

## 2022 年 5 月 10 日 新聞公報

### 香港民研發放特首及司長民望數字 以及五項核心社會指標

#### 特別宣佈

香港民意研究所（香港民研）前身為香港大學民意研究計劃（港大民研）。公報內的「香港民研」指的可以是香港民意研究所或其前身港大民研。

適值民研進行半年工作檢討，今年五四（2022 年 5 月 4 日，即民研三周年）之後，民研會調整新聞發布會的次數，下調至每月四次左右，以爭取資源進行網上公民教育工作。此外，民研亦希望教育大眾，數字歸數字，評論歸評論。所有由民調數字引發的個人評論，責任由論者自負，與民研無關。

#### 公報簡要

香港民研於四月尾至五月初由真實訪問員以隨機抽樣電話訪問方式成功訪問了 1,000 名香港居民。最新調查顯示，特首林鄭月娥的評分為 34.7 分，有 27% 受訪者給予林鄭月娥 0 分，民望淨值為負 64 個百分點，評分和民望淨值與一個月前分別不大。司長方面，財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 50.3 分，民望淨值為正 27 個百分點，兩者相比兩個月前均大幅上升，並創 2017 年 2 月有紀錄以來新高。律政司司長鄭若驊的支持度評分為 30.3 分，較兩個月前顯著上升，民望淨值為負 32 個百分點，兩者均創 2019 年 4 月以來新高。至於市民對五項核心社會指標的評分方面，由高至低分別是「自由」、「安定」、「法治」、「繁榮」和「民主」，得分分別為 5.13、5.12、5.03、4.87 和 4.27 分。相比一個月前，所有指標均沒有顯著變化。在 95% 置信水平下，調查的百分比誤差不超過 +/-4%，淨值誤差不超過 +/-6%，評分誤差不超過 +/-2.3。

#### 樣本資料

調查日期	:	30/4-6/5/2022
調查方法	:	由真實訪問員進行隨機抽樣電話訪問
訪問對象	:	18 歲或以上操粵語的香港居民
成功樣本數目 <sup>[1]</sup>	:	1,000 (包括 500 個固網及 500 個手機樣本)
實效回應比率	:	41.5%
抽樣誤差 <sup>[2]</sup>	:	在 95% 置信水平下，百分比誤差不超過 +/-4%，淨值誤差不超過 +/-6%，評分誤差不超過 +/-2.3

加權方法：按照政府統計處提供的統計數字以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字來自《二零二一年年中人口數字》，而教育程度（最高就讀程度）及經濟活動身分統計數字則來自《香港的女性及男性 - 主要統計數字》（2021年版）。

- [1] 數字為調查的總樣本數目，個別題目則可能只涉及次樣本。有關數字請參閱下列數表內列出的樣本數目。  
 [2] 此公報中所有誤差數字均以 95% 置信水平計算。95% 置信水平，是指倘若以不同隨機樣本重複進行有關調查 100 次，則 95 次各自計算出的誤差範圍會包含人口真實數字。由於調查數字涉及抽樣誤差，傳媒引用百分比數字時，應避免使用小數點，在引用評分數字時，則可以使用一個小數點。

## 特首及司長民望

以下是特首林鄭月娥的最新民望數字：

調查日期	9-14/12/21	3-6/1/22	7-10/2/22	7-11/3/22	4-7/4/22	30/4-6/5/22	最新變化
樣本數目	1,017	1,021	1,012	1,000	1,014	<b>1,000</b>	--
回應比率	58.0%	52.7%	58.1%	51.5%	49.8%	<b>41.5%</b>	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	<b>結果及誤差</b>	--
特首林鄭月娥評分	35.8	33.8	31.9	26.6 <sup>[3]</sup>	33.4 <sup>[3]</sup>	<b>34.7+/-1.9</b>	<b>+1.3</b>
林鄭月娥出任特首支持率	21%	19%	18%	12% <sup>[3]</sup>	13%	<b>14+/-2%</b>	<b>+1%</b>
林鄭月娥出任特首反對率	63%	67%	70%	78% <sup>[3]</sup>	78%	<b>78+/-3%</b>	--
支持率淨值	-42%	-48%	-53%	-67% <sup>[3]</sup>	-65%	<b>-64+/-5%</b>	<b>+1%</b>

- [3] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95% 置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以下是各問責司長的最新民望數字：

調查日期	6-10/9/21	29/10-3/11/21	3-6/1/22	23/2/22 <sup>[4]</sup>	7-11/3/22	30/4-6/5/22	最新變化
樣本數目	589-668	529-589	582-628	917	559-620	<b>579-660</b>	--
回應比率	44.2%	50.1%	52.7%	47.6%	51.5%	<b>41.5%</b>	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	<b>結果及誤差</b>	--
政務司司長李家超評分 <sup>[5]</sup>	37.5	36.5	36.9	--	34.8	--	--
李家超出任政務司司長支持率 <sup>[5]</sup>	28%	29%	28%	--	24%	--	--
李家超出任政務司司長反對率 <sup>[5]</sup>	38%	35%	38%	--	38%	--	--
支持率淨值 <sup>[5]</sup>	-11%	-6%	-10%	--	-14%	--	--
財政司司長陳茂波評分	41.9	44.2	42.3	47.6 <sup>[6]</sup>	44.7	<b>50.3+/-2.3</b>	<b>+5.6<sup>[6]</sup></b>
陳茂波出任財政司司長支持率	31%	31%	34%	36%	36%	<b>42+/-4%</b>	<b>+6%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
陳茂波出任財政司司長反對率	35% <sup>[6]</sup>	29% <sup>[6]</sup>	31%	30%	26%	<b>16+/-3%</b>	<b>-10%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
支持率淨值	-5%	2%	3%	6%	11%	<b>27+/-6%</b>	<b>+16%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
律政司司長鄭若驊評分	28.3	28.8	24.9 <sup>[7]</sup>	--	26.6	<b>30.3+/-2.3</b>	<b>+3.7<sup>[6]</sup></b>
鄭若驊出任律政司司長支持率	15%	14%	14%	--	11%	<b>12+/-3%</b>	<b>+1%</b>
鄭若驊出任律政司司長反對率	50%	53%	52%	--	50%	<b>45+/-4%</b>	<b>-5%</b>
支持率淨值	-35%	-39%	-38%	--	-39%	<b>-32+/-5%</b>	<b>+6%</b>

- [4] 調查為財政預算案即時調查，只問及財政司司長評分及支持率。

- [5] 李家超已於 2022 年 4 月初辭任政務司司長。

- [6] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95% 置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

最新調查顯示，特首林鄭月娥的評分為 34.7 分，有 27% 受訪者給予林鄭月娥 0 分，其支持率為 14%，反對率為 78%，民望淨值為負 64 個百分點，評分和民望淨值與一個月前分別不大。

司長方面，政務司司長於調查期間出缺，因此香港民研並沒有收集相關數據。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 50.3 分，支持率為 42%，反對率為 16%，民望淨值為正 27 個百分點。其評分及民望淨值相比兩個月前均大幅上升，並創 2017 年 2 月有紀錄以來新高。律政司司長鄭若驊的支持度評分為 30.3 分，較兩個月前顯著上升，其支持率為 12%，反對率為 45%，民望淨值為負 32 個百分點。其評分及民望淨值均創 2019 年 4 月以來新高。

## 核心社會指標

以下是五項核心社會指標的最新數字：

調查日期	3-6/1/22	7-10/2/22	7-11/3/22	4-7/4/22	30/4-6/5/22	最新變化
樣本數目	606-618	548-677	597-604	602-615	594-605	--
回應比率	52.7%	58.1%	51.5%	49.8%	41.5%	--
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	--
自由指標	4.57	5.09 <sup>[7]</sup>	4.92	5.34 <sup>[7]</sup>	5.13+/-0.24	-0.21
安定指標	4.89	4.94	3.91 <sup>[7]</sup>	5.06 <sup>[7]</sup>	5.12+/-0.23	+0.05
法治指標	4.34	5.13 <sup>[7]</sup>	4.36 <sup>[7]</sup>	5.14 <sup>[7]</sup>	5.03+/-0.24	-0.11
繁榮指標	4.89	4.97	3.98 <sup>[7]</sup>	4.93 <sup>[7]</sup>	4.87+/-0.19	-0.06
民主指標	3.93	4.25	3.98	4.51 <sup>[7]</sup>	4.27+/-0.24	-0.24

[7] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在 95% 置信水平的抽樣誤差，表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過，變化在統計學上成立與否，並不同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義，而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以 0 至 10 分評價，市民對五項核心社會指標的評分由高至低分別是「自由」、「安定」、「法治」、「繁榮」和「民主」，得分分別為 5.13、5.12、5.03、4.87 和 4.27 分。相比一個月前，所有指標均沒有顯著變化。

## 民意日誌

香港民研於 2007 年開始與慧科訊業有限公司合作，由慧科訊業按照香港民研設計的分析方法，將每日大事紀錄傳送至香港民研，經香港民研核實後成為「民意日誌」。

由於本新聞公報所涉及的部分調查項目，上次調查日期為 7-11/3/2022，而今次調查日期則為 30/4-6/5/2022，因此是次公報中的「民意日誌」項目便以上述日期為依歸，讓讀者作出比較。以涵蓋率不下 25% 本地報章每日頭條新聞和報社評論計，在上述期間發生的相關大事包括以下事件，讀者可以自行判斷有關事件有否影響各項民調數字：

3/5/22	香港 5 月 19 日起第二階段放寬社交距離措施
30/4/22	特首參選人李家超出席行政長官選舉答問會
29/4/22	特首參選人李家超公布政綱
27/4/22	審計署發表審計報告
22/4/22	政府宣布 5 月起放寬部分入境措施
21/4/22	香港今日起首階段放寬社交距離措施
13/4/22	李家超獲得 786 個選舉委員提名
11/4/22	政府公布全港學校復課安排

9/4/22	李家超正式宣布參選特首
7/4/22	政府宣布修訂新一輪保就業計劃
6/4/22	李家超請辭參選特首
4/4/22	林鄭月娥宣布不參選下一屆特首
3/4/22	新一輪電子消費券首期將於 4 月 7 日發放
2/4/22	政府宣布於 4 月 8 日起一連 3 日推行全民自願快速檢測
31/3/22	政府於 4 月 2 日起向全港家庭派發防疫服務包
30/3/22	林鄭月娥承認防疫措施致使人才流失，承諾捍衛香港的國際地位
23/3/22	林鄭月娥強調未放棄全民強檢，並將爭取與內地通關
21/3/22	政府宣布暫緩全民強檢
20/3/22	政府將提前施行「疫苗通行證」第三階段
18/3/22	政府宣布推出新一輪保就業計劃
17/3/22	林鄭月娥指將調整各項防疫措施

### 下次新聞公報／發佈會

- [新聞公報] 5 月 13 日（星期五）下午二時三十分發佈限聚指數結果
- [新聞公報] 5 月 17 日（星期二）下午二時三十分發佈政治團體民望結果
- [發佈會] 5 月 20 日（星期五）下午二時三十分發佈「我們香港人計劃」關於「安老服務」的意見調查暨第五十六次小型政策論壇 客席評論：待定



Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111

Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361

Website 網址: <https://www.pori.hk>

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang

地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
香港民意研究所

## Press Release on May 10, 2022

### **PORI releases popularity figures of CE and Secretaries of Departments along with five core social indicators**

#### **Special Announcements**

The predecessor of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI) was The Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “PORI” in this release can refer to Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute or its predecessor HKUPOP.

Incidental to PORI’s ongoing half-yearly review, after May Fourth this year (May 4, 2022, i.e., PORI’s 3rd Anniversary), PORI will reduce the frequency of our press conferences to about four times a month, in order to spare more resources for our online civic education work. Besides, PORI would also like to stress the separation of comments from figures, so that the responsibility of all personal comments arising from our scientific research lies entirely on the commentators concerned, not PORI.

#### **Abstract**

PORI successfully interviewed 1,000 Hong Kong residents by a random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers from late April to early May. Our latest survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 34.7 marks, with 27% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her net popularity stands at negative 64 percentage points. Both her rating and net approval rate have not changed much from a month ago. As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of FS Paul Chan is 50.3 marks and his net popularity stands at positive 27 percentage points. Both have greatly increased compared to two months ago, registering new highs since records began in February 2017. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 30.3 marks, which has significantly increased compared to two months ago, while her net popularity stands at negative 32 percentage points. Both have registered new highs since April 2019. As for people’s ratings on the five core social indicators, ranked from the highest to the lowest are “freedom”, “stability”, “rule of law”, “prosperity” and “democracy”. Their scores are 5.13, 5.12, 5.03, 4.87 and 4.27 respectively. The ratings of all indicators have not registered any significant changes compared with a month ago. The maximum sampling error of percentages is +/-4%, that of net values is +/-6% and that of ratings is +/-2.3 at 95% confidence level.

#### **Contact Information**

Date of survey	: 30/4-6/5/2022
Survey method	: Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers
Target population	: Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above
Sample size <sup>[1]</sup>	: 1,000 (including 500 landline and 500 mobile samples)

Effective response rate	: 41.5%
Sampling error <sup>[2]</sup>	: Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4%, that of net values not more than +/-6% and that of ratings not more than +/-2.3 at 95% conf. level
Weighting method	: Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from “Mid-year population for 2021”, while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from “Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2021 Edition)”.

[1] This figure is the total sample size of the survey. Some questions may only involve a subsample, the size of which can be found in the tables below.

[2] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

### **Popularity of CE and Secretaries of Departments**

Recent popularity figures of CE Carrie Lam are summarized as follows:

Date of survey	<u>9-14/12/21</u>	<u>3-6/1/22</u>	<u>7-10/2/22</u>	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	<u>4-7/4/22</u>	<u>30/4-6/5/22</u>	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size	1,017	1,021	1,012	1,000	1,014	<b>1,000</b>	--
Response rate	58.0%	52.7%	58.1%	51.5%	49.8%	<b>41.5%</b>	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Rating of CE Carrie Lam	35.8	33.8	31.9	26.6 <sup>[3]</sup>	33.4 <sup>[3]</sup>	<b>34.7+/-1.9</b>	<b>+1.3</b>
Vote of confidence in CE Carrie Lam	21%	19%	18%	12% <sup>[3]</sup>	13%	<b>14+/-2%</b>	<b>+1%</b>
Vote of no confidence in CE Carrie Lam	63%	67%	70%	78% <sup>[3]</sup>	78%	<b>78+/-3%</b>	--
Net approval rate	-42%	-48%	-53%	-67% <sup>[3]</sup>	-65%	<b>-64+/-5%</b>	<b>+1%</b>

[3] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Recent popularity figures of the Secretaries of Departments under the accountability system are summarized below:

Date of survey	<u>6-10/9/21</u>	<u>29/10-3/11/21</u>	<u>3-6/1/22</u>	<u>23/2/22<sup>[4]</sup></u>	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	<u>30/4-6/5/22</u>	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size	589-668	529-589	582-628	917	559-620	<b>579-660</b>	--
Response rate	44.2%	50.1%	52.7%	47.6%	51.5%	<b>41.5%</b>	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Rating of CS John Lee <sup>[5]</sup>	37.5	36.5	36.9	--	34.8	--	--
Vote of confidence in CS John Lee <sup>[5]</sup>	28%	29%	28%	--	24%	--	--
Vote of no confidence in CS John Lee <sup>[5]</sup>	38%	35%	38%	--	38%	--	--
Net approval rate <sup>[5]</sup>	-11%	-6%	-10%	--	-14%	--	--

Date of survey	6-10/9/21	29/10-31/1/21	3-6/1/22	23/2/22 <sup>[4]</sup>	7-11/3/22	30/4-6/5/22	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size	589-668	529-589	582-628	917	559-620	<b>579-660</b>	--
Response rate	44.2%	50.1%	52.7%	47.6%	51.5%	<b>41.5%</b>	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Rating of FS Paul Chan	41.9	44.2	42.3	47.6 <sup>[6]</sup>	44.7	<b>50.3+/-2.3</b>	<b>+5.6<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Vote of confidence in FS Paul Chan	31%	31%	34%	36%	36%	<b>42+/-4%</b>	<b>+6%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Vote of no confidence in FS Paul Chan	35% <sup>[6]</sup>	29% <sup>[6]</sup>	31%	30%	26%	<b>16+/-3%</b>	<b>-10%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Net approval rate	-5%	2%	3%	6%	11%	<b>27+/-6%</b>	<b>+16%<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Rating of SJ Teresa Cheng	28.3	28.8	24.9 <sup>[7]</sup>	--	26.6	<b>30.3+/-2.3</b>	<b>+3.7<sup>[6]</sup></b>
Vote of confidence in SJ Teresa Cheng	15%	14%	14%	--	11%	<b>12+/-3%</b>	<b>+1%</b>
Vote of no confidence in SJ Teresa Cheng	50%	53%	52%	--	50%	<b>45+/-4%</b>	<b>-5%</b>
Net approval rate	-35%	-39%	-38%	--	-39%	<b>-32+/-5%</b>	<b>+6%</b>

[4] The survey was the Budget instant poll and only asked about the rating of FS and vote of confidence in him.

[5] John Lee resigned as Chief Secretary in early April 2022.

[6] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Our latest survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 34.7 marks, with 27% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her approval rate is 14%, disapproval rate 78%, giving a net popularity of negative 64 percentage points. Both her rating and net approval rate have not changed much from a month ago.

As for the Secretaries of Departments, the post of CS has been left vacant during the survey period and thus PORI did not collect data on CS. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 50.3 marks. His approval rate stands at 42%, disapproval rate 16%, thus a net popularity of positive 27 percentage points. Both his rating and net approval rate have greatly increased compared to two months ago, registering new highs since records began in February 2017. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 30.3 marks, which has significantly increased compared to two months ago. Her approval rate stands at 12%, disapproval rate 45%, giving a net popularity of negative 32 percentage points. Both her rating and net approval rate have registered new highs since April 2019.

### Core Social Indicators

Herewith the latest figures of the five core social indicators:

Date of survey	3-6/1/22	7-10/2/22	7-11/3/22	4-7/4/22	30/4-6/5/22	<u>Latest change</u>
Sample size	606-618	548-677	597-604	602-615	<b>594-605</b>	--
Response rate	52.7%	58.1%	51.5%	49.8%	<b>41.5%</b>	--
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	<b>Finding &amp; error</b>	--
Degree of freedom	4.57	5.09 <sup>[7]</sup>	4.92	5.34 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>5.13+/-0.24</b>	<b>-0.21</b>
Degree of stability	4.89	4.94	3.91 <sup>[7]</sup>	5.06 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>5.12+/-0.23</b>	<b>+0.05</b>
Compliance with the rule of law	4.34	5.13 <sup>[7]</sup>	4.36 <sup>[7]</sup>	5.14 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>5.03+/-0.24</b>	<b>-0.11</b>
Degree of prosperity	4.89	4.97	3.98 <sup>[7]</sup>	4.93 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>4.87+/-0.19</b>	<b>-0.06</b>
Degree of democracy	3.93	4.25	3.98	4.51 <sup>[7]</sup>	<b>4.27+/-0.24</b>	<b>-0.24</b>

[7] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

On a scale of 0 to 10, people’s ratings on the five core social indicators ranked from the highest to the lowest are “freedom”, “stability”, “rule of law”, “prosperity” and “democracy”. Their scores are 5.13, 5.12, 5.03, 4.87 and 4.27 respectively. The ratings of all indicators have not registered any significant changes compared with a month ago.

### **Opinion Daily**

In 2007, PORI started collaborating with Wisers Information Limited whereby Wisers supplies to PORI a record of significant events of that day according to the research method designed by PORI. These daily entries would then become “Opinion Daily” after they are verified by PORI.

For some of the polling items covered in this press release, the previous survey was conducted from 7 to 11 March, 2022 while this survey was conducted from 30 April to 6 May, 2022. During this period, herewith the significant events selected from counting newspaper headlines and commentaries on a daily basis and covered by at least 25% of the local newspaper articles. Readers can make their own judgment if these significant events have any impacts to different polling figures.

3/5/22	Hong Kong further relaxes social distancing measures on May 19.
30/4/22	Chief Executive candidate John Lee attends Election Question and Answer Session.
29/4/22	Chief Executive candidate John Lee announces his election platform.
27/4/22	Audit Commission releases results of value for money audits.
22/4/22	The government announces the relaxation of some inbound control measures starting May.
21/4/22	Hong Kong starts to relax social distancing measures today.
13/4/22	John Lee secures 786 nominations from the Election Committee.
11/4/22	The government announces the arrangements for citywide class resumption.
9/4/22	John Lee declares his bid for Chief Executive election.
7/4/22	The government announces the amendment of the new round of Employment Support Scheme.
6/4/22	John Lee resigns to join the Chief Executive election.
4/4/22	Carrie Lam announces that she will not contest the election for the new-term Chief Executive.
3/4/22	The first batch of the new round of electronic consumption vouchers will be distributed on April 7.
2/4/22	The government announces universal voluntary testing for three days in a row starting from April 8.
31/3/22	The government will start distributing anti-epidemic service bags to all Hong Kong households from April 2.
30/3/22	Carrie Lam concedes anti-pandemic measures contributed to brain drain, but vows to defend Hong Kong’s international status.
23/3/22	Carrie Lam stresses that the compulsory universal testing scheme has not been given up, and will strive for border reopening with mainland China.
21/3/22	The government announces the suspension of the compulsory universal testing scheme.
20/3/22	The government will advance the implementation of stage three Vaccine Pass.
18/3/22	The government announces a new round of Employment Support Scheme.
17/3/22	Carrie Lam says the government will adjust anti-epidemic measures soon.



### **Upcoming Press Releases / Press Conference**

- [Press Release] May 13 (Friday) at 14:30: Group Gathering Prohibition Index
- [Press Release] May 17 (Tuesday) at 14:30: Popularity of political groups
- [Press Conference] May 20 (Friday) at 14:30: “We Hongkongers Panel Survey” results on “Elderly Service” cum our 56th mini-policy forum      Guest commentator: TBC