



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE
香港民意研究所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 109 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 74

Survey Date: 1 to 4 November 2021

Release Date: 5 November 2021

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “POP” in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

“We Hongkongers” is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website “We the People” hosted by the White House of the United States, “We Hongkongers” aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

“We Hongkongers” Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong’s political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the “We Hongkongers” Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the “HKPOP Panel” established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the “We Hongkongers Panel Survey” to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the “We Hongkongers” Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 109 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 74 under the “We Hongkongers” Project Series. The theme of this report is “Academic Freedom”. It is the second round of survey after May this year. The results of the first round of survey can be found in the “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 54.

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	1 November, 3pm – 4 November, 3pm
Total sample size	5,620
Response rate	6.3%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)

Success rate		
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the HKPOP Panel}}$	x 100.0%
=	$\frac{5,620}{89,569}$	x 100.0%
=	6.3%	

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank / void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 1-4/11/2021


Q1 In general, how much effort do you think the management of various universities in Hong Kong are paying to protect academic freedom?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,767)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[2] (Base=596)	Combined ^[3] (Base=5,363)
A lot of effort	1%	19%	14%
Quite some effort	5%	29%	22%
Half-half	16%	10%	12%
Not much effort	30%	15%	19%
Very little effort / No effort at all	41%	16%	24%
Don't know / hard to say	8%	11%	10%
Mean^[4]	1.9	3.2	2.8

[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 4: Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 1-4/11/2021


Q2 In general, how much restriction do you think the Hong Kong national security law has imposed on academic freedom in Hong Kong?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=4,764)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[5] (Base=596)	Combined ^[6] (Base=5,360)
A lot of restriction	68%	21%	35%
Quite some restriction	20%	19%	19%
Half-half	7%	18%	15%
Not much restriction	4%	18%	14%
Very little restriction / No restriction at all	1%	23%	16%
Don't know / hard to say	<1%	1%	1%
Mean^[7]	1.5	3.0	2.6

[5] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	2,697	56.6%	658	41.4%	335	56.2%	1,874	50.7%	3,032	56.5%	2,532	47.9%
Female	2,064	43.3%	933	58.6%	260	43.6%	1,821	49.3%	2,324	43.3%	2,754	52.1%
Other	7	0.1%	1	<0.1%	1	0.2%	<1	<0.1%	8	0.1%	1	<0.1%
Total	4,768	100.0%	1,591	100.0%	596	100.0%	3,695	100.0%	5,364	100.0%	5,286	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	716	15.0%	485	30.5%	47	7.9%	441	11.9%	763	14.2%	926	17.5%
30 - 39	1,162	24.4%	232	14.6%	114	19.1%	612	16.6%	1,276	23.8%	843	16.0%
40 - 49	1,171	24.6%	262	16.5%	127	21.3%	514	13.9%	1,298	24.2%	777	14.7%
50 - 59	1,062	22.3%	312	19.6%	169	28.4%	1,371	37.1%	1,231	23.0%	1,683	31.8%
60 or above	656	13.8%	301	18.9%	139	23.3%	757	20.5%	795	14.8%	1,057	20.0%
Total	4,767	100.0%	1,591	100.0%	596	100.0%	3,695	100.0%	5,363	100.0%	5,286	100.0%
Missing case(s)	1		<1		0		0		1		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	7	0.1%	4	0.2%	2	0.3%	14	0.4%	9	0.2%	18	0.3%
Secondary	706	14.8%	648	40.7%	127	21.4%	1,166	31.6%	833	15.5%	1,814	34.3%
Tertiary or above	4,052	85.0%	940	59.1%	465	78.3%	2,511	68.0%	4,517	84.3%	3,451	65.3%
Total	4,765	100.0%	1,591	100.0%	594	100.0%	3,691	100.0%	5,359	100.0%	5,283	100.0%
Missing case(s)	3		<1		2		4		5		4	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	1,939	40.8%	226	14.3%	215	36.2%	1,080	29.3%	2,154	40.2%	1,306	24.7%
Clerks and service workers	1,243	26.1%	519	32.7%	139	23.4%	878	23.8%	1,382	25.8%	1,397	26.5%
Workers	104	2.2%	2	0.1%	19	3.2%	86	2.3%	123	2.3%	87	1.7%
Students	190	4.0%	244	15.4%	13	2.2%	217	5.9%	203	3.8%	462	8.8%
Home-makers / housewives	219	4.6%	160	10.1%	20	3.4%	207	5.6%	239	4.5%	368	7.0%
Others	1,063	22.3%	435	27.4%	188	31.6%	1,223	33.1%	1,251	23.4%	1,658	31.4%
Total	4,758	100.0%	1,587	100.0%	594	100.0%	3,691	100.0%	5,352	100.0%	5,278	100.0%
Missing case(s)	10		5		2		4		12		8	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	195	4.1%	23	1.5%	28	4.7%	147	4.0%	223	4.2%	171	3.3%
Wan Chai	104	2.2%	9	0.6%	19	3.2%	120	3.3%	123	2.3%	129	2.5%
Eastern	462	9.8%	121	7.7%	64	10.8%	262	7.1%	526	9.9%	383	7.3%
Southern	195	4.1%	72	4.6%	26	4.4%	183	5.0%	221	4.2%	255	4.9%
Yau Tsim Mong	213	4.5%	117	7.4%	20	3.4%	122	3.3%	233	4.4%	239	4.6%
Sham Shui Po	233	4.9%	37	2.3%	38	6.4%	357	9.7%	271	5.1%	394	7.5%
Kowloon City	247	5.2%	20	1.3%	30	5.1%	276	7.5%	277	5.2%	297	5.7%
Wong Tai Sin	247	5.2%	110	7.0%	27	4.6%	164	4.5%	274	5.2%	274	5.2%
Kwun Tong	328	6.9%	205	13.1%	35	5.9%	208	5.7%	363	6.8%	414	7.9%
Tsuen Wan	224	4.7%	76	4.8%	40	6.8%	225	6.1%	264	5.0%	301	5.7%
Tuen Mun	283	6.0%	34	2.2%	34	5.8%	269	7.3%	317	6.0%	303	5.8%
Yuen Long	304	6.4%	99	6.3%	45	7.6%	221	6.0%	349	6.6%	320	6.1%
North	155	3.3%	14	0.9%	19	3.2%	114	3.1%	174	3.3%	127	2.4%
Tai Po	224	4.7%	147	9.4%	23	3.9%	90	2.5%	247	4.6%	238	4.5%
Sai Kung	390	8.3%	121	7.7%	39	6.6%	359	9.8%	429	8.1%	480	9.2%
Sha Tin	544	11.5%	142	9.1%	53	9.0%	164	4.5%	597	11.2%	306	5.8%
Kwai Tsing	266	5.6%	209	13.3%	40	6.8%	346	9.4%	306	5.8%	556	10.6%
Islands	109	2.3%	12	0.8%	10	1.7%	42	1.1%	119	2.2%	54	1.0%
Total	4,723	100.0%	1,569	100.0%	590	100.0%	3,671	100.0%	5,313	100.0%	5,240	100.0%
Missing case(s)	45		23		6		24		51		46	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	2,739	57.4%	1,030	64.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,739	51.1%	1,030	19.5%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	38	6.4%	451	12.2%	38	0.7%	451	8.5%
Localist	2,029	42.6%	562	35.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,029	37.8%	562	10.6%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	189	31.7%	1,149	31.1%	189	3.5%	1,149	21.7%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	369	61.9%	2,095	56.7%	369	6.9%	2,095	39.6%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	4,768	100.0%	1,591	100.0%	596	100.0%	3,695	100.0%	5,364	100.0%	5,286	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp: *	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	4,534	95.5%	761	47.8%	363	61.1%	531	14.5%	4,897	91.7%	1,293	24.6%
Non-democratic	14	0.3%	162	10.2%	106	17.8%	1,642	44.8%	120	2.2%	1,805	34.3%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	138	2.9%	415	26.1%	101	17.0%	1,120	30.5%	239	4.5%	1,535	29.2%
Not a registered voter	63	1.3%	253	15.9%	24	4.0%	374	10.2%	87	1.6%	627	11.9%
Total	4,749	100.0%	1,591	100.0%	594	100.0%	3,668	100.0%	5,343	100.0%	5,259	100.0%

*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	5,620
Survey period	1-4/11/2021
Success rate	6.3%
Questionnaires sent out	89,569
Questionnaires received	5,874
Ineligible samples	53
Invalid samples	0
Incomplete samples	201
Standard error	0.7%
Sampling error	3.9%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 1-4/11/2021

Q1 In general, how much effort do you think the management of various universities in Hong Kong are paying to protect academic freedom?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=1,591)	Percentages (Base=3,695)	Percentages (Base=5,286)
A lot of effort	1.1%	19.4%	13.9%
Quite some effort	4.5%	29.0%	21.6%
Half-half	16.1%	9.6%	11.6%
Not much effort	29.8%	15.0%	19.4%
Very little effort / No effort at all	40.9%	16.4%	23.8%
Don't know / hard to say	7.5%	10.6%	9.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.9	3.2	2.8
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	2.0	4.0	3.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	<1	0	<1
Net value (Big effort - Little effort)	-65.2%	+16.9%	-7.8%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 1-4/11/2021

Q2 In general, how much restriction do you think the Hong Kong national security law has imposed on academic freedom in Hong Kong?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=1,591)	Percentages (Base=3,695)	Percentages (Base=5,286)
A lot of restriction	67.5%	20.6%	34.8%
Quite some restriction	20.3%	18.7%	19.2%
Half-half	6.6%	18.2%	14.7%
Not much restriction	4.5%	18.4%	14.2%
Very little restriction / No restriction at all	1.1%	22.9%	16.4%
Don't know / hard to say	0.0%	1.1%	0.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.5	3.0	2.5
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	3.0	2.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	<1	0	<1
Net value (Big restriction - Little restriction)	+82.2%	-2.0%	+23.4%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (1-4/11/2021)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

***Required**

Eligibility Confirmation

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above (i.e., currently residing in Hong Kong)? *

- Yes
- No

We Hongkongers Series

In general, how much effort do you think the management of various universities in Hong Kong are paying to protect academic freedom?

- A lot of effort
- Quite some effort
- Half-half
- Not much effort
- Very little effort / No effort at all
- Don't know / hard to say

In general, how much restriction do you think the Hong Kong national security law has imposed on academic freedom in Hong Kong?

- A lot of restriction
- Quite some restriction
- Half-half
- Not much restriction
- Very little restriction / No restriction at all
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?

(Please select the closest answer)

- 0% chance (Certainly not)
- 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)
- 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)
- 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
- 1% chance (1 in 100)
- 5% chance (1 in 20)
- 10% chance (1 in 10)
- 15% chance
- 20% chance
- 25% chance
- 30% chance
- 35% chance
- 40% chance
- 45% chance
- 50% chance
- 60% chance
- 70% chance
- 80% chance
- 90% chance
- 100% chance (Certainly will)
- _____ % chance
- Don't know / hard to say

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government’s performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam?

- _____
- Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current in Hong Kong?

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don't know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						

Livelihood condition						
----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Other Opinions

Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Do you have any message you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other locally known persons you name here? (Please provide their identities and your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study. We simply want to assist people who want to send a message to current or former District Councillor, new or senior community leaders, persons in charge of community organisations, and so on. If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Personal Information

(Registered member) This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update ([skip questions on personal information](#))
- Provide / update personal information now

Gender

- Male
- Female
- Other

Age

- _____ years old
- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- Other: _____
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say

Area of residence

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Central & Western District | <input type="radio"/> Kowloon City | <input type="radio"/> North District |
| <input type="radio"/> Wan Chai | <input type="radio"/> Wong Tai Sin | <input type="radio"/> Tai Po |
| <input type="radio"/> Eastern District | <input type="radio"/> Kwun Tong | <input type="radio"/> Sai Kung |
| <input type="radio"/> Southern District | <input type="radio"/> Tsuen Wan | <input type="radio"/> Sha Tin |
| <input type="radio"/> Yau Tsim Mong | <input type="radio"/> Tuen Mun | <input type="radio"/> Kwai Tsing |
| <input type="radio"/> Sham Shui Po | <input type="radio"/> Yuen Long | <input type="radio"/> Islands |

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist

- Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- Don't know / hard to say
- Blank / void vote
- Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.