - Popularity of Chief Executive

16

特首林鄭月娥評分 (按次計算)
Rating for Chief Executive Carrie Lam (Per Poll)
(7/2017 – 12/2021)



Survey Topic

20

- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Popularity of HKSAR Government
 - People's satisfaction with the HKSAR Government
 - People's trust in the HKSAR Government
 - People's appraisals of society's conditions
- Public Sentiment Index

Survey Result - Popularity of HKSAR Government

21

● People's satisfaction with the HKSAR Government

	15-18/11/2021	9-14/12/2021	Change	Record
Satisfaction rate	26%	25%	▼1%	Record low since Sept. 2021
Dissatisfaction rate	56%	56%	--	--
Net satisfaction rate	-30%	-31%	▼1%	Record low since Aug. 2021
Mean value	2.4	2.4	--	--

- Regarding the HKSAR Government, the latest satisfaction rate is 25%, whereas dissatisfaction rate stands at 56%, thus the net satisfaction is negative 31 percentage points. The mean score is 2.4, meaning between “quite dissatisfied” and “half-half” in general. Figures have not changed much from a month ago.

Survey Result - Popularity of HKSAR Government

22

● People's trust in the HKSAR Government

	15-18/11/2021	9-14/12/2021	Change	Record
Trust	42%	39%	▼3%	Record low since Oct. 2021
Distrust	44%	50%	▲6% *	Record high since Jul. 2021
Net trust	-2%	-11%	▼9%	Record low since Sept. 2021
Mean value	2.8	2.7	▼0.1	Record low since Sept. 2021

- Regarding people's trust in the HKSAR Government, 39% of the respondents expressed trust, 50% expressed distrust, which has increased significantly by 6 percentage points from a month ago, thus the net trust value is negative 11 percentage points. The mean score is 2.7, meaning between “quite distrust” and “half-half” in general.

* Significant change

Survey Result - Popularity of HKSAR Government

23

• Net satisfaction rates of society's conditions


	15-18/11/2021	9-14/12/2021	Change	Record
Economic condition	-24%	-24%	--	--
Political condition	-23%	-27%	▼3%	Record low since Oct. 2021
Livelihood condition	-28%	-28%	▼1%	Record low since Sept. 2021

- As for people's satisfaction with the current economic, political and livelihood conditions, the latest net satisfaction rates are negative 24, negative 27 and negative 28 percentage points respectively. All three net satisfaction rates have not changed significantly compared to a month ago.

Survey Result - Popularity of HKSAR Government

24

市民對社會狀況的評價滿意率淨值 (按次計算)
Net satisfaction rates of people's appraisals of society's conditions (Per Poll)
(7/2017 – 12/2021)



Survey Topic

25

- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Popularity of HKSAR Government
 - People's satisfaction with the HKSAR Government
 - People's trust in the HKSAR Government
 - People's appraisals of society's conditions
- **Public Sentiment Index**

Survey Result - Public Sentiment Index

26

● Public Sentiment Index (PSI)


	3/12/2021	14/12/2021	Change	Record
Public Sentiment Index (PSI)	87.1	86.8	▼0.3	Record low since Nov. 2021
Government Appraisal (GA)	79.5	78.0	▼1.5	Record low since Sept. 2021
Society Appraisal (SA)	90.2	91.2	▲1.0	Record high since Apr. 2019

- All PSI, GA and SA scores range between 0 to 200, with 100 meaning normal.
- The latest PSI stands at 86.8, down by 0.3 point from early December. It can be considered as among the worst 19% across the past 20 years or so. Two component scores of PSI also can be considered as among the worst 7% and 28% across the past 20 years or so respectively. SA has also registered another record **high** since April 2019.


Survey Result - Public Sentiment Index

27

民情指數 (按月計算)
Public Sentiment Index (monthly average)
(7/1992 - 12/2021)



POP



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃


LC Election Survey Analysis

December 21, 2021

LC Election Survey Analysis

29

投票意欲對比投票比率 Propensity to Vote vs Real Turnouts



LC Election Survey Analysis

30

立法議會選舉年份 Year of election	1991	1996	1998	2000	2004	2008	2012	2016	2021
立法議會選舉選前投票意欲 Voters' propensity to vote at the final stage	73%	69%	77%	79%	85%	84%	85%	86%	48%
立法議會地區直選最後投票率 Final turnout rate for geographical constituencies	39%	36%	53%	44%	56%	45%	53%	58%	30%
差值 Difference (mean 平均 30%)	34%	33%	24%	35%	29%	39%	32%	28%	18%
比例 Ratio (mean 平均 60%)	54%	52%	69%	55%	65%	54%	62%	68%	63%

LC Election Survey Analysis

31

累積投票比率推算法 Projection of Cumulative Turnout Rates (2016 --> 2021)

時間 Time	2012	2016	比例 Ratio	即時推算 Projection		2021	比例 Ratio	即時推算 Projection
8:30	1%	1%	0.99	53%		-	-	-
9:30	4%	4%	0.96	51%		3%	0.85	50%
10:30	7%	7%	0.95	50%		6%	0.89	52%
11:30	11%	11%	0.97	52%		9%	0.85	49%
12:30	15%	15%	0.99	53%		12%	0.81	47%
13:30	18%	19%	1.02	54%		14%	0.76	44%
14:30	22%	23%	1.04	55%		17%	0.73	42%
15:30	25%	27%	1.06	56%		19%	0.70	41%
16:30	29%	31%	1.07	57%		21%	0.67	39%
17:30	33%	35%	1.08	57%		23%	0.65	38%
18:30	36%	40%	1.09	58%		25%	0.63	37%
19:30	40%	44%	1.09	58%		26%	0.61	35%
20:30	44%	48%	1.09	58%		28%	0.58	34%
21:30	48%	53%	1.09	58%		29%	0.56	32%
22:30	53%	58%	1.10	58%		30%	0.52	30%

LC Election Survey Analysis


32

投票比率推算法 Projection of Turnout Rates (2012/2019 --> 2021)

時間 Time	2012	2021	比例 Ratio	即時推算 Projection	2019	2021	比例 Ratio	即時推算 Projection
8:30	1%	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-
9:30	4%	3%	0.82	43%	10%	3%	0.31	22%
10:30	7%	6%	0.85	45%	17%	6%	0.36	26%
11:30	11%	9%	0.82	44%	24%	9%	0.38	27%
12:30	15%	12%	0.80	42%	31%	12%	0.39	28%
13:30	18%	14%	0.78	41%	37%	14%	0.39	28%
14:30	22%	17%	0.76	40%	42%	17%	0.39	28%
15:30	25%	19%	0.74	39%	47%	19%	0.40	28%
16:30	29%	21%	0.72	38%	52%	21%	0.40	29%
17:30	33%	23%	0.71	38%	56%	23%	0.41	29%
18:30	36%	25%	0.69	37%	60%	25%	0.41	29%
19:30	40%	26%	0.66	35%	64%	26%	0.42	30%
20:30	44%	28%	0.64	34%	67%	28%	0.42	30%
21:30	48%	29%	0.61	32%	69%	29%	0.42	30%
22:30	53%	30%	0.57	30%	71%	30%	0.42	30%

LC Election Survey Analysis

分段投票比率 Hourly Turnout Rate



LC Election Survey Analysis

34

- Telephone Surveys: Date of survey 9-14/12/2021 (Total sample = 891)

GC	HKI East	HKI West	Kln East	Kln West	Kln Central	NT SE	NT N	NT NW	NT SW	NT NE
Tel sub-sample	72	87	84	71	50	80	64	82	77	77
Candidates	POON Chuk-hung 49%	IP LAU Suk- yee Regina 62%	TANG Ka-piu 42%	CHENG Wing-shun Vincent 54%	LEE Wai-king Starry 66%	LI Sai-wing 59%	LAU Kwok-fan 81%	CHOW Ho- ding Holden 52%	CHAN Han-pan 44%	LEE Tsz-king Dominic 41%
	NG Chau-pei 26%	CHAN Hok-fung 21%	NGAN Man-yu 27%	LEUNG Man-kwong 28%	YANG Wing-kit 14%	LAM So-wai 21%	ZHANG Xinyu 10%	TIEN Michael Puk-sun 34%	CHAN Wing-yan 36%	CHAN Hak-kan 40%
	LIU Tin-shing 15%	FONG Lung-fei 17%	LI Ka-yan 17%	FUNG Kin- kee Frederick 15%	TAM Heung-man 12%	CHOI Ming-hei 14%	SHUM Ho-kit <1%	WONG Chun-long 9%	LAU Cheuk-yu 20%	WONG Wing-ho Allan 16%
	LEUNG Hei Edward 11%	/	CHAN Chun-hung 13%	/	/	/	TZENG Li-wen Judy <1%	/	/	WONG Sing-chi 3%
	/	/	WU Kin-wa <1%	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Invalid Votes (Blank/spoilt votes)	<1%	<1%	<1%	4%	8%	6%	8%	5%	<1%	<1%

LC Election Survey Analysis

35

- Telephone Surveys: Date of survey 9-14/12/2021 (Total sample = 891)

GC	HKI East	HKI West	Kln East	Kln West	Kln Central	NT SE	NT N	NT NW	NT SW	NT NE
Tel sub-sample	72	87	84	71	50	80	64	82	77	77
Candidates	POON Chuk-hung 49%	IP LAU Suk- yee Regina 62%	TANG Ka-piu 42%	CHENG Wing-shun Vincent 54%	LEE Wai-king Starry 66%	LI Sai-wing 59%	LAU Kwok-fan 81%	CHOW Ho- ding Holden 52%	CHAN Han-pan 44%	LEE Tsz-king Dominic 41%
	NG Chau-pei 26%	CHAN Hok-fung 21%	NGAN Man-yu 27%	LEUNG Man-kwong 28%	YANG Wing-kit 14%	LAM So-wai 21%	ZHANG Xinyu 10%	TIEN Michael Puk-sun 34%	CHAN Wing-yan 36%	CHAN Hak-kan 40%
	LIU Tin-shing 15%	FONG Lung-fei 17%	LI Ka-yan 17%	FUNG Kin- kee Frederick 15%	TAM Heung-man 12%	CHOI Ming-hei 14%	SHUM Ho-kit <1%	WONG Chun-long 9%	LAU Cheuk-yu 20%	WONG Wing-ho Allan 16%
	LEUNG Hei Edward 11%	/	CHAN Chun-hung 13%	/	/	/	TZENG Li-wen Judy <1%	/	/	WONG Sing-chi 3%
	/	/	WU Kin-wa <1%	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Invalid Votes (Blank/spoilt votes)	<1%	<1%	<1%	4%	8%	6%	8%	5%	<1%	<1%

LC Election Survey Analysis

36

- Panel Surveys: Date of surveys 23-25/11, 6-9/12, 13-15/12/2021 (Total sample = 10,174)

GC	HKI East	HKI West	Kln East	Kln West	Kln Central	NT SE	NT N	NT NW	NT SW	NT NE
Panel sub-sample	1,186	918	991	974	766	1,330	611	1,066	1,123	1,020
Candidates	LIU Tin-shing 43%	IP LAU Suk- yee Regina 67%	NGAN Man-yu 33%	CHENG Wing-shun Vincent 56%	LEE Wai-king Starry 40%	LI Sai-wing 44%	ZHANG Xinyu 50%	TIEN Michael Puk-sun 47%	CHAN Wing-yan 63%	CHAN Hak-kan 32%
	LEUNG Hei Edward 17%	CHAN Hok-fung 24%	TANG Ka-piu 27%	FUNG Kin- kee Frederick 24%	YANG Wing-kit 37%	LAM So-wai 42%	LAU Kwok-fan 25%	CHOW Ho- ding Holden 41%	CHAN Han-pan 18%	WONG Sing-chi 29%
	POON Chuk-hung 13%	FONG Lung-fei 1%	CHAN Chun-hung 12%	LEUNG Man-kwong 13%	TAM Heung-man 15%	CHOI Ming-hei 2%	TZENG Li-wen Judy 9%	WONG Chun-long 2%	LAU Cheuk-yu 14%	LEE Tsz-king Dominic 16%
	NG Chau-pei 13%	/	LI Ka-yan 4%	/	/	/	SHUM Ho-kit 8%	/	/	WONG Wing-ho Allan 11%
	/	/	WU Kin-wa 1%	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Invalid Votes (Blank/spoilt votes)	15%	8%	23%	6%	9%	12%	7%	10%	5%	12%

LC Election Survey Analysis

37

- Panel Surveys: Date of surveys 23-25/11, 6-9/12, 13-15/12/2021 (Total sample = 10,174)

GC	HKI East	HKI West	Kln East	Kln West	Kln Central	NT SE	NT N	NT NW	NT SW	NT NE
Panel sub-sample	1,186	918	991	974	766	1,330	611	1,066	1,123	1,020
Candidates	LIU Tin-shing 43%	IP LAU Suk- yee Regina 67%	NGAN Man-yu 33%	CHENG Wing-shun Vincent 56%	LEE Wai-king Starry 40%	LI Sai-wing 44%	ZHANG Xinyu 50%	TIEN Michael Puk-sun 47%	CHAN Wing-yan 63%	CHAN Hak-kan 32%
	LEUNG Hei Edward 17%	CHAN Hok-fung 24%	TANG Ka-piu 27%	FUNG Kin- kee Frederick 24%	YANG Wing-kit 37%	LAM So-wai 42%	LAU Kwok-fan 25%	CHOW Ho- ding Holden 41%	CHAN Han-pan 18%	WONG Sing-chi 29%
	POON Chuk-hung 13%	FONG Lung-fei 1%	CHAN Chun-hung 12%	LEUNG Man-kwong 13%	TAM Heung-man 15%	CHOI Ming-hei 2%	TZENG Li-wen Judy 9%	WONG Chun-long 2%	LAU Cheuk-yu 14%	LEE Tsz-king Dominic 16%
	NG Chau-pei 13%	/	LI Ka-yan 4%	/	/	/	SHUM Ho-kit 8%	/	/	WONG Wing-ho Allan 11%
	/	/	WU Kin-wa 1%	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Invalid Votes (Blank/spoilt votes)	15%	8%	23%	6%	9%	12%	7%	10%	5%	12%

LC Election Survey Analysis

38

- Telephone Surveys: Date of survey 9-14/12/2021 (Total sample = 891)
- Panel Surveys: Date of surveys 23-25/11, 6-9/12, 13-15/12/2021 (Total sample = 10,174)

GC	HKI East	HKI West	Kln East	Kln West	Kln Central	NT SE	NT N	NT NW	NT SW	NT NE
Tel sub-sample; Panel sub-sample	72; 1,186	87; 918	84; 991	71; 974	50; 766	80; 1,330	64; 611	82; 1,066	77; 1,123	77; 1,020
Likely Winner(s) (in no particular order; as of 15/12/2021)		IP LAU Suk-ye Regina (NPP)	TANG Ka-piu (HKFTU)	CHENG Wing-shun Vincent (DAB)	LEE Wai-king Starry (DAB)	LI Sai-wing (DAB, NTAS)	LAU Kwok-fan (DAB)	CHOW Ho-ding Holden (DAB, NTAS)		CHAN Hak-kan (DAB, NTAS)
								TIEN Michael Puk-sun (Roundtable)		
Other Candidates (listed in order of candidate numbers)	LEUNG Hei Edward (DAB)	CHAN Hok-fung (DAB)	NGAN Man-yu (DAB)	LEUNG Man-kwong (Kowloon West New Dynamic)	YANG Wing-kit	CHOI Ming-hei (Democrat from Grassroots)	ZHANG Xinyu (New Prospect for Hong Kong)	WONG Chun-long (Third Side)	LAU Cheuk-yu (Independent Democrat)	LEE Tsz-king Dominic (NPP, Civil Force)
	LIU Tin-shing (NPP)	FONG Lung-fei (Pro-democracy Independent Candidate)	CHAN Chun-hung (Path of Democracy)	FUNG Kin-kee Frederick	TAM Heung-man	LAM So-wai (Professional Power)	SHUM Ho-kit (Independent)		CHAN Han-pan (DAB)	WONG Sing-chi
	NG Chau-pei (HKFTU)		WU Kin-wa				TZENG Li-wen Judy		CHAN Wing-yan (HKFTU)	WONG Wing-ho Allan (Path of Democracy)
	POON Chuk- hung		LI Ka-yan							
Invalid Votes (Blank/spoilt votes)	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of the 4th popular candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of the 2nd popular candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of any candidate

LC Election Survey Analysis

39

- Telephone Surveys: Date of survey 9-14/12/2021 (Total sample = 891)
- Panel Surveys: Date of surveys 23-25/11, 6-9/12, 13-15/12/2021 (Total sample = 10,174)

GC	HKI East	HKI West	Kln East	Kln West	Kln Central	NT SE	NT N	NT NW	NT SW	NT NE
Tel sub-sample; Panel sub-sample	72; 1,186	87; 918	84; 991	71; 974	50; 766	80; 1,330	64; 611	82; 1,066	77; 1,123	77; 1,020
Likely Winner(s) (in no particular order; as of 15/12/2021)		IP LAU Suk-ye Regina (NPP)	TANG Ka-piu (HKFTU)	CHENG Wing-shun Vincent (DAB)	LEE Wai-king Starry (DAB)	LI Sai-wing (DAB, NTAS)	LAU Kwok-fan (DAB)	CHOW Ho-ding Holden (DAB, NTAS) TIEN Michael Puk-sun (Roundtable)		CHAN Hak-kan (DAB, NTAS)
Other Candidates (listed in order of candidate numbers)	LEUNG Hei Edward (DAB)	CHAN Hok-fung (DAB)	NGAN Man-yu (DAB)	LEUNG Man-kwong (Kowloon West New Dynamic)	YANG Wing-kit	CHOI Ming-hei (Democrat from Grassroots)	ZHANG Xinyu (New Prospect for Hong Kong)	WONG Chun-long (Third Side)	LAU Cheuk-yu (Independent Democrat)	LEE Tsz-king Dominic (NPP, Civil Force)
	LIU Tin-shing (NPP)	FONG Lung-fei (Pro-democracy Independent Candidate)	CHAN Chun-hung (Path of Democracy)	FUNG Kin-kee Frederick	TAM Heung-man	LAM So-wai (Professional Power)	SHUM Ho-kit (Independent)		CHAN Han-pan (DAB)	WONG Sing-chi
	NG Chau-pei (HKFTU)		WU Kin-wa				TZENG Li-wen Judy		CHAN Wing-yan (HKFTU)	WONG Wing-ho Allan (Path of Democracy)
	POON Chuk- hung		LI Ka-yan							
Invalid Votes (Blank/spoilt votes)	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of the 4th popular candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of the 2nd popular candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of any candidate	Less than that of any candidate