


POP



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM


HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

Latest developments of HKPORI

September 17, 2021

POP



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE


香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

“We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

Latest Results

September 17, 2021

POP



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

限聚指數

Group Gathering Prohibition Index

17/9/2021

樣本資料 - 限聚指數基準調查

Contact Information - Group Gathering Prohibition Index Benchmark Survey

4

	香港民研意見群組成員 HKPOP Panel
調查日期 Survey date	16/8 15:00 – 23/8 15:00
調查方法 Survey method	以電郵接觸群組成員，並於網上完成調查 Online survey
訪問對象 Target population	十二歲或以上的香港市民 Hong Kong residents aged 12+
總成功樣本 Total sample size	7,456
回應比率 Response rate	8.1%
抽樣誤差 Sampling error	95% 置信水平，百分比誤差 +/-1% Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
加權方法 Weighting method	按照1) 政府統計處提供的全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字、各區議會人口數字； 2) 選舉事務處提供的區議會選舉結果；3) 常規調查中的特首評分分佈數字，以 「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。 The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.

限聚指數

Group Gathering Prohibition Index

5

- 最新調查日期 **Latest survey date: 16-23/8/2021 (N=7,456)**
- 上次調查日期 **Last survey date: 16-21/7/2021 (N=5,636)**
- 上上次調查日期 **Second last survey date: 18-23/6/2021 (N=6,158)**


意見題目	Opinion Questions
<p>你認為香港應否無條件全面撤銷「限聚令」？</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ 應該無條件撤銷「限聚令」▪ 不應該，應視乎疫情而定▪ 不知道／很難說 <p>[追問沒有選擇應該“無條件撤銷「限聚令」”者]</p> <p>你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於2人？</p> <p>你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於4人？</p> <p>你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於8人？</p> <p>你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於16人？</p> <p>你認為感染個案清零多少天後，限聚令應該全面撤銷？</p> <p>請於以下欄位列舉你認為合適的 [個案數 及 限聚人數] 組合.....</p>	<p>Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally▪ No, it should depend on the epidemic situation▪ Don't know / hard to say <p>[For respondents NOT answering “Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally”]</p> <p>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people?</p> <p>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people?</p> <p>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people?</p> <p>How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people?</p> <p>After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether?</p> <p>Please list combinations of [number of cases & number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:</p>

調查結果 - 限聚接受程度

Survey Result – Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level

6

限聚接受程度 Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level



限聚指數 - 分析評論


Group Gathering Prohibition Index – Commentary

7

民生大聯盟發言人章彤輝博士指出，「看來政府官員是不會出外就餐也不會到訪鬧市地區的。當你走進一間酒樓，或者身處鬧市，你肯定對四人限聚令產生疑問，因為四處都是消費者，酒樓座無虛席，街上車水馬龍，這才是我們香港人最常態的生活方式。當限聚令不能禁止人群聚集，又未必能防止疾病傳播，那我們為何要堅持這條無效的限聚令呢？」

Dr Tung-fai Cheung, Spokesman of Alliance of Revitalizing Economy and Livelihood, observed, “It seems that our government officers never dine out, nor move around in crowded area. When you walk into a restaurant or go downtown, you certainly query the current group gathering ban (GGB) of 4 persons. You see so many peoples around. The restaurants are so full and the streets so congested. This is typical Hong Kong life! When the GGB can neither prevent peoples from gathering, nor eradicate COVID-19, why do we still need this ineffective ban?”

POP



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

“We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

Latest Results

September 17, 2021

Contact Information - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

9

	HKPOP Panel
Date of survey	September 13, 3pm – September 16, 3pm
Survey method	Online survey
Target population	Hong Kong residents aged 12+
Total sample size	5,807
Response rate	6.4%
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

- Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021
- **Question: Q1 CE Carrie Lam will soon deliver the 2021 Policy Address. How important or unimportant do you think the Polity Address is?**

	Pro-democracy camp supporters (n=4,949)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters* (n=568)	Combined^ (n=5,517)
Very important	6%	13%	11%
Somewhat important	13%	43%	33%
	19%	57%	45%
Half-half	17%	12%	14%
Somewhat unimportant	20%	13%	15%
Very unimportant	34%	12%	19%
	54%	25%	35%
Don't know / hard to say	10%	6%	7%
Mean†	2.3	3.3	3.0


* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

† The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

- **Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021**
- **Question: Q1 CE Carrie Lam will soon deliver the 2021 Policy Address. How important or unimportant do you think the Polity Address is?**



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

- **Cross-tabulation Analysis[#] (n=5,515)**

		Age		
		12 – 29 (n=717)	30 – 49 (n=2,667)	50 or above (n=2,131)
Q1 CE Carrie Lam will soon deliver the 2021 Policy Address. How important or unimportant do you think the Polity Address is?	Important	26%	45%	50%
	Half-half	14%	15%	13%
	Unimportant	52%	33%	30%
	Don't know / hard to say	8%	7%	7%
	Mean [†]	2.6	3.0	3.2

[^] The values in each column add up to 100%

[†] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

14

- Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021
- **Question: Q2 Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how much expectation do you have on it?**


	Pro-democracy camp supporters (n=4,948)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters* (n=567)	Combined^ (n=5,515)
Very high expectation	1%	2%	2%
Somewhat high expectation	6%	16%	13%
Half-half	8%	38%	28%
Somewhat low expectation	8%	10%	10%
Very low expectation	11%	3%	6%
No expectation	66%	29%	41%
Don't know / hard to say	<1%	1%	1%

* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

- **Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021**
- **Question: Q2 Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how much expectation do you have on it?**



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

- **Cross-tabulation Analysis[#] (n=5,513)**

		Age		
		12 – 29 (n=717)	30 – 49 (n=2,666)	50 or above (n=2,130)
Q2 Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how much expectation do you have on it?	Very high expectation	<1%	<1%	4%
	Somewhat high expectation	2%	18%	13%
	Half-half	19%	28%	31%
	Somewhat low expectation	15%	9%	9%
	Very low expectation	10%	3%	6%
	No expectation	53%	41%	37%
	Don't know / hard to say	<1%	2%	1%

[#] The values in each column add up to 100%

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

18

- **Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021**
- **Question: Q3 Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the following issues? [Question order to be randomized by computer]**


Combined [^]	Strongly necessary	Somewhat necessary	Half-half	Somewhat unnecessary	Strongly unnecessary	Don't know / hard to say	Mean [†]
Healthcare and public health	58%	29%	6%	2%	2%	3%	4.4
Housing	66%	20%	10%	1%	2%	2%	4.5
Social welfare	39%	36%	18%	3%	2%	2%	4.1
Labour policy	31%	36%	27%	2%	2%	2%	4.0
Education	39%	27%	15%	8%	6%	5%	3.9

[^] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[†] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

- **Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021**
- **Question: Q3 Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the following issues? [Question order to be randomized by computer]**




^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

21

- **Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021**
- **Question: Q3b Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the Healthcare and public health issue?**




* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

23

- **Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021**
- **Question: Q3a Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the Housing issue?**




* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

25

- **Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021**
- **Question: Q3d Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the Social welfare issue?**




* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

- **Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021**
- **Question: Q3e Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the Labour policy issue?**




* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Survey Result - “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey

29

- Latest survey period: 13-16/9/2021
- Question: Q3c Irrespective of how important you think the Policy Address is, how necessary is it that it deals with the Education issue?



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.