

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所　之　香港民意研究計劃

Latest Tracking Poll Results

November 9, 2021



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所　之　香港民意研究計劃

Community Democracy Project -
Community Health Module
Latest Results
November 9, 2021

Contact Information - Community Health Module

	HKPOP Panel	
Date of survey	October 25, 3pm – November 8, 3pm	
Survey method	Online survey	
Target population	Hong Kong residents aged 12+	
	Representative Panel	Volunteer Panel
Total sample size	842	6,890
Response rate	9.8%	8.5%
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-3% at 95% confidence level	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.	

Survey Result - Community Health Module

- **Latest survey period: 25/10-8/11/2021** ***(Representative Panel N=838 Volunteer Panel N=6,876)***
- **Last survey period: 11-25/10/2021** ***(Representative Panel N=732 Volunteer Panel N=5,807)***
- **Second last survey period: 23/9-11/10/2021** ***(Representative Panel N=839 Volunteer Panel N=7,053)***

Opinion Question^		Representative Panel (N=838)		Volunteer Panel (N=6,876)	
		Don't know / hard to say	Average	Don't know / hard to say	Average
Q1 How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month? [Logarithmic Scale]	**Latest**	20%	10%	17%	6%
	Last	18%	11%	13%	7%
	Second Last	21%	9%	15%	6%

^ Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don’t know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020.

Survey Result - Community Health Module



^ Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020.

Survey Result - Community Health Module

- **Latest survey period: 25/10-8/11/2021** ***(Representative Panel N=836 Volunteer Panel N=6,873)***
- **Last survey period: 11-25/10/2021** ***(Representative Panel N=733 Volunteer Panel N=5,810)***
- **Second last survey period: 23/9-11/10/2021** ***(Representative Panel N=840 Volunteer Panel N=7,063)***

Opinion Question^		Representative Panel (N=836)				Volunteer Panel (N=6,873)			
		Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean†	Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean†
Q2 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the **government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia**?	**Latest**	31%▼*	19%	**50%**▲*	2.5▼*	34%	11%▲*	**55%**	2.5▼*
	Last	36%	21%	42%	2.7	35%	9%	**55%**	2.5
	Second Last	31%	21%	46%	2.6	35%	12%	**53%**	2.5

^ Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say

† The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

* Significant change

Survey Result - Community Health Module



^ Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say

* Significant change

POP

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所　之　香港民意研究計劃

限聚指數
Group Gathering Prohibition Index
9/11/2021

樣本資料 – 限聚指數基準調查
Contact Information - Group Gathering Prohibition Index Benchmark Survey

	香港民研意見群組成員 HKPOP Panel
調查日期 Survey date	18/10 15:00 – 25/10 15:00
調查方法 Survey method	以電郵接觸群組成員，並於網上完成調查 Online survey
訪問對象 Target population	十二歲或以上的香港市民 Hong Kong residents aged 12+
總成功樣本 Total sample size	5,974
回應比率 Response rate	6.6%
抽樣誤差 Sampling error	95%置信水平，百分比誤差+/-1% Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
加權方法 Weighting method	按照1) 政府統計處提供的全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字、各區議會人口數字；2) 選舉事務處提供的區議會選舉結果；3) 常規調查中的特首評分分佈數字，以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。 The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.

限聚指數
Group Gathering Prohibition Index

- **最新調查日期 Latest survey date: 18-25/10/2021 *(N=5,974)***
- **上次調查日期 Last survey date: 13-20/9/2021 *(N=6,210)***
- **上上次調查日期 Second last survey date: 16-23/8/2021 *(N=7,456)***

意見題目	Opinion Questions
你認為香港應否無條件全面撤銷「限聚令」？ ▪ 應該無條件撤銷「限聚令」 ▪ 不應該，應視乎疫情而定 ▪ 不知道／很難說 [追問沒有選擇應該 “無條件撤銷「限聚令」”者] 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於2人？ 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於4人？ 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於8人？ 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於16人？ 你認為感染個案清零多少天後，限聚令應該全面撤銷？ 請於以下欄位列舉你認為合適的 [個案數 及 限聚人數] 組合……	Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong? ▪ Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally ▪ No, it should depend on the epidemic situation ▪ Don’t know / hard to say [For respondents NOT answering “Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally”] How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people? After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether? Please list combinations of [number of cases & number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:

調查結果 – 限聚接受程度
Survey Result – Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level



限聚指數 – 分析評論
Group Gathering Prohibition Index – Commentary

民生大聯盟發言人章彤輝博士指出，「轉眼已近年底，（免隔離）通關的話題又成焦點，政府官員、抗疫專家及民間各處不同的消息都指向了通關條件 – 疫苗接種，病例追踪，限聚令。目前大家對限聚令的反對都已經麻木，另一邊政府就繼續擴大疫苗接種的力度，兼利用安心出行來強化追踪手段。這些做法本來沒有大的邏輯錯誤，但政府總有辦法把事情都搞到不倫不類，兩頭不討好。」

Dr Tung-fai Cheung, Spokesman of Alliance of Revitalizing Economy and Livelihood, observed, "The end of the year is approaching, and the topic of boundary crossing (with no quarantine) has become the focus again. Various news from government officers, medical experts and the populace point to the pre-requisites: vaccination, Covid-19 case tracking, and group gathering ban (GGB). Up to now, everyone's opposition to the GGB has showed indifference to the ban, and the government continues to expand the coverage of vaccination and uses LeaveHomeSafe apps to strengthen the tracking methods. There is no major mistake in these practices, but the government always has a way to make a mess, as well as present an unpleasant scenario."



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所　之　香港民意研究計劃

Latest Tracking Poll Results

November 9, 2021

Contact Information

- Date of survey: 29/10-3/11/2021
- Survey method: Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers
- Target population: Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above
- Sample size: 1,004 (including 503 landline and 501 mobile samples)
- Effective response rate: 50.1%
- Sampling error: Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4%, that of net values not more than +/-7% and that of ratings not more than +/-3.1 at 95% conf. level
- Weighting method: Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from “Mid-year population for 2020”, while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from “Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2020 Edition)”.

Survey Topic

- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Popularity of Secretaries of Departments
- Core Social Indicators
  - Degree of stability
  - Degree of prosperity
  - Degree of freedom
  - Compliance with the rule of law
  - Degree of democracy

Survey Result - Popularity of Chief Executive

Popularity of Chief Executive

		18-22/10/2021	29/10-3/11/2021	Change	Record
CE Carrie Lam	Rating	37.0	**35.7**	▼**1.3**	Record low since Sept. 2021
	Vote of confidence	22%	**23%**	▲**1%**	Record high since Oct. 2021
	Vote of no confidence	64%	**66%**	▲**1%**	Record high since Aug. 2021
	Net approval rate	-42%	**-42%**	--	--

- Our latest survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 35.7 marks, with 32% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her approval rate is 23%, disapproval rate 66%, giving a net popularity of negative 42 percentage points. The rating and net popularity have not changed much from half a month ago.

Survey Topic

- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Popularity of Secretaries of Departments
- Core Social Indicators
  - Degree of stability
  - Degree of prosperity
  - Degree of freedom
  - Compliance with the rule of law
  - Degree of democracy

Survey Result - Popularity of Secretaries of Departments

Secretaries of Departments

		6-10/9/2021	29/10-3/11/2021	Change	Record
Chief Secretary for Administration John Lee	Rating	37.5	**36.5**	▼**0.9**	Record low since Aug. 2021
	Net approval rate	-11%	**-6%**	▲**4%**	Record high since Jul. 2021
Financial Secretary Paul Chan	Rating	41.9	**44.2**	▲**2.3**	Record high since Feb. 2018
	Net approval rate	-5%	**2%**	▲**7%**	Record high since Aug. 2021
Secretary for Justice Teresa Cheng	Rating	28.3	**28.8**	▲**0.5**	Record high since Jun. 2019
	Net approval rate	-35%	**-39%**	▼**4%**	Record low since Aug. 2021

- As for the Secretaries of Departments, the support rating of CS John Lee is 36.5 marks. His net popularity is negative 6 percentage points. The support rating of FS Paul Chan is 44.2 marks, registering a record high since February 2018. His net popularity is positive 2 percentage points. As for SJ Teresa Cheng, her support rating is 28.8 marks, registering another record high since early June 2019. Her net popularity is negative 39 percentage points. No significant changes has been registered for all the above ratings and net approval rates compared to two months ago.

Survey Result - Popularity of Chief Executive



Survey Result - Popularity of CE and Secretaries of Departments



Survey Result - Popularity of CE and Secretaries of Departments



Survey Topic

- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Popularity of Secretaries of Departments
- Core Social Indicators
  - Degree of stability
  - Degree of prosperity
  - Degree of freedom
  - Compliance with the rule of law
  - Degree of democracy

Survey Result - Social Indicators

Five Core Social Indicators

	4-7/10/2021	29/10-3/11/2021	Change	Record
Stability	5.48	**5.42**	▼**0.06**	Record low since Sept. 2021
Prosperity	5.26	**5.35**	▲**0.09**	Record high since Aug. 2021
Freedom	5.12	**5.18**	▲**0.06**	Record high since Apr. 2020
Rule of law	4.86	**4.87**	▲**0.01**	Record high since Aug. 2021
Democracy	4.28	**4.16**	▼**0.11**	Record low since Aug. 2021

- On a scale of 0 to 10, people's ratings on the five core social indicators ranked from the highest to the lowest are "stability", "prosperity", "freedom", "rule of law" and "democracy". Their scores are 5.42, 5.35, 5.18, 4.87 and 4.16 respectively. All indicators have not registered any significant change compared with a month ago.

Survey Result - Social Indicators



Survey Result - Social Indicators