



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 92 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 64

Survey Date: 2 to 5 August 2021

Release Date: 6 August 2021

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “POP” in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 92 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 64 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The research is co-organized with the Election Observation Project on the theme "Election Committee Elections under the New Election System".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples aged 18 or above, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	2 August, 3pm – 5 August, 3pm
Total sample size	5,916
Response rate	6.4%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)

Success rate	
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the Hong Kong People Representative Panel}} \times 100.0\%$
=	$\frac{5,916}{92,421} \times 100.0\%$
=	6.4%

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 18-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 18-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 2-5/8/2021


Q1 How familiar are you with the new system of Election Committee Subsector Elections?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,047)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[2] (Base=586)	Combined ^[3] (Base=5,633)
Very familiar	2%	3%	3%
Somewhat familiar	5%	10%	8%
Half-half	20%	34%	29%
Somewhat unfamiliar	20%	26%	24%
Very unfamiliar	52%	22%	33%
Don't know / hard to say	1%	4%	3%
Mean ^[4]	1.8	2.4	2.2

[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey


Table 4: Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 2-5/8/2021

Q2 Have you ever participated in the Election Committee Subsector Elections?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,055)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[5] (Base=586)	Combined ^[6] (Base=5,641)
Voted in the election	19%	34%	28%
Registered as voter (but never voted)	11%	6%	8%
Qualified to register as voter (but never registered)	7%	3%	5%
Never qualified to register as voter	53%	47%	49%
Don't know / hard to say	10%	10%	10%

[5] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey


Table 5: Q3 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 2-5/8/2021

Q3 Under the new system, will you be able to participate in the Election Committee Subsector Elections?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,055)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[7] (Base=586)	Combined ^[8] (Base=5,641)
Qualified to register as individual voter	16%	21%	19%
Qualified to register as corporate voter } Qualified to register	3% } 19%	3% } 25%	3% } 23%
Qualified to register as individual voter and corporate voter	<1%	1%	1%
Not qualified to register as voter, but there are voter(s) that represent me	3%	10%	7%
Not at all	49%	40%	43%
Don't know / hard to say	29%	25%	27%

[7] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[8] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

Chart 3: Q3 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 6: Q4 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 2-5/8/2021

Q4 Following the previous question, to what extent do you think the voter(s) of the Subsector Election can represent you? ^[9]	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=154)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[10] (Base=30)	Combined ^[11] (Base=184)
<p>Very much can</p> <p>Somewhat can } Can</p>	<p><1%</p> <p><1%</p>	<p>3%</p> <p>15%</p>	<p>2%</p> <p>12%</p>
<p>Half-half</p>	<p>7%</p>	<p>39%</p>	<p>33%</p>
<p>Somewhat can't</p> <p>Very much can't } Can 't</p>	<p>1%</p> <p>32%</p>	<p>19%</p> <p>24%</p>	<p>16%</p> <p>26%</p>
<p>Don't know / hard to say</p>	<p>61%</p>	<p>0%</p>	<p>10%</p>
<p>Mean^[12]</p>	<p>1.4</p>	<p>2.5</p>	<p>2.4</p>


[9] Only include those who answer “not qualified to register as voter, but there are voter(s) that represent me” in Q3

[10] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[11] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[12] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 4: Q4 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	2,896	57.3%	823	40.2%	322	54.9%	1,791	52.4%	3,218	57.0%	2,614	47.8%
Female	2,157	42.7%	1,226	59.8%	263	44.8%	1,626	47.6%	2,420	42.9%	2,852	52.2%
Other	4	0.1%	<1	<0.1%	2	0.3%	<1	<0.1%	6	0.1%	<1	<0.1%
Total	5,057	100.0%	2,049	100.0%	587	100.0%	3,417	100.0%	5,644	100.0%	5,466	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
30 - 39	1,250	24.7%	391	19.1%	114	19.4%	423	12.4%	1,364	28.0%	813	17.1%
40 - 49	1,265	25.0%	439	21.4%	144	24.5%	579	17.0%	1,409	28.9%	1,018	21.5%
50 - 59	1,148	22.7%	473	23.1%	162	27.6%	1,348	39.4%	1,310	26.9%	1,821	38.4%
60 or above	665	13.2%	272	13.3%	128	21.8%	823	24.1%	793	16.3%	1,095	23.1%
Total	4,328	85.6%	1,574	76.9%	548	93.4%	3,173	92.9%	4,876	100.0%	4,748	100.0%
Missing case(s)	3		<1		0		0		3		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	8	0.2%	<1	<0.1%	2	0.3%	18	0.5%	10	0.2%	18	0.3%
Secondary	704	13.9%	959	46.8%	134	22.9%	1,228	36.0%	838	14.9%	2,187	40.1%
Tertiary or above	4,339	85.9%	1,088	53.1%	448	76.7%	2,163	63.5%	4,787	85.0%	3,251	59.6%
Total	5,051	100.0%	2,048	100.0%	584	100.0%	3,409	100.0%	5,635	100.0%	5,457	100.0%
Missing case(s)	6		1		3		9		9		9	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	2,086	41.4%	330	16.2%	216	37.0%	835	24.5%	2,302	40.9%	1,164	21.4%
Clerks and service workers	1,356	26.9%	781	38.3%	145	24.8%	723	21.2%	1,501	26.7%	1,503	27.6%
Workers	101	2.0%	137	6.7%	14	2.4%	82	2.4%	115	2.0%	219	4.0%
Students	188	3.7%	76	3.7%	10	1.7%	167	4.9%	198	3.5%	243	4.5%
Home-makers / housewives	217	4.3%	240	11.8%	25	4.3%	293	8.6%	242	4.3%	533	9.8%
Others	1,092	21.7%	475	23.3%	174	29.8%	1,310	38.4%	1,266	22.5%	1,785	32.8%
Total	5,040	100.0%	2,039	100.0%	584	100.0%	3,409	100.0%	5,624	100.0%	5,448	100.0%
Missing case(s)	17		10		3		9		20		18	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	210	4.2%	39	1.9%	26	4.5%	229	6.8%	236	4.2%	268	5.0%
Wan Chai	127	2.5%	3	0.1%	17	2.9%	81	2.4%	144	2.6%	84	1.6%
Eastern	511	10.2%	79	3.9%	52	9.0%	226	6.7%	563	10.1%	305	5.6%
Southern	190	3.8%	98	4.8%	26	4.5%	168	5.0%	216	3.9%	265	4.9%
Yau Tsim Mong	219	4.4%	137	6.8%	20	3.5%	140	4.1%	239	4.3%	276	5.1%
Sham Shui Po	242	4.8%	39	1.9%	34	5.9%	302	8.9%	276	4.9%	340	6.3%
Kowloon City	264	5.3%	93	4.6%	37	6.4%	218	6.4%	301	5.4%	310	5.7%
Wong Tai Sin	252	5.0%	105	5.2%	31	5.4%	172	5.1%	283	5.1%	278	5.1%
Kwun Tong	367	7.3%	313	15.5%	39	6.7%	139	4.1%	406	7.3%	451	8.4%
Tsuen Wan	237	4.7%	118	5.9%	40	6.9%	198	5.8%	277	5.0%	316	5.8%
Tuen Mun	278	5.6%	148	7.4%	32	5.5%	144	4.2%	310	5.6%	292	5.4%
Yuen Long	332	6.6%	99	4.9%	47	8.1%	318	9.4%	379	6.8%	417	7.7%
North	174	3.5%	7	0.3%	15	2.6%	196	5.8%	189	3.4%	203	3.8%
Tai Po	215	4.3%	226	11.2%	23	4.0%	121	3.6%	238	4.3%	347	6.4%
Sai Kung	415	8.3%	88	4.4%	40	6.9%	280	8.3%	455	8.2%	368	6.8%
Sha Tin	582	11.6%	168	8.3%	54	9.3%	240	7.1%	636	11.4%	408	7.5%
Kwai Tsing	281	5.6%	198	9.8%	36	6.2%	158	4.7%	317	5.7%	357	6.6%
Islands	103	2.1%	60	3.0%	10	1.7%	57	1.7%	113	2.0%	117	2.2%
Total	4,999	100.0%	2,017	100.0%	579	100.0%	3,386	100.0%	5,578	100.0%	5,402	100.0%
Missing case(s)	58		32		8		32		66		64	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	2,912	57.6%	1,350	65.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,912	51.6%	1,350	24.7%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42	7.2%	512	15.0%	42	0.7%	512	9.4%
Localist	2,145	42.4%	699	34.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,145	38.0%	699	12.8%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	197	33.6%	1,160	33.9%	197	3.5%	1,160	21.2%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	348	59.3%	1,746	51.1%	348	6.2%	1,746	31.9%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	5,057	100.0%	2,049	100.0%	587	100.0%	3,417	100.0%	5,644	100.0%	5,466	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	4,830	95.5%	1,073	52.4%	368	62.7%	430	12.6%	5,198	92.1%	1,503	27.5%
Non-democratic	10	0.2%	130	6.3%	105	17.9%	1,640	48.0%	115	2.0%	1,769	32.4%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	149	2.9%	453	22.1%	85	14.5%	995	29.1%	234	4.1%	1,448	26.5%
Not a registered voter	68	1.3%	392	19.2%	29	4.9%	353	10.3%	97	1.7%	745	13.6%
Total	5,057	100.0%	2,049	100.0%	587	100.0%	3,417	100.0%	5,644	100.0%	5,466	100.0%

*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	5,916
Survey period	2-5/8/2021
Success rate	6.4%
Questionnaires sent out	92,421
Questionnaires received	6,856
Ineligible samples	704
Invalid samples	12
Incomplete samples	224
Standard error	0.7%
Sampling error	3.8%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 2-5/8/2021

Q1 How familiar are you with the new system of Election Committee Subsector Elections?	Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
	Percentages (Base=2,048)		Percentages (Base=3,417)		Percentages (Base=5,466)	
Very familiar	1.8%		3.2%		2.6%	
Somewhat familiar	5.4%	}7.1%	10.3%	}13.4%	8.4%	}11.1%
Half-half		20.2%		33.8%		28.7%
Somewhat unfamiliar	19.6%		26.2%		23.7%	
Very unfamiliar	51.8%	}71.5%	22.2%	}48.3%	33.3%	}57.0%
Don't know / hard to say		1.3%		4.4%		3.2%
Total		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%
Mean values		1.8		2.4		2.2
Standard error of mean		<0.1		<0.1		<0.1
Median		1.0		2.0		2.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>		<1		<1		<1
Net value (Familiar - Unfamiliar)		-64.3%		-34.9%		-45.9%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 2-5/8/2021

Q2 Have you ever participated in the Election Committee Subsector Elections?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,049)	Percentages (Base=3,417)	Percentages (Base=5,466)
Never qualified to register as voter	52.8%	47.1%	49.2%
Qualified to register as voter (but never registered)	7.1%	3.3%	4.7%
Registered as voter (but never voted)	10.9%	5.8%	7.7%
Voted in the election	19.2%	33.8%	28.4%
Don't know / hard to say	9.9%	10.0%	10.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	<1	<1	<1

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 2-5/8/2021

Q3 Under the new system, will you be able to participate in the Election Committee Subsector Elections?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,008)	Percentages (Base=3,417)	Percentages (Base=5,425)
Qualified to register as individual voter	16.1%	20.7%	19.0%
Qualified to register as corporate voter	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%
Qualified to register as individual & corporate voter	0.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Not qualified to register as voter, but there are voter(s) that represent me	3.3%	9.6%	7.3%
Not at all	49.0%	40.1%	43.4%
Don't know / hard to say	28.8%	25.3%	26.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	41	<1	41

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q4 Survey results; Survey period: 2-5/8/2021

Q4 Following the previous question, to what extent do you think the voter(s) of the Subsector Election can represent you?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=67)	Percentages (Base=329)	Percentages (Base=396)
Very much can	0.1%	2.8%	2.3%
Somewhat can }Can	0.0% }<1%	14.8% }17.6%	12.3% }14.6%
Half-half	6.9%	38.6%	33.3%
Somewhat can't	0.6%	19.5%	16.3%
Very much can't }Can't	31.7% }32.3%	24.3% }43.8%	25.5% }41.8%
Don't know / hard to say	60.6%	0.0%	10.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.4	2.5	2.4
Standard error of mean	0.2	0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	3.0	3.0
Refuse to answer	0.0	0.0	<1
Net value (Can - Can't)	-32.2%	-26.2%	-27.2%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (2-5/8/2021)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

***Required**

Eligibility Confirmation

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? *

- Yes
- No

(New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at

<https://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en> *

- Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
- Have just registered as a member
- Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)

(New member) Email used / will be used in registration: *

We Hongkongers Series

Are you aged 18 or above?

- Yes
- No [Skip questions related to election](#)

How familiar are you with the new system of Election Committee Subsector Elections?

- Very familiar
- Somewhat familiar
- Half-half

- Somewhat unfamiliar
- Very unfamiliar
- Don't know / hard to say

Have you ever participated in the Election Committee Subsector Elections?

- Never qualified to register as voter
- Qualified to register as voter (but never registered)
- Registered as voter (but never voted)
- Voted in the election
- Don't know / hard to say

Under the new system, will you be able to participate in the Election Committee Subsector Elections?

(The first and second answers can be selected at the same time)

- Qualified to register as individual voter
- Qualified to register as corporate voter
- Not qualified to register as voter, but there are voter(s) that represent me
- Not at all
- Don't know / hard to say

(Only ask respondents who answer "not qualified to register as voter, but there are voter(s) that represent me" in the previous question)

Following the previous question, to what extent do you think the voter(s) of the Subsector Election can represent you?

- Very much can
- Somewhat can
- Half-half
- Somewhat can't
- Very much can't
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?

(Please select the closest answer)

- 0% chance (Certainly not)
- 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)
- 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)
- 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
- 1% chance (1 in 100)

- 5% chance (1 in 20)
- 10% chance (1 in 10)
- 15% chance
- 20% chance
- 25% chance
- 30% chance
- 35% chance
- 40% chance
- 45% chance
- 50% chance
- 60% chance
- 70% chance
- 80% chance
- 90% chance
- 100% chance (Certainly will)
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: _____

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

The government has announced that primary and secondary schools will first resume half-day classes in September, while full-day class resumption will wait until 70% of all teachers and students have been vaccinated. How much do you support or oppose this policy?

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / hard to say

Primary and secondary schools will first resume half-day classes in September. Will this bring inconvenience to you?

- Yes, very inconvenient
- Yes, somewhat inconvenient

- Yes, a little inconvenient
- No
- Don’t know / hard to say

How much do you support or oppose full-day class resumption for primary and secondary schools in September?

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don’t know / hard to say

Do you have any children in primary or secondary school in the 2021-22 academic year?

- Have children in primary school and in secondary school
- Only have children in primary school
- Only have children in secondary school
- No children in primary or secondary school

Other Opinions

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam?

- _____
- Don’t know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current in Hong Kong?

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don’t know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

Other Opinions

Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Do you have any message you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other locally known persons you name here? (Please provide their identities and your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study. We simply want to assist people who want to send a message to current or former District Councillor, new or senior community leaders, persons in charge of community organisations, and so on. If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Personal Information

(Registered member) This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update ([skip questions on personal information](#))
- Provide / update personal information now

Gender

- Male
- Female
- Other

Age

- _____ years old
- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- Other: _____
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say

Area of residence

- Central & Western District
- Wan Chai
- Eastern District
- Southern District
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun

- Yuen Long
- North District
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- Don't know / hard to say
- Blank / void vote
- Did not vote ([was a registered voter of the election](#))
- Did not vote ([was not a registered voter of the election](#))

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.