HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Latest Tracking Poll Results

October 12, 2021

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Community Democracy Project Community Health Module
Latest Results
October 12, 2021

Contact Information - Community Health Module


3	-))
J	


	HKPOP Panel					
Date of survey	September 23, 3pm – October 11, 3pm					
Survey method	Online s	urvey				
Target population	Hong Kong resid	lents aged 12+				
	Representative Panel Volunteer Panel					
Total sample size	842	7,076				
Response rate	9.7%	8.7%				
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-3% at 95% confidence level	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level				
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.					

- Latest survey period: 23/9-11/10/2021 (Representative Panel N=839 Volunteer Panel N=7,053)
- Last survey period: 13-23/9/2021 (Representative Panel N=663 Volunteer Panel N=5,599)
- Second last survey period: 6-13/9/2021 (Representative Panel N=761 Volunteer Panel N=6,094)

Opinion Question^		Representative	Panel (N=839)	Volunteer Panel (N=7,053)		
		Don't know / hard to say	Average	Don't know / hard to say	Average	
Q1 How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month? [Logarithmic Scale] Latest Latest Last Second Last	Latest	21%	9%	15%	6%	
	Last	19%	8%	19%	6%	
	Second Last	21%	8%	17%	6%	

[^] Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020.






[^] Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020.

- Latest survey period: 23/9-11/10/2021 (Representative Panel N=840 Volunteer Panel N=7,063)
- Last survey period: 13-23/9/2021 (Representative Panel N=664 Volunteer Panel N=5,602)
- Second last survey period: 6-13/9/2021 (Representative Panel N=761 Volunteer Panel N=6,105)

Opinion Question^		Representative Panel (N=840)			Volunteer Panel (N=7,063)				
		Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean [†]	Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean [†]
Q2 How satisfied or dissatisfied are	Latest	31%*	21%	46%▼*	2.6▲*	35%	12% ▼*	53%*	2.5
you with the government's performance in handling novel	Last	26%	18%	56%	2.4	35%	14%	50%	2.5
coronavirus pneumonia?	Secon d Last	29%	20%	50%	2.5	32%	8%	59%	2.4

[^] Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say † The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Appraisal of HK Government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia



[^] Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

限聚指數 Group Gathering Prohibition Index 12/10/2021

樣本資料 - 限聚指數基準調查

Contact Information - Group Gathering Prohibition Index Benchmark Survey

a	

	香港民研意見群組成員 HKPOP Panel		
調查日期 Survey date	13/9 15:00 – 20/9 15:00		
調查方法 Survey method	以電郵接觸群組成員,並於網上完成調查 Online survey		
訪問對象 Target population	十二歲或以上的香港市民 Hong Kong residents aged 12+		
總成功樣本 Total sample size	6,210		
回應比率 Response rate	6.8%		
抽樣誤差 Sampling error	95%置信水平,百分比誤差+/-1% Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level		
加權方法 Weighting method	按照1) 政府統計處提供的全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字、各區議會人口數字; 2) 選舉事務處提供的區議會選舉結果;3) 常規調查中的特首評分分佈數字,以 「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。 The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.		

限聚指數

Group Gathering Prohibition Index


10

- <u>最新</u>調查日期 <u>Latest</u> survey date: 13-20/9/2021 (N=6,210)
- 上次調查日期 Last survey date: 16-23/8/2021 (N=7,456)
- <u>上上次</u>調査日期 <u>Second last</u> survey date: 16-21/7/2021 (*N=5,636*)

意見題目	Opinion Questions
你認為香港應否無條件全面撤銷「限聚令」? ■ 應該無條件撤銷「限聚令」 ■ 不應該,應視乎疫情而定 ■ 不知道/很難說	Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong? Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally No, it should depend on the epidemic situation Don't know / hard to say
[追問沒有選擇應該"無條件撤銷「限聚令」"者] 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於4人? 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於8人? 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於8人? 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少,才適合將「限聚令」訂於16人? 你認為感染個案清零多少天後,限聚令應該全面撤銷? 請於以下欄位列舉你認為合適的[個案數及限聚人數]組合	[For respondents NOT answering "Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally"] How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people? After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether? Please list combinations of [number of cases & number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:

調查結果 - 限聚接受程度

Survey Result – Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level



限聚指數 - 分析評論 Group Gathering Prohibition Index - Commentary

香港教育中心商會副主席任偉豪指出:「在港府的角度而言,雖已與中央協商通關多時,直至現在還未明朗,這可能會令市民失去港府與中央政府協作能力的信心。特別是上星期特首發表的施政報告中涉及大量大灣區規劃,會令人懷疑施政報告中的大計是否真的能夠實現。不過另一方面,我認為先讓香港觀察一下澳門先行通關的情況也是好事。」

Vice Chairman of The Hong Kong Chamber of Education Centres Yam Wai Ho observed, "From the perspective of the Hong Kong Government, the traveller clearance has been negotiated with the Central Government for a long time, and it has not been as clear until now. This may cause the public to lose confidence in the ability of the Hong Kong Government to cooperate with the Central Government. In particular, the policy address released by the Chief Executive last week involved many plans for the Greater Bay Area, which will make people doubt whether the big plans in the policy address can really be realized. But on the other hand, I think it is also good to let Hong Kong observe the situation of Macau's traveller clearance first."

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Latest Tracking Poll Results

October 12, 2021

Contact Information

14

- Date of survey: 4-7/10/2021
- Survey method: Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers
- Target population: Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above
- Sample size: 1,010 (including 508 landline and 502 mobile samples)
- Effective response rate: 62.9%
- Sampling error: Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-3%, that of net values not more than +/-5% and that of ratings not more than +/-2.1 at 95% conf. level
- Weighting method: Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from "Mid-year population for 2020", while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from "Women and Men in Hong Kong Key Statistics (2020 Edition)".

Survey Topic

15)

- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Core Social Indicators
 - o Degree of stability
 - o Degree of prosperity
 - O Degree of freedom
 - o Compliance with the rule of law
 - o Degree of democracy

Survey Result - Popularity of Chief Executive

16)

Popularity of Chief Executive

		16-23/9/2021	4-7/10/2021#	Change	Record
CE Carrie Lam	Rating	33.9	38.3	4.4 *	Record high since Jun. 2019
	Vote of confidence	20%	24%	▲ 5% *	Record high since Jul. 2019
	Vote of no confidence	66%	59%	V 6% *	Record low since Jun. 2019
	Net approval rate	-46%	-35%	11% *	Record high since Jun. 2019

Our latest survey shows that the popularity rating of CE Carrie Lam is 38.3 marks, with 28% of respondents giving her 0 mark. Her approval rate is 24%, disapproval rate 59%, giving a net popularity of negative 35 percentage points. The rating and net popularity have increased significantly compared to half a month ago, registering record highs since early June 2019.

POP conducted a Policy Address instant survey in between two tracking surveys, but because our tracking surveys do not use online samples, and our latest survey started two days before the Policy Address, it is better to compare the latest figures with those collected in our previous survey.

Survey Result - Popularity of Chief Executive

調查日期 Date of Survey

100%

90%

80%

70%

30%


20%

10%

1-6/2/2018

15-20/3/2018

百分比 Percentage



- Popularity of Chief Executive
- Core Social Indicators
 - Degree of stability
 - Degree of prosperity
 - Degree of freedom
 - Compliance with the rule of law
 - Degree of democracy

Survey Result - Social Indicators


23

Five Core Social Indicators

	6-10/9/2021	4-7/10/2021	Change	Record
Stability	5.07	5.48	▲0.41 *	Record high since May 2019
Prosperity	5.02	5.26	▲0.24	Record high since Aug. 2021
Freedom	4.95	5.12	▲0.17	Record high since Apr. 2020
Rule of law	4.64	4.86	▲0.22	Record high since Aug. 2021
Democracy	4.28	4.28		

On a scale of 0 to 10, people's ratings on the five core social indicators ranked from the highest to the lowest are "stability", "prosperity", "freedom", "rule of law" and "democracy". Compared with a month ago, the "prosperity" and "rule of law" indicators both receded significantly, while the "stability" indicator has registered a new high since May 2019.

Survey Result - Social Indicators



Survey Result - Social Indicators

