



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE
香港民意研究所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 111 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 75

Survey Date: 8 to 11 November 2021

Release Date: 12 November 2021

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “POP” in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

“We Hongkongers” is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website “We the People” hosted by the White House of the United States, “We Hongkongers” aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

“We Hongkongers” Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong’s political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the “We Hongkongers” Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the “HKPOP Panel” established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the “We Hongkongers Panel Survey” to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the “We Hongkongers” Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 111 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 75 under the “We Hongkongers” Project Series. The research is co-organized with the Election Observation Project on the theme “Electoral System”.

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	8 November, 3pm – 11 November, 3pm
Total sample size	5,911
Response rate	6.6%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the “success rate” for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)

Success rate		
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the HKPOP Panel}}$	x 100.0%
=	$\frac{5,911}{89,397}$	x 100.0%
=	6.6%	

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank / void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 8-11/11/2021


Q1 How fair or unfair do you think the current electoral system of Hong Kong is?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,044)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[2] (Base=576)	Combined ^[3] (Base=5,620)
Very fair	2%	10%	7%
Somewhat fair	3%	28%	21%
Half-half	3%	14%	11%
Somewhat unfair	3%	15%	11%
Very unfair	89%	28%	47%
Don't know / hard to say	<1%	5%	3%
Mean^[4]	1.3	2.8	2.3

[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of fairness level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 4: Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 8-11/11/2021


Q2 There is a view that the current electoral system of Hong Kong “adequately displays desirable democratic qualities such as broad representativeness and balanced participation” . How much do you support or oppose this view?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,040)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters^[5] (Base=576)	Combined^[6] (Base=5,616)
Strongly support	4%	9%	8%
Somewhat support } Support	3% } 7%	29% } 38%	21% } 29%
Half-half	3%	14%	11%
Somewhat oppose	3%	17%	13%
Strongly oppose } Oppose	86% } 89%	30% } 47%	47% } 60%
Don’t know / hard to say	<1%	1%	1%
Mean^[7]	1.4	2.7	2.3

[5] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of support level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 5: Q3 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 8-11/11/2021


Q3 How much influence do you think the Central Government has over election results under the current electoral system of Hong Kong?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,045)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[8] (Base=576)	Combined ^[9] (Base=5,621)
Very strong influence	78%	40%	52%
Somewhat strong influence	12%	24%	21%
Half-half	5%	14%	11%
Somewhat weak influence	3%	16%	12%
Very weak influence / No influence at all	2%	5%	4%
Don't know / hard to say	<1%	1%	1%
Mean^[10]	4.6	3.8	4.0

[8] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[9] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[10] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of influence level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 3: Q3 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey


Table 6: Q4 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 8-11/11/2021

Q4 Then do you think such level of influence is in line with "one country, two systems"?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,047)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[11] (Base=577)	Combined ^[12] (Base=5,624)
Yes	8%	50%	37%
Half-half	3%	14%	11%
No	88%	33%	50%
Don't know / hard to say	1%	2%	2%

[11] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[12] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 4: Q4 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	2,887	57.2%	765	46.0%	337	58.4%	1,778	48.2%	3,224	57.3%	2,543	47.5%
Female	2,151	42.6%	898	54.0%	238	41.2%	1,908	51.8%	2,389	42.5%	2,806	52.5%
Other	9	0.2%	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	<1	<0.1%	11	0.2%	1	<0.1%
Total	5,047	100.0%	1,665	100.0%	577	100.0%	3,686	100.0%	5,624	100.0%	5,351	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	709	14.1%	473	28.4%	31	5.4%	485	13.1%	740	13.2%	957	17.9%
30 - 39	1,237	24.5%	197	11.9%	107	18.6%	642	17.4%	1,344	23.9%	840	15.7%
40 - 49	1,223	24.2%	261	15.7%	129	22.4%	602	16.3%	1,352	24.1%	863	16.1%
50 - 59	1,165	23.1%	391	23.5%	154	26.7%	968	26.3%	1,319	23.5%	1,359	25.4%
60 or above	711	14.1%	342	20.6%	155	26.9%	989	26.8%	866	15.4%	1,331	24.9%
Total	5,045	100.0%	1,664	100.0%	576	100.0%	3,686	100.0%	5,621	100.0%	5,350	100.0%
Missing case(s)	2		<1		1		<1		3		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	7	0.1%	<1	<0.1%	3	0.5%	20	0.5%	10	0.2%	20	0.4%
Secondary	793	15.7%	588	35.4%	141	24.6%	1,345	36.5%	934	16.6%	1,934	36.2%
Tertiary or above	4,242	84.1%	1,075	64.6%	430	74.9%	2,316	62.9%	4,672	83.2%	3,391	63.4%
Total	5,042	100.0%	1,664	100.0%	574	100.0%	3,681	100.0%	5,616	100.0%	5,345	100.0%
Missing case(s)	5		1		3		5		8		6	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	2,028	40.2%	174	10.5%	204	35.5%	936	25.4%	2,232	39.7%	1,110	20.8%
Clerks and service workers	1,316	26.1%	600	36.1%	135	23.5%	1,104	30.0%	1,451	25.8%	1,704	31.9%
Workers	110	2.2%	25	1.5%	19	3.3%	53	1.4%	129	2.3%	79	1.5%
Students	191	3.8%	276	16.6%	8	1.4%	278	7.6%	199	3.5%	554	10.4%
Home-makers / housewives	232	4.6%	186	11.2%	16	2.8%	172	4.7%	248	4.4%	358	6.7%
Others	1,164	23.1%	402	24.2%	193	33.6%	1,138	30.9%	1,357	24.2%	1,540	28.8%
Total	5,041	100.0%	1,664	100.0%	575	100.0%	3,681	100.0%	5,616	100.0%	5,346	100.0%
Missing case(s)	6		<1		2		5		8		5	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	208	4.2%	45	2.7%	27	4.7%	123	3.3%	235	4.2%	168	3.2%
Wan Chai	134	2.7%	8	0.5%	17	3.0%	98	2.7%	151	2.7%	106	2.0%
Eastern	494	9.9%	154	9.4%	68	12.0%	294	8.0%	562	10.1%	448	8.4%
Southern	218	4.4%	41	2.5%	24	4.2%	211	5.7%	242	4.3%	252	4.7%
Yau Tsim Mong	211	4.2%	138	8.4%	19	3.3%	95	2.6%	230	4.1%	233	4.4%
Sham Shui Po	242	4.8%	65	4.0%	31	5.4%	230	6.2%	273	4.9%	295	5.5%
Kowloon City	259	5.2%	75	4.6%	29	5.1%	292	8.0%	288	5.2%	368	6.9%
Wong Tai Sin	262	5.2%	78	4.8%	25	4.4%	207	5.6%	287	5.2%	285	5.4%
Kwun Tong	342	6.8%	202	12.4%	36	6.3%	146	4.0%	378	6.8%	349	6.6%
Tsuen Wan	239	4.8%	69	4.2%	36	6.3%	255	6.9%	275	4.9%	324	6.1%
Tuen Mun	302	6.0%	115	7.0%	24	4.2%	258	7.0%	326	5.9%	373	7.0%
Yuen Long	328	6.6%	60	3.6%	44	7.7%	361	9.8%	372	6.7%	421	7.9%
North	171	3.4%	20	1.2%	17	3.0%	137	3.7%	188	3.4%	157	3.0%
Tai Po	227	4.5%	176	10.7%	28	4.9%	145	3.9%	255	4.6%	320	6.0%
Sai Kung	410	8.2%	125	7.7%	35	6.2%	432	11.8%	445	8.0%	558	10.5%
Sha Tin	581	11.6%	62	3.8%	60	10.5%	186	5.1%	641	11.5%	248	4.7%
Kwai Tsing	252	5.0%	186	11.3%	38	6.7%	169	4.6%	290	5.2%	355	6.7%
Islands	116	2.3%	20	1.2%	11	1.9%	37	1.0%	127	2.3%	56	1.1%
Total	4,996	100.0%	1,638	100.0%	569	100.0%	3,677	100.0%	5,565	100.0%	5,315	100.0%
Missing case(s)	51		26		8		9		59		35	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	2,909	57.6%	1,026	61.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,909	51.7%	1,026	19.2%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40	6.9%	439	11.9%	40	0.7%	439	8.2%
Localist	2,138	42.4%	639	38.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,138	38.0%	639	11.9%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	208	36.0%	1,240	33.7%	208	3.7%	1,240	23.2%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	329	57.0%	2,006	54.4%	329	5.8%	2,006	37.5%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	5,047	100.0%	1,665	100.0%	577	100.0%	3,686	100.0%	5,624	100.0%	5,351	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp: *	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	4,811	95.6%	838	50.5%	346	60.1%	513	14.0%	5,157	92.0%	1,351	25.3%
Non-democratic	11	0.2%	124	7.5%	104	18.1%	1,778	48.4%	115	2.1%	1,902	35.7%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	151	3.0%	411	24.8%	102	17.7%	1,023	27.8%	253	4.5%	1,434	26.9%
Not a registered voter	57	1.1%	285	17.2%	24	4.2%	362	9.8%	81	1.4%	647	12.1%
Total	5,030	100.0%	1,657	100.0%	576	100.0%	3,677	100.0%	5,606	100.0%	5,334	100.0%

*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	5,911
Survey period	8-11/11/2021
Success rate	6.6%
Questionnaires sent out	89,397
Questionnaires received	6,163
Ineligible samples	47
Invalid samples	0
Incomplete samples	205
Standard error	0.7%
Sampling error	1.3%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 8-11/11/2021

Q1 How fair or unfair do you think the current electoral system of Hong Kong is?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=1,664)	Percentages (Base=3,686)	Percentages (Base=5,350)
Very fair	2.0%	9.8%	7.3%
Somewhat fair }Fair	2.8% }4.8%	28.5% }38.3%	20.5% }27.8%
Half-half	2.9%	14.3%	10.8%
Somewhat unfair	3.3%	15.1%	11.5%
Very unfair }Unfair	89.0% }92.4%	27.6% }42.8%	46.7% }58.2%
Don't know / hard to say	0.0%	4.7%	3.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.3	2.8	2.2
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	3.0	1.0
Refuse to answer	<1	<1	<1
Net value (Fair- Unfair)	-87.6%	-4.5%	-30.3%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 8-11/11/2021

Q2 There is a view that the current electoral system of Hong Kong "adequately displays desirable democratic qualities such as broad representativeness and balanced participation". How much do you support or oppose this view?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
	(Base=1,618)	(Base=3,686)	(Base=5,304)
Strongly support	4.5%	8.9%	7.6%
Somewhat support } Support	2.9%	29.4%	21.3%
Half-half	3.3%	14.1%	10.8%
Somewhat oppose	3.1%	16.7%	12.5%
Strongly oppose } Oppose	86.3%	30.1%	47.2%
Don't know / hard to say	0.0%	0.9%	0.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.4	2.7	2.3
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	3.0	2.0
Refuse to answer	47	<1	47
Net value (Support- Oppose)	-82.0%	-8.5%	-30.9%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 8-11/11/2021

Q3 How much influence do you think the Central Government has over election results under the current electoral system of Hong Kong?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=1,664)	Percentages (Base=3,686)	Percentages (Base=5,350)
Very strong influence	78.0%	39.9%	51.8%
Somewhat strong influence	12.2%	24.4%	20.6%
Half-half	4.9%	13.6%	10.9%
Somewhat weak influence	2.8%	15.8%	11.8%
Very weak influence / No influence at all	1.8%	5.3%	4.2%
Don't know / hard to say	0.3%	0.9%	0.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	4.6	3.8	4.1
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	5.0	4.0	5.0
Refuse to answer	<1	<1	<1
Net value (Strong influence- Weak influence)	+85.7%	+43.1%	+56.3%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4 Survey results; Survey period: 8-11/11/2021

Q4 Then do you think such level of influence is in line with "one country, two systems"?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=1,665)	Percentages (Base=3,686)	Percentages (Base=5,351)
Yes	7.6%	50.5%	37.1%
Half-half	2.5%	14.1%	10.5%
No	88.4%	33.3%	50.5%
Don't know / hard to say	1.5%	2.0%	1.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	0	0	0

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (8-11/11/2021)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

***Required**

Eligibility Confirmation

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above (i.e., currently residing in Hong Kong)? *

- Yes
- No

We Hongkongers Series

How fair or unfair do you think the current electoral system of Hong Kong is?

- Very fair
- Somewhat fair
- Half-half
- Somewhat unfair
- Very unfair
- Don't know / hard to say

There is a view that the current electoral system of Hong Kong "adequately displays desirable democratic qualities such as broad representativeness and balanced participation". How much do you support or oppose this view?

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / hard to say

How much influence do you think the Central Government has over election results under the current electoral system of Hong Kong?

- Very strong influence
- Somewhat strong influence
- Half-half
- Somewhat weak influence
- Very weak influence / No influence at all
- Don't know / hard to say

Then do you think such level of influence is in line with “one country, two systems”?

- Yes
- Half-half
- No
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?

(Please select the closest answer)

- | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> 0% chance (Certainly not) | <input type="radio"/> 20% chance | <input type="radio"/> 70% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000) | <input type="radio"/> 25% chance | <input type="radio"/> 80% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000) | <input type="radio"/> 30% chance | <input type="radio"/> 90% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000) | <input type="radio"/> 35% chance | <input type="radio"/> 100% chance (Certainly will) |
| <input type="radio"/> 1% chance (1 in 100) | <input type="radio"/> 40% chance | <input type="radio"/> _____ % chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 5% chance (1 in 20) | <input type="radio"/> 45% chance | <input type="radio"/> Don't know / hard to say |
| <input type="radio"/> 10% chance (1 in 10) | <input type="radio"/> 50% chance | |
| <input type="radio"/> 15% chance | <input type="radio"/> 60% chance | |

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam?

- _____
- Don’t know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current in Hong Kong?

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don’t know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

Other Opinions

Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Do you have any message you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other locally known persons you name here? (Please provide their identities and your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study. We simply want to assist people who want to send a message to current or former District Councillor, new or senior community leaders, persons in charge of community organisations, and so on. If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Personal Information

(Registered member) This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)
- Provide / update personal information now

Gender

- Male

- Female
- Other

Age

- _____ years old
- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp

- Other: _____
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say

Area of residence

- Central & Western District
- Kowloon City
- North District
- Wan Chai
- Wong Tai Sin
- Tai Po
- Eastern District
- Kwun Tong
- Sai Kung
- Southern District
- Tsuen Wan
- Sha Tin
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Tuen Mun
- Kwai Tsing
- Sham Shui Po
- Yuen Long
- Islands

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- Don't know / hard to say
- Blank / void vote
- Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.