

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE 香港民意研究所

Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111 Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361

Website 網址: https://www.pori.hk

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang

地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

2022年3月15日 新聞公報

香港民研發放特首及問責司局長民望數字

更正啟示

原公報中關於陳肇始的歷史紀錄有誤,應為「2017年7月有紀錄以來新低」,特此更正及致歉。

特別宣佈

香港民意研究所(香港民研)前身為香港大學民意研究計劃(港大民研)。公報內的「香港民研」指的可以是香港民意研究所或其前身港大民研。

公報簡要

香港民研於三月初由真實訪問員以隨機抽樣電話訪問方式成功訪問了 1,000 名香港居民。調查顯示,特首林鄭月娥的評分為 26.6 分,比一個月前顯著下跌 5.2 分,創 2020 年 4 月以來新低,有 40%受訪者給予林鄭月娥 0 分,其民望淨值為負 67 個百分點,比一個月前顯著下跌 14 個百分點,創 2020 年 2 月以來新低。司長方面,政務司司長李家超的支持度評分為 34.8 分,民望淨值為負 14 個百分點,兩者均創 2021 年 7 月有紀錄以來新低。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為 44.7 分,而民望淨值為正 11 個百分點,創 2017 年 2 月初有紀錄以來新高。至於律政司司長鄭若驊,其支持度評分為 26.6 分,民望淨值為負 39 個百分點。以上各項評分和民望淨值相比兩個月前均沒有顯著變化。局長方面,民政事務局局長徐英偉已離任,而餘下十二位局長之中有四位的民望淨值錄得正數,分別是黃錦星、許正宇、聶德權和邱騰華。對比約三個月前,五位局長的支持率淨值上升,六人下跌,一人不變,當中許正宇的支持率淨值顯著上升,陳肇始的支持率淨值則顯著下跌 26 個百分點,創 2017 年 7 月有紀錄以來新低。調查的實效回應比率為 51.5%。在 95%置信水平下,調查的百分比誤差不超過+/-4%,淨值誤差不超過+/-7%,評分誤差不超過+/-2.5。

樣本資料

調查日期 : 7-11/3/2022

調查方法 : 由真實訪問員進行隨機抽樣電話訪問

訪問對象 : 18 歲或以上操粵語的香港居民

成功樣本數目[1] : 1,000 (包括 504 個固網及 496 個手機樣本)

實效回應比率 : 51.5%

抽樣誤差[2] : 在95%置信水平下,調查的百分比誤差不超過+/-4%,淨值誤差不超過+/-7%,

評分誤差不超過+/-2.5

加權方法

- 按照政府統計處提供的統計數字以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字來自《二零二零年年中人口數字》,而教育程度(最高就讀程度)及經濟活動身分統計數字則來自《香港的女性及男性-主要統計數字》(2020年版)。
- [1] 數字為調查的總樣本數目,個別題目則可能只涉及次樣本。有關數字請參閱下列數表內列出的樣本數目。
- [2] 此公報中所有誤差數字均以 95%置信水平計算。95%置信水平,是指倘若以不同隨機樣本重複進行有關調查 100 次,則 95 次各自計算出的誤差範圍會包含人口真實數字。由於調查數字涉及抽樣誤差,傳媒引用百分比 數字時,應避免使用小數點,在引用評分數字時,則可以使用一個小數點。

最新數據

以下是特首林鄭月娥的最新民望數字:

調查日期	15-18/11/21	29/11-3/12/21	9-14/12/21	3-6/1/22	7-10/2/22	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	最新變化
樣本數目	1,004	1,001	1,017	1,021	1,012	1,000	
回應比率	53.7%	44.9%	58.0%	52.7%	58.1%	51.5%	
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	<i>結果及</i> 誤差	
特首林鄭月娥評分	37.4	34.6	35.8	33.8	31.9	26.6+/-1.8	-5.2 ^[3]
林鄭月娥出任特首支持率	24%	21%	21%	19%	18%	12+/-2%	-6% ^[3]
林鄭月娥出任特首反對率	60%[3]	66% ^[3]	63%	67%	70%	<i>78+/-3%</i>	+8%[3]
支持率淨值	-36%	-45% ^[3]	-42%	-48%	-53%	-67+/-4%	<i>-14%</i> ^[3]

^[3] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在95%置信水平的抽樣誤差,表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過,變化在統計學上成立與否,並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義,而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以下是各司長的最新民望數字:

調査日期	9-12/8/21	6-10/9/21	29/10-3/11/21	3-6/1/22	23/2/22[4]	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	最新變化
樣本數目	574-600	589-668	529-589	582-628	917	559-620	
回應比率	49.4%	44.2%	50.1%	52.7%	47.6%	51.5%	
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	
政務司司長李家超評分	35.3	37.5	36.5	36.9		34.8+/-2.5	-2.1
李家超出任政務司司長支持率	29%	28%	29%	28%		24+/-3%	-4%
李家超出任政務司司長反對率	40%	38%	35%	38%		<i>38+/-4%</i>	
支持率淨值	-11%	-11%	-6%	-10%		-14+/-6%	-4%
財政司司長陳茂波評分	41.3	41.9	44.2	42.3	47.6 ^[5]	44.7+/-2.2	-2.9
陳茂波出任財政司司長支持率	33%	31%	31%	34%	36%	<i>36+/-4%</i>	+1%
陳茂波出任財政司司長反對率	29%	35% ^[5]	29%[5]	31%	30%	<i>26+/-3%</i>	-4%
支持率淨值	4%	-5%	2%	3%	6%	<i>11+/-6%</i>	+5%
律政司司長鄭若驊評分	27.7	28.3	28.8	24.9 ^[5]		26.6+/-2.4	+1.7
鄭若驊出任律政司司長支持率	14%	15%	14%	14%		11+/-3%	-2%
鄭若驊出任律政司司長反對率	53%	50%	53%	52%		<i>50+/-4%</i>	-2%
支持率淨值	-39%	-35%	-39%	-38%		-39+/-6%	-1%

- [4] 調查為財政預算案即時調查,只問及財政司司長評分及支持率。
- [5] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在95%置信水平的抽樣誤差,表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過,變化在統計學上成立與否,並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義,而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以下是各局長的最新民望數字,按支持率淨值排列[6]:

調查日期	30/6-8/7/21	6-10/9/21	29/11-3/12/21	7-11/3/22	最新變化
樣本數目	606-626	604-631	601-636	575-596	
回應比率	46.4%	44.2%	44.9%	51.5%	
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	
黄錦星出任環境局局長支持率	29%	33%	27% ^[7]	28+/-4%	+2%
黄錦星出任環境局局長反對率	22% ^[7]	18%	26% ^[7]	21+/-3%	-4%
支持率淨值	7%	14%	1% ^[7]	7+/-6%	+6%
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長支持率	22%[7]	22%	17% ^[7]	17+/-3%	+1%
許正宇出任財經事務及庫務局局長反對率	18% ^[7]	16%	22%[7]	<i>15+/-3%</i>	-7% ^[7]
支持率淨值	5% ^[7]	7%	-5% ^[7]	2+/-5%	+7% ^[7]
聶德權出任公務員事務局局長支持率	32%[7]	30%	31%	27+/-4%	-4%
聶德權出任公務員事務局局長反對率	32% ^[7]	27%	29%	<i>26+/-4%</i>	-3%
支持率淨值	1% ^[7]	3%	2%	1+/-6%	-1%
邱騰華出任商務及經濟發展局局長支持率	33%[7]	33%	27% ^[7]	25+/-4%	-2%
邱騰華出任商務及經濟發展局局長反對率	30%	27%	31%	<i>25+/-4%</i>	-6% ^[7]
支持率淨值	3% ^[7]	6%	-3% ^[7]	<1+/-6%	+3%
鄧炳強出任保安局局長支持率	43%	43%	40%	38+/-4%	-1%
鄧炳強出任保安局局長反對率	41%	40%	39%	<i>39+/-4%</i>	+1%
支持率淨值	2%	2%	1%	-1+/-7%	-2%
曾國衞出任政制及內地事務局局長支持率	28%[7]	25%	27%	23+/-4%	-4%
曾國衞出任政制及內地事務局局長反對率	30% ^[7]	27%	34% ^[7]	27+/-4%	-7% ^[7]
支持率淨值	-2% ^[7]	-2%	-7%	<i>-4</i> +/- <i>6</i> %	+3%
黄偉綸出任發展局局長支持率	24%[7]	22%	19%	18+/-3%	-1%
黄偉綸出任發展局局長反對率	16% ^[7]	17%	23% ^[7]	22+/-4%	-1%
支持率淨值	8%[7]	5%	-4% ^[7]	-4+/-5%	
薛永恒出任創新及科技局局長支持率	26%[7]	27%	23%	20+/-3%	-3%
薛永恒出任創新及科技局局長反對率	20%[7]	20%	23%	24+/-4%	+1%
支持率淨值	6% ^[7]	7%	-<1%	<i>-5</i> +/ <i>-6</i> %	-4%
陳帆出任運輸及房屋局局長支持率	26%	29%	22%[7]	22+/-3%	
陳帆出任運輸及房屋局局長反對率	29% ^[7]	23% ^[7]	31% ^[7]	32+/-4%	+1%
支持率淨值	-4%	5% ^[7]	-9% ^[7]	<i>-10</i> +/-6%	-1%
羅致光出任勞工及福利局局長支持率	32% ^[7]	32%	25% ^[7]	23+/-4%	-2%
羅致光出任勞工及福利局局長反對率	30% ^[7]	24% ^[7]	37% ^[7]	<i>38+/-4%</i>	+1%
支持率淨值	3%[7]	8%	-12% ^[7]	<i>-15</i> +/-6%	-3%
楊潤雄出任教育局局長支持率	22% ^[7]	21%	17% ^[7]	17+/-3%	+1%
楊潤雄出任教育局局長反對率	51% ^[7]	48%	48%	47+/-4%	-1%
支持率淨值	-29%[7]	-27%	-31%	<i>-29</i> +/ <i>-6%</i>	+2%

調查日期	30/6-8/7/21	6-10/9/21	29/11-3/12/21	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	最新變化
樣本數目	606-626	604-631	601-636	575-596	
回應比率	46.4%	44.2%	44.9%	51.5%	
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	
陳肇始出任食物及衛生局局長支持率	28%	25%	24%	16+/-3%	-8%[7]
陳肇始出任食物及衛生局局長反對率	36% ^[7]	38%	40%	<i>58+/-4%</i>	+18%[7]
支持率淨值	-8% ^[7]	-13%	-16%	-42+/-6%	-26%[7]

- [6] 如四捨五入後的數字相同,則會再考慮小數點後的數字。
- [7] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在95%置信水平的抽樣誤差,表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過,變化在統計學上成立與否,並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義,而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

最新調查顯示,特首林鄭月娥的評分為 26.6 分,比一個月前顯著下跌 5.2 分,創 2020 年 4 月以來新低,有 40%受訪者給予林鄭月娥 0 分。其最新支持率為 12%,反對率為 78%,民望淨值為負 67 個百分點,比一個月前顯著下跌 14 個百分點,創 2020 年 2 月以來新低。

司長方面,政務司司長李家超的支持度評分為34.8分,支持率為24%,反對率為38%,民望 淨值為負14個百分點。其支持度評分和民望淨值均創2021年7月有紀錄以來新低。財政司司 長陳茂波的支持度評分為44.7分,支持率為36%,反對率為26%,民望淨值為正11個百分點, 創2017年2月初有紀錄以來新高。律政司司長鄭若驊的支持度評分為26.6分,支持率為11%, 反對率為50%,民望淨值為負39個百分點。以上各項評分和民望淨值相比兩個月前均沒有顯 著變化。

局長方面,民政事務局局長徐英偉已離任,而餘下十二位局長之中有四位的民望淨值錄得正數,排名由高至低分別是環境局局長黃錦星、財經事務及庫務局局長許正宇、公務員事務局局長聶德權和商務及經濟發展局局長邱騰華。而排名第五至第十二,民望淨值錄得負值的則有保安局局長鄧炳強、政制及內地事務局局長曾國衞、發展局局長黃偉綸、創新及科技局局長薛永恒、運輸及房屋局局長陳帆、勞工及福利局局長羅致光、教育局局長楊潤雄和食物及衛生局局長陳肇始。對比約三個月前,五位局長的支持率淨值上升,六人下跌,一人不變,當中許正宇的支持率淨值顯著上升,陳肇始的支持率淨值則顯著下跌26個百分點,創2017年7月有紀錄以來新低。

根據香港民研的標準,沒有官員屬於「表現理想」或「表現成功」,鄧炳強、陳茂波、聶德權、邱騰華、李家超、羅致光、陳帆及楊潤雄屬於「表現一般」,黃錦星、曾國衞、薛永恒、黃偉編及許正宇屬於「表現不彰」,陳肇始及鄭若驊屬於「表現失敗」,林鄭月娥屬於「表現拙劣」。

以下是特首林鄭月娥及各問責司局長民望級別總表:

「表現理想」:支持率超過66%者,以支持率排名[8],即括弧內數字
沒有官員
「表現成功」:支持率超過 50% 者,以支持率排名 $^{[8]}$,即括弧內數字
沒有官員

「表現一般」: 非其他五類者, 以支持率排名[8], 即括弧內數字

保安局局長鄧炳強(38%)

財政司司長陳茂波(36%)

公務員事務局局長聶德權(27%)

商務及經濟發展局局長邱騰華(25%)

政務司司長李家超(24%)

勞工及福利局局長羅致光(23%)

運輸及房屋局局長陳帆(22%)

教育局局長楊潤雄(17%)

「表現不彰」:認知率不足50%者,以支持率排名[8],括弧內第一數字為支持率,第二數字為認知率

環境局局長黃錦星(28%,49%)

政制及內地事務局局長曾國衞(23%,50%)

創新及科技局局長薛永恒(20%,44%)

發展局局長黃偉綸(18%,40%)

財經事務及庫務局局長許正字(17%,32%)

「表現失敗」:反對率超過50%者,以反對率排名[8],即括弧內數字

食物及衛生局局長陳肇始(58%)

律政司司長鄭若驊(50%)

「表現拙劣」:反對率超過66%者,以反對率排名[8],即括弧內數字

特首林鄭月娥(78%)

[8] 如四捨五入後的數字相同,則會再考慮小數點後的數字。

民意日誌

香港民研於 2007 年開始與慧科訊業有限公司合作,由慧科訊業按照香港民研設計的分析方法,將每日大事紀錄傳送至香港民研,經香港民研核實後成為「民意日誌」。

由於本新聞公報所涉及的部分調查項目,上次調查日期為 29/11-3/12/2021,而今次調查日期則為 7-11/3/2022,因此是次公報中的「民意日誌」項目便以上述日期為依歸,讓讀者作出比較。以涵蓋率不下 25%本地報章每日頭條新聞和報社評論計,在上述期間發生的相關大事包括以下事件,讀者可以自行判斷有關事件有否影響各項民調數字:

7/3/22	政府推出快速檢測陽性呈報平台
2/3/22	林鄭月娥指全民強檢期間不會全城禁足
23/2/22	政府發表新年度財政預算案,推出超過1,700億逆周期措施
22/2/22	政府宣佈將於三月推行全民強檢
21/2/22	政府宣佈 2 月 24 日開始實施「疫苗通行證」
18/2/22	林鄭月娥宣佈引用《緊急情況規例條例》押後特首選舉至5月8日
12/2/22	新冠肺炎疫情惡化,單日新增 1,514 宗新冠肺炎個案創新高
11/2/22	新冠肺炎疫情惡化,政務司司長李家超率團赴深圳商討抗疫
31/1/22	民政事務局局長徐英偉宣布辭職
27/1/22	政府延長防疫措施至2月17日,疫苗通行證將於2月24日推出
26/1/22	香港保護兒童會公布童樂居虐兒案首階段獨立檢討報告
21/1/22	葵涌邨逸葵樓疫情爆發,政府宣布圍封五天進行強檢
18/1/22	政府因有倉鼠感染新冠肺炎而人道毀滅 2,000 隻動物

14/1/22	政府延長防疫措施至2月3日及公布第五輪防疫抗疫基金詳情
7/1/22	170 名洪為民生日派對賓客送住隔離檢疫
29/12/21	7 名立場新聞高層被捕,立場新聞隨即停運
20/12/21	90 人當選立法會議員

數據分析

調查顯示,特首林鄭月娥的評分為 26.6 分,比一個月前顯著下跌 5.2 分,創 2020 年 4 月以來新低,有 40%受訪者給予林鄭月娥 0 分,其民望淨值為負 67 個百分點,比一個月前顯著下跌 14 個百分點,創 2020 年 2 月以來新低。

司長方面,政務司司長李家超的支持度評分為34.8分,民望淨值為負14個百分點,兩者均創2021年7月有紀錄以來新低。財政司司長陳茂波的支持度評分為44.7分,而民望淨值為正11個百分點,創2017年2月初有紀錄以來新高。至於律政司司長鄭若驊,其支持度評分為26.6分,民望淨值為負39個百分點。以上各項評分和民望淨值相比兩個月前均沒有顯著變化。

局長方面,民政事務局局長徐英偉已離任,而餘下十二位局長之中有四位的民望淨值錄得正數,分別是黃錦星、許正宇、聶德權和邱騰華。對比約三個月前,五位局長的支持率淨值上升, 六人下跌,一人不變,當中許正宇的支持率淨值顯著上升,陳肇始的支持率淨值則顯著下跌 26個百分點,創 2017年7月有紀錄以來新低。



Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111 Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361

Website 網址: https://www.pori.hk

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang

地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

Press Release on March 15, 2022

HKPORI releases popularity figures of CE and principal officials

Erratum

The description of historic low of Sophia Chan in the original release was incorrect. It should be "a new low since records began in July 2017". We apologize for the mistake.

Special Announcement

The predecessor of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institution (PORI) was The Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). "PORI" in this release can refer to Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute or its predecessor HKUPOP.

Abstract

PORI successfully interviewed 1,000 Hong Kong residents by a random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers in early March. Our survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 26.6 marks, which has significantly decreased by 5.2 marks compared to a month ago, registering a record low since April 2020, with 40% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her net popularity is negative 67 percentage points, which has significantly decreased by 14 percentage points compared to a month ago, registering a record low since February 2020. As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS John Lee is 34.8 marks and his net popularity is negative 14 percentage points. Both have registered new lows since records began in July 2021. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 44.7 marks and his net popularity is positive 11 percentage points, registering a new high since records began in early February 2017. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 26.6 marks and her net popularity is negative 39 percentage points. No significant changes have been registered for all the above ratings and net approval rates compared to two months ago. As for the Directors of Bureaux, Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui has left the post and 4 out of the remaining 12 Directors have got positive net approval rates, who are Wong Kam-sing, Christopher Hui, Patrick Nip and Edward Yau. Compared to around three months ago, the net approval rates of 5 Directors have gone up, 6 have gone down, while 1 remains unchanged. Among them, the net approval rate of Christopher Hui has significantly increased, while that of Sophia Chan has dropped significantly by 26 percentage points, registering a new low since records began in July 2017. The effective response rate of the survey is 51.5%. The maximum sampling error of percentages is +/-4%, that of net values is $\pm 7\%$ and that of ratings is ± 2.5 at 95% confidence level.

Contact Information

Date of survey : 7-11/3/2022

Survey method : Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers

Target population : Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above

Sample size^[1] : 1,000 (including 504 landline and 496 mobile samples)

Effective response rate : 51.5%

Sampling error [2] : Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4%, that of net values not

more than $\pm -7\%$ and that of ratings not more than ± -2.5 at 95% conf. level

Weighting method : Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics

Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from "Mid-year population for 2020", while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from "Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2020 Edition)".

Latest Figures

Recent popularity figures of CE Carrie Lam are summarized as follows:

Date of survey	15-18/11/21	29/11-3/12/21	9-14/12/21	3-6/1/22	7-10/2/22	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	<u>Latest</u> <u>change</u>
Sample size	1,004	1,001	1,017	1,021	1,012	1,000	
Response rate	53.7%	44.9%	58.0%	52.7%	58.1%	51.5%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error	
Rating of CE Carrie Lam	37.4	34.6	35.8	33.8	31.9	26.6+/-1.8	-5.2 ^[3]
Vote of confidence in CE Carrie Lam	24%	21%	21%	19%	18%	12+/-2%	-6 % ^[3]
Vote of no confidence in CE Carrie Lam	60%[3]	66% ^[3]	63%	67%	70%	78+/-3%	+8%[3]
Net approval rate	-36%	-45% ^[3]	-42%	-48%	-53%	-67+/-4%	<i>-14%</i> ^[3]

^[3] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Recent popularity figures of the three Secretaries of Departments are summarized below:

Date of survey	9-12/8/21	6-10/9/21	<u>29/10-3/11/21</u>	3-6/1/22	23/2/22 ^[4]	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	<u>Latest</u> <u>change</u>
Sample size	574-600	589-668	529-589	582-628	917	559-620	
Response rate	49.4%	44.2%	50.1%	52.7%	47.6%	51.5%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error	
Rating of CS John Lee	35.3	37.5	36.5	36.9		34.8+/-2.5	-2.1
Vote of confidence in CS John Lee	29%	28%	29%	28%		24+/-3%	-4%
Vote of no confidence in CS John Lee	40%	38%	35%	38%		38+/-4%	
Net approval rate	-11%	-11%	-6%	-10%		-14+/-6%	-4%

^[1] This figure is the total sample size of the survey. Some questions may only involve a subsample, the size of which can be found in the tables below.

^[2] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. "95% confidence level" means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

Date of survey	9-12/8/21	6-10/9/21	29/10-3/11/21	3-6/1/22	23/2/22 ^[4]	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	<u>Latest</u> change
Sample size	574-600	589-668	529-589	582-628	917	559-620	
Response rate	49.4%	44.2%	50.1%	52.7%	47.6%	51.5%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error	
Rating of FS Paul Chan	41.3	41.9	44.2	42.3	47.6 ^[5]	44.7+/-2.2	-2.9
Vote of confidence in FS Paul Chan	33%	31%	31%	34%	36%	<i>36+/-4%</i>	+1%
Vote of no confidence in FS Paul Chan	29%	35% ^[5]	29%[5]	31%	30%	26+/-3%	-4%
Net approval rate	4%	-5%	2%	3%	6%	<i>11+/-6%</i>	+5%
Rating of SJ Teresa Cheng	27.7	28.3	28.8	24.9 ^[5]		26.6+/-2.4	+1.7
Vote of confidence in SJ Teresa Cheng	14%	15%	14%	14%		11+/-3%	-2%
Vote of no confidence in SJ Teresa Cheng	53%	50%	53%	52%		50+/-4%	-2%
Net approval rate	-39%	-35%	-39%	-38%		-39+/-6%	-1%

^[4] The survey was the Budget instant poll and only asked about the rating of FS and vote of confidence in him.

Latest popularity figures of Directors of Bureaux sorted by net approval rates^[6] are summarized below:

Date of survey	30/6-8/7/21	6-10/9/21	<u>29/11-3/12/21</u>	<u>7-11/3/22</u>	<u>Latest</u> change
Sample size	606-626	604-631	601-636	575-596	
Response rate	46.4%	44.2%	44.9%	51.5%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding and error	
Vote of confidence in Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing	29%	33%	27% ^[7]	28+/-4%	+2%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing	22% ^[7]	18%	26% ^[7]	21+/-3%	-4%
Net approval rate	7%	14%	1% ^[7]	7+/-6%	+6%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	22% ^[7]	22%	17% ^[7]	17+/-3%	+1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui	18% ^[7]	16%	22% ^[7]	15+/-3%	-7% ^[7]
Net approval rate	5% ^[7]	7%	-5% ^[7]	2+/-5%	+7%[7]
Vote of confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip	32% ^[7]	30%	31%	27+/-4%	-4%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip	32% ^[7]	27%	29%	26+/-4%	-3%
Net approval rate	$1\%^{[7]}$	3%	2%	1+/-6%	-1%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau	33% ^[7]	33%	27% ^[7]	25+/-4%	-2%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau	30%	27%	31%	25+/-4%	-6 % ^[7]
Net approval rate	3%[7]	6%	-3% ^[7]	<1+/-6%	+3%

^[5] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

D. C	20/6 0/7/01	c 10/0/21	20/11 2/12/21	7 11/2/22	Latest
Date of survey		6-10/9/21		<u>7-11/3/22</u>	<u>change</u>
Sample size	606-626	604-631	601-636	575-596	
Response rate	46.4%	44.2%	44.9%	51.5% Finding and	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	error	
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Security Chris Tang	43%	43%	40%	38+/-4%	-1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Security Chris Tang	41%	40%	39%	39+/-4%	+1%
Net approval rate	2%	2%	1%	-1+/-7%	-2%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	28% ^[7]	25%	27%	23+/-4%	-4%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang	30% ^[7]	27%	34% ^[7]	27+/-4%	-7% ^[7]
Net approval rate	-2% ^[7]	-2%	-7%	-4+/-6%	+3%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Development Michael Wong	24% ^[7]	22%	19%	18+/-3%	-1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Development Michael Wong	16% ^[7]	17%	23% ^[7]	22+/-4%	-1%
Net approval rate	8% ^[7]	5%	-4% ^[7]	-4+/-5%	
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit	26% ^[7]	27%	23%	20+/-3%	-3%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit	20% ^[7]	20%	23%	24+/-4%	+1%
Net approval rate	6% ^[7]	7%	-<1%	<i>-5+/-6%</i>	-4%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan	26%	29%	22% ^[7]	22+/-3%	
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan	29% ^[7]	23% ^[7]	31% ^[7]	32+/-4%	+1%
Net approval rate	-4%	5% ^[7]	-9% ^[7]	-10+/-6%	-1%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong	32% [7]	32%	25% ^[7]	23+/-4%	-2%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong	30% ^[7]	24% ^[7]	37% ^[7]	38+/-4%	+1%
Net approval rate	3%[7]	8%	-12% ^[7]	-15+/-6%	-3%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung	22% ^[7]	21%	17% ^[7]	17+/-3%	+1%
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung	51% ^[7]	48%	48%	47+/-4%	-1%
Net approval rate	-29% ^[7]	-27%	-31%	-29+/-6%	+2%
Vote of confidence in Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan	28%	25%	24%	16+/-3%	-8%[7]
Vote of no confidence in Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan	36% ^[7]	38%	40%	58+/-4%	+18%[7]
Net approval rate	-8% ^[7]	-13%	-16%	-42+/-6%	-26%[7]

^[6] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

^[7] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Our latest survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 26.6 marks, which has significantly decreased by 5.2 marks compared to a month ago, registering a record low since April 2020, with 40% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her approval rate is 12%, disapproval rate 78%, giving a net popularity of negative 67 percentage points, which has significantly decreased by 14 percentage points compared to a month ago, registering a record low since February 2020.

As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS John Lee is 34.8 marks. His approval rate is 24%, disapproval rate 38%, giving a net popularity of negative 14 percentage points. Both his support rating and net popularity have registered new lows since records began in July 2021. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 44.7 marks. His approval rate stands at 36%, disapproval rate 26%, thus a net popularity of positive 11 percentage points, registering a new high since records began in early February 2017. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 26.6 marks. Her approval rate stands at 11%, disapproval rate 50%, giving a net popularity of negative 39 percentage points. No significant changes have been registered for all the above ratings and net approval rates compared to two months ago.

As for the Directors of Bureaux, Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui has left the post and 4 out of the remaining 12 Directors have got positive net approval rates, ranked from high to low are Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing, Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui, Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip and Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau. The 5th to 12th positions who have got negative net approval rates go to Secretary for Security Chris Tang, Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang, Secretary for Development Michael Wong, Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit, Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan, Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong, Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung and Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan. Compared to around three months ago, the net approval rates of 5 Directors have gone up, 6 have gone down, while 1 remains unchanged. Among them, the net approval rate of Christopher Hui has significantly increased, while that of Sophia Chan has dropped significantly by 26 percentage points, registering a new low since records began in July 2017.

According to PORI's standard, no one falls under the category of "ideal" or "successful" performer. The performance of Chris Tang, Paul Chan, Patrick Nip, Edward Yau, John Lee, Law Chi-kwong, Frank Chan and Kevin Yeung can be labeled as "mediocre". That of Wong Kam-sing, Erick Tsang, Alfred Sit, Michael Wong and Christopher Hui can be labeled as "inconspicuous". Sophia Chan and Teresa Cheng fall into the category of "depressing" performer, while Carrie Lam falls into that of "disastrous".

The following table summarizes the grading of CE Carrie Lam and principal officials:

"Ideal": th brackets ^[8]	ose with a	oproval rate	s of over	· 66%; ran	ked by t	heir approv	al rates	shown	inside
Nil									
"Successful	": those wit	h approval	rates of o	ver 50%; r	anked by	their appro	val rates	s shown	inside
brackets ^[8]									
brackets ^[8] Nil									

"Mediocre": those not belonging to other 5 types; ranked by their approval rates shown inside brackets^[8]

Secretary for Security Chris Tang (38%)

FS Paul Chan (36%)

Secretary for the Civil Service Patrick Nip (27%)

Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development Edward Yau (25%)

CS John Lee (24%)

Secretary for Labour and Welfare Law Chi-kwong (23%)

Secretary for Transport and Housing Frank Chan (22%)

Secretary for Education Kevin Yeung (17%)

"Inconspicuous": those with recognition rates of less than 50%; ranked by their approval rates^[8]; the first figure inside bracket is approval rate while the second figure is recognition rate

Secretary for the Environment Wong Kam-sing (28%, 49%)

Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Erick Tsang (23%, 50%)

Secretary for Innovation and Technology Alfred Sit (20%, 44%)

Secretary for Development Michael Wong (18%, 40%)

Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury Christopher Hui (17%, 32%)

"Depressing": those with disapproval rates of over 50%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets $^{[8]}$

Secretary for Food and Health Sophia Chan (58%)

SJ Teresa Cheng (50%)

"Disastrous": those with disapproval rates of over 66%; ranked by their disapproval rates shown inside brackets [8]

CE Carrie Lam (78%)

[8] If the rounded figures are the same, numbers after the decimal point will be considered.

Opinion Daily

In 2007, PORI started collaborating with Wisers Information Limited whereby Wisers supplies to PORI a record of significant events of that day according to the research method designed by PORI. These daily entries would then become "Opinion Daily" after they are verified by PORI.

For some of the polling items covered in this press release, the previous survey was conducted from 29 November to 3 December, 2021 while this survey was conducted from 7 to 11 March, 2022. During this period, herewith the significant events selected from counting newspaper headlines and commentaries on a daily basis and covered by at least 25% of the local newspaper articles. Readers can make their own judgment if these significant events have any impacts to different polling figures.

7/3/22	The government launches the "Declaration System for individuals tested positive for COVID-19 using Rapid Antigen Test".
2/3/22	Carrie Lam says citywide lockdown would not be imposed during compulsory universal testing.
23/2/22	The government delivers the new Budget, and will spend more than HK\$170 billion on counter-cyclical measures.
22/2/22	The government announces the implementation of compulsory mass testing in March.
21/2/22	The government announces the implementation of the Vaccine Pass arrangement starting from February 24.
18/2/22	Carrie Lam announces the postponement of the Chief Executive Election to May 8 by invoking the Emergency Regulations Ordinance.
12/2/22	As COVID-19 outbreak continues to grow, Hong Kong reports 1,514 cases, registering a record high.

11/2/22	As COVID-19 outbreak continues to grow, a delegation led by Chief Secretary for Administration John Lee attends the second Mainland-Hong Kong thematic meeting on COVID-19 pandemic in Shenzhen.
31/1/22	Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui announces his resignation.
27/1/22	The government extends anti-epidemic measures until February 17 and announces the launch of "vaccine pass" on February 24.
26/1/22	Hong Kong Society for the Protection of Children releases the First Interim Independent Review Committee Report on alleged child abuse at the Children's Residential Home.
21/1/22	The government announces five-day lockdown at Yat Kwai House in Kwai Chung Estate for mandatory virus testing due to the outbreak of COVID-19.
18/1/22	The government culls 2,000 animals after a hamster contracts COVID-19.
14/1/22	The government extends anti-epidemic measures until February 3 and announces details for the fifth round of the Anti-epidemic Fund.
7/1/22	All 170 guests who attended the birthday party of Witman Hung are sent to quarantine.
29/12/21	Stand News closes after seven senior staff members are arrested.
20/12/21	90 members of Legislative Council are elected.

Data Analysis

Our survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 26.6 marks, which has significantly decreased by 5.2 marks compared to a month ago, registering a record low since April 2020, with 40% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her net popularity is negative 67 percentage points, which has significantly decreased by 14 percentage points compared to a month ago, registering a record low since February 2020.

As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS John Lee is 34.8 marks and his net popularity is negative 14 percentage points. Both have registered new lows since records began in July 2021. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 44.7 marks and his net popularity is positive 11 percentage points, registering a new high since records began in early February 2017. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 26.6 marks and her net popularity is negative 39 percentage points. No significant changes have been registered for all the above ratings and net approval rates compared to two months ago.

As for the Directors of Bureaux, Secretary for Home Affairs Caspar Tsui has left the post and 4 out of the remaining 12 Directors have got positive net approval rates, who are Wong Kam-sing, Christopher Hui, Patrick Nip and Edward Yau. Compared to around three months ago, the net approval rates of 5 Directors have gone up, 6 have gone down, while 1 remains unchanged. Among them, the net approval rate of Christopher Hui has significantly increased, while that of Sophia Chan has dropped significantly by 26 percentage points, registering a new low since records began in July 2017.