

# PORI

---

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香 港 民 意 研 究 所

---

**Latest Tracking Poll Results**

**March 15, 2022**

# PORI



---

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香 港 民 意 研 究 所

---

**Community Democracy Project -**

**Community Health Module**

**Latest Results**

**March 15, 2022**

# Contact Information - Community Health Module

3

	<b>HKPOP Panel</b>
Date of survey	February 20, 3pm – March 13, 3pm
Survey method	Online survey
Target population	Hong Kong residents aged 12+
Total sample size	7,350
Response rate	8.4%
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
Weighting method	Rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population from Census and Statistics Department; 2) appraisal of political condition and political inclination distribution from regular tracking surveys.

# Survey Result - Community Health Module

4

- **Latest survey period: 20/2-13/3/2022** (N=7,329)
- **Last survey period: 7-20/2/2022** (N=8,984)
- **Second last survey period: 13/1-7/2/2022** (N=7,000)

		<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	<b>Average</b>
Q1 How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month? [Logarithmic Scale]	<b>Latest</b>	21%	30% ▲*
	Last	24%	18%
	Second Last	23%	15%


^ Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020.

\* Significant change

# Survey Result - Community Health Module

5

## Assessment of the public's expected chance of COVID-19 infection



^ Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020.

\* Significant change

# Survey Result - Community Health Module

6

- **Latest survey period: 20/2-13/3/2022** (N=7,330)
- **Last survey period: 7-20/2/2022** (N=8,989)
- **Second last survey period: 13/1-7/2/2022** (N=6,997)

		Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean <sup>†</sup>
Q2 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?	Latest	7% ▼*	12% ▼*	80% ▲*	1.6 ▼*
	Last	14%	16%	69%	2.0
	Second Last	20%	18%	61%	2.1

<sup>^</sup> Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say


<sup>†</sup> The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of satisfaction, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

\* Significant change

# Survey Result - Community Health Module

7

## Appraisal of HK Government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia



^ Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say

\* Significant change

PORI

---

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香 港 民 意 研 究 所

---

限聚指數

**Group Gathering Prohibition Index**

**15/3/2022**



# 樣本資料 - 限聚指數基準調查

## Contact Information - Group Gathering Prohibition Index Benchmark Survey

9

	香港民研意見群組成員 HKPOP Panel
調查日期 Survey date	14/2 15:00 – 18/2 19:00
調查方法 Survey method	以電郵接觸群組成員，並於網上完成調查 Online survey
訪問對象 Target population	十二歲或以上的香港市民 Hong Kong residents aged 12+
總成功樣本 Total sample size	4,984
回應比率 Response rate	5.7%
抽樣誤差 Sampling error	95% 置信水平，百分比誤差 +/-1% Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
加權方法 Weighting method	按照1) 政府統計處提供的全港人口年齡及性別分佈、教育程度（最高就讀程度）及經濟活動身分統計數字；2) 常規調查中的政治狀況評價及政治取向分佈，以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。 Rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population from Census and Statistics Department; 2) appraisal of political condition and political inclination distribution from regular tracking surveys.

# 限聚指數

## Group Gathering Prohibition Index

10


- **最新調查日期 Latest survey date: 14-18/2/2022 (N=4,984)**
- **上次調查日期 Last survey date: 17-24/1/2022 (N=5,043)**
- **上上次調查日期 Second last survey date: 17-27/12/2021 (N=5,063)**

意見題目	Opinion Questions
<p>你認為香港應否無條件全面撤銷「限聚令」？</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ 應該無條件撤銷「限聚令」</li><li>▪ 不應該，應視乎疫情而定</li><li>▪ 不知道／很難說</li></ul> <p>[追問沒有選擇應該“無條件撤銷「限聚令」”者] 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於2人？ 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於4人？ 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於8人？ 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於16人？ 你認為感染個案清零多少天後，限聚令應該全面撤銷？</p> <p>請於以下欄位列舉你認為合適的 [個案數 及 限聚人數] 組合……</p>	<p>Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally</li><li>▪ No, it should depend on the epidemic situation</li><li>▪ Don't know / hard to say</li></ul> <p>[For respondents NOT answering “Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally”] How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people? After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether?</p> <p>Please list combinations of [number of cases &amp; number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:</p>

# 調查結果 - 限聚接受程度

## Survey Result – Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level

限聚接受程度 Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level



# 限聚指數 - 分析評論

## Group Gathering Prohibition Index – Commentary

12

復興經濟民生大聯盟成員任偉豪指出：「特首昨日提到暫時不會在防疫措施上加辣，因為現時已是歷來最辣，且要考慮承受能力，但現在不是放寬的時候。這令我認為政府真的需要再推出多一輪防疫基金，因為停業處所的承受能力真的已經去到極限。上輪防疫抗疫基金落實之時指定處所只需停業到二月下旬，其後才宣佈需要一下子停業到四月下旬，而且至少四月下旬才能開業的停業處所並不能受惠於四月初推出的消費券。『合法拖租』只有3個月，限期已快到。財政預算案也顯示政府上個財政年度仍有盈餘，很應該還富於民。特首在上星期六還說過在防疫上政府不缺錢，對嗎？」

**Yam Wai Ho, member of Alliance of Revitalizing Economy and Livelihood observed, “The Chief Executive mentioned yesterday that she will not tighten the epidemic prevention measures for the time being, because it is the hardest now. And it is also necessary to consider the affordability, but now is not the time to relax. This makes me think that the government really needs to launch another round of epidemic funds, because the affordability of closed industries has really reached their limit. When the last round of the epidemic fund was implemented, the designated premises were only required to be closed until late February. Later it was announced that they would be closed until late April. Also, the closed industries that could not open until at least late April would not be benefitted from the consumption vouchers launch in early April. The rental enforcement moratorium is only valid for 3 months, and the deadline is approaching. The government still had a surplus last financial year as reported in the Budget Speech, so it should return wealth to the people. The Chief Executive said last Saturday that the government is not short of money for epidemic, right?”**

# PORI



---

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香 港 民 意 研 究 所

---

**Latest Tracking Poll Results**

**March 15, 2022**

# Contact Information

14

- Date of survey: 7-11/3/2022
- Survey method: Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers
- Target population: Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above
- Sample size: 1,000 (including 504 landline and 496 mobile samples)
- Effective response rate: 51.5%
- Sampling error: Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4%, that of net values not more than +/-7% and that of ratings not more than +/-2.5 at 95% conf. level
- Weighting method: Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from “Mid-year population for 2020”, while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from “Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2020 Edition)”.

# Survey Topic

15

- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Popularity of Secretaries of Departments
- Popularity of Directors of Bureaux

# Survey Result - Popularity of Chief Executive

## ● Popularity of Chief Executive

		7-10/2/2022	7-11/3/2022	Change	Record
CE Carrie Lam	Rating	31.9	26.6	▼5.2 *	Record <b>low</b> since Apr. 2020
	Vote of confidence	18%	12%	▼6% *	Record <b>low</b> since Feb. 2020
	Vote of no confidence	70%	78%	▲8% *	Record <b>high</b> since Mar. 2020
	Net approval rate	-53%	-67%	▼14% *	Record <b>low</b> since Feb. 2020

- Our latest survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 26.6 marks, with 40% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her approval rate is 12%, disapproval rate 78%, giving a net popularity of negative 67 percentage points. The rating and net popularity have decreased significantly from a month ago, registering record lows since April 2022 and February 2022.


\* Significant change



# Survey Result - Popularity of Chief Executive


17

特首林鄭月娥評分 (按次計算)  
Rating for Chief Executive Carrie Lam (Per Poll)  
(7/2017 – 3/2022)



# Survey Result - Popularity of Chief Executive

特首評分 (按次計算)  
Rating for Chief Executives (Per Poll)  
(7/1997 – 3/2022)



# Survey Topic

21

- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Popularity of Secretaries of Departments
- Popularity of Directors of Bureaux

# Survey Result - Popularity of Secretaries of Departments

22

## • Secretaries of Departments


		3-6/1/2022	23/2/2022	7-11/3/2022	Change	Record
Chief Secretary for Administration John Lee	Rating	36.9	--	34.8	▼2.1	All-time record <b>low</b> since Jul. 2021
	Net approval rate	-10%	--	-14%	▼4%	All-time record <b>low</b> since Jul. 2021
Financial Secretary Paul Chan	Rating	42.3	<b>47.6</b>	<b>44.7</b>	▼2.9	Record <b>low</b> since Feb. 2022
	Net approval rate	3%	<b>6%</b>	<b>11%</b>	▲5%	All-time record <b>high</b> since Feb. 2017
Secretary for Justice Teresa Cheng	Rating	24.9		<b>26.6</b>	▲1.7	Record <b>high</b> since Nov. 2021
	Net approval rate	-38%		<b>-39%</b>	▼1%	Record <b>low</b> since Nov. 2021

- As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS John Lee is 34.8 marks. His net popularity is negative 14 percentage points. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 44.7 marks. His net popularity is positive 11 percentage points. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating drops significantly to 26.6 marks. Her net popularity is negative 39 percentage points. No significant changes have been registered for all the above ratings and net approval rates compared to two months ago, while the net approval rate of Paul Chan has registered his all-time record high.

# Survey Result - Popularity of Secretaries of Departments

23

特首及各司長評分 - 綜合圖表 (按次計算)  
Ratings of Chief Executive and Secretaries of Departments - Combined (per poll)  
(2/2017 – 3/2022)




# Survey Result - Popularity of Secretaries of Departments

24

特首及各司長支持率淨值 - 綜合圖表 (按次計算)

Net approval rates of CE and Secretaries of Departments - Combined (per poll) (2/2017 – 3/2022)



# Survey Topic

25

- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Popularity of Secretaries of Departments
- Popularity of Directors of Bureaux

# Survey Result - Popularity of Directors of Bureaux

26

## • Directors of Bureaux - Net approval rate

	29/11-3/12/2021	7-11/3/2022	Change	Record
Secretary for the Environment <b>Wong Kam-sing</b>	1%	7%	▲6%	Record <b>high</b> since Sept. 2021
Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury <b>Christopher Hui</b>	-5%	2%	▲7% *	Record <b>high</b> since Sept. 2021
Secretary for the Civil Service <b>Patrick Nip</b>	2%	1%	▼1%	Record <b>low</b> since Jul. 2021
Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development <b>Edward Yau</b>	-3%	<1%	▲3%	Record <b>high</b> since Sept. 2021
Secretary for Security <b>Chris Tang</b>	1%	-1%	▼2%	All-time record <b>low</b> since Jul. 2021
Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs <b>Erick Tsang</b>	-7%	-4%	▲3%	Record <b>high</b> since Sept. 2021
Secretary for Development <b>Michael Wong</b>	-4%	-4%	--	Record <b>high</b> since Sept. 2021
Secretary for Innovation and Technology <b>Alfred Sit</b>	<1%	-5%	▼4%	Record <b>low</b> since Jan. 2021
Secretary for Transport and Housing <b>Frank Chan</b>	-9%	-10%	▼1%	Record <b>low</b> since May 2021
Secretary for Labour and Welfare <b>Law Chi-kwong</b>	-12%	-15%	▼3%	Record <b>low</b> since Sept. 2020
Secretary for Education <b>Kevin Yeung</b>	-31%	-29%	▲2%	Record <b>high</b> since Sept. 2021
Secretary for Food and Health <b>Sophia Chan</b>	-16%	-42%	▼26% *	All-time record <b>low</b> since Jul. 2017

\* Significant change




# Survey Result - Popularity of Directors of Bureaux

27

各局長假想投票結果 (支持率淨值) - 綜合圖表

Hypothetical Voting of Directors of Bureaux (Net Approval Rate) - Combined Charts



# Survey Result - Popularity of Directors of Bureaux

28

## ● Directors of Bureaux

- As for the Directors of Bureaux, **4 out of 12 have got positive net approval rates**, ranked from high to low are Secretary for the Environment **Wong Kam-sing**, Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury **Christopher Hui**, Secretary for the Civil Service **Patrick Nip**, and Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development **Edward Yau**.
- **The 5th to 12th positions who have got negative net approval rates** go to Secretary for Security **Chris Tang**, Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs **Erick Tsang**, Secretary for Development **Michael Wong**, Secretary for Innovation and Technology **Alfred Sit**, Secretary for Transport and Housing **Frank Chan**, Secretary for Labour and Welfare **Law Chi-kwong**, Secretary for Education **Kevin Yeung** and Secretary for Food and Health **Sophia Chan**.
- Compared to three months ago, the net approval rates of all Directors have not change much expect **Christopher Hui and Sophia Chan**, while that of **Sophia Chan** has decreased significantly by **26 percentage points** and registered a personal record low.