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## Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.
"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 84 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 59 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The research is co-organized with Care for Carers on the theme "Living Allowance for Carers".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

## Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey:
Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

| Survey method | Online survey |
| :--- | :--- |
| Target population | HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative <br> Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer <br> Panel (Non-probability-based Panel) |
| Weighting method | The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, <br> educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic <br> activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District <br> Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) |
| Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and |  |
| Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular |  |
| tracking surveys. |  |$|$| Date of survey | 11 June, 3pm - 16 June, 3pm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total sample size | 5,471 |
| Response rate | $5.9 \%$ |
| Sampling error ${ }^{[1]}$ | Sampling error of percentages at $+/-1 \%$ at 95\% confidence level |

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at $95 \%$ confidence level. " $95 \%$ confidence level" means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

## PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the "HKPOP Panel" recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses "HKPOP Panel" as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of selfselection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

## Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)


## Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a " 2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13 " weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district ( 18 cells), voting record ( 3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive ( 13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rimweighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western - Tsuen Wan
- Wan Chai
- Tuen Mun
- Eastern
- Yuen Long
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- North
- Sham Shui Po
- Tai Po
- Kowloon City
- Sai Kung
- Wong Tai Sin
- Sha Tin
- Kwun Tong
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks


## Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1a Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1a How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? [Young children aged 0-2] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Combined }{ }^{[2]} \\ (\text { Base }=5,119) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Very support } \\ \text { Somewhat support }\end{array}\right\}$ Support | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & 17 \% \end{aligned}$ | \} 50\% |
| Half-half |  |  |
| Somewhat oppose <br> Very oppose $\}$ Oppose | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\} 20 \%$ |
| Don't know / hard to say |  |  |
| Mean ${ }^{[3]}$ |  |  |

[2] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.
[3] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into $1,2,3,4,5$ marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

## Chart 1: Q1a Combined chart



[^0]Table 4: Q1b Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1b How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? [Children aged 3-6] | Combined ${ }^{[4]}$ <br> (Base=5,086) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Very support } \\ \text { Somewhat support }\end{array}\right\}$ Support | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \% \\ & 20 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\} 47 \%$ |
| Half-half |  |  |
| Somewhat oppose <br> Very oppose $\text { \} Oppose }$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ 8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\} 21 \%$ |
| Don't know / hard to say |  |  |
| Mean ${ }^{[5]}$ |  |  |

[4] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.
[5] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into $1,2,3,4,5$ marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

## Chart 2: Q1b Combined chart



[^1]Table 5: Q1c Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1c How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? [Seniors aged 60-64] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Combined }{ }^{[6]} \\ (\text { Base }=5,037) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Very support } \\ \text { Somewhat support }\end{array}\right\}$ Support | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & 25 \% \end{aligned}$ | \} 50\% |
| Half-half |  |  |
| Somewhat oppose <br> Very oppose $\}$ Oppose | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\} 17 \%$ |
| Don't know / hard to say |  |  |
| Mean ${ }^{[7]}$ |  |  |

[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.
[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into $1,2,3,4,5$ marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

## Chart 3: Q1c Combined chart



[^2]Table 6: Q1d Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1d How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? [Seniors aged 65 or above] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Combined }^{[8]} \\ (\text { Base }=5,222) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Very support } \\ \text { Somewhat support }\end{array}\right\}$ Support | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \% \\ & 33 \% \end{aligned}$ | \} 74\% |
| Half-half |  |  |
| Somewhat oppose <br> Very oppose $\text { \} Oppose }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \\ & 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\} 8 \%$ |
| Don't know / hard to say |  |  |
| Mean ${ }^{[9]}$ |  |  |

[8] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.
[9] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into $1,2,3,4,5$ marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

## Chart 4: Q1d Combined chart



[^3]Table 7: Q1e Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1e How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? [Children with special educational needs] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Combined }{ }^{[10]} \\ & \text { (Base=5,227) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Very support } \\ \text { Somewhat support }\end{array}\right\}$ Support | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5 1 \%} \% \\ 32 \% \end{gathered}$ | \} 84\% |
| Half-half |  |  |
| Somewhat oppose <br> Very oppose $\}$ Oppose | $\begin{gathered} <1 \% \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\} 3 \%$ |
| Don't know / hard to say |  |  |
| Mean ${ }^{[11]}$ |  |  |

[10] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.
[11] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into $1,2,3,4,5$ marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

## Chart 5: Q1e Combined chart



[^4]Table 8: Q1f Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1f How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? [People with chronic illnesses / disabilities] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Combined }{ }^{[12]} \\ & (\text { Base }=5,221) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Very support } \\ \text { Somewhat support }\end{array}\right\}$ Support | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 7 \%} \\ & 31 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Half-half |  |
| Somewhat oppose Very oppose $\}$ Oppose | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & 1 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Don't know / hard to say |  |
| Mean ${ }^{[13]}$ |  |

[12] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.
[13] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into $1,2,3,4,5$ marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

## Chart 6: O1f Combined chart



[^5]Table 9: O2 Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q2 Currently, the government restricts recipients of Comprehensive Social Security Assistance, Old Age Living Allowance or Disability Allowance from applying for "living allowance for carers" at the same time. How much do you support or oppose this restriction? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Combined }{ }^{[14]} \\ & (\text { Base }=5,231) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Very support (the restriction) } \\ \text { Somewhat support(the restriction) } \end{array}\right\} \text { Support (therestriction) }$ | $12 \%$ $15 \%$ | \} $28 \%$ |
| Half-half | 20\% |  |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Somewhat oppose (therestriction) } \\ \text { Very oppose(therestriction) } \end{array}\right\} \text { Oppose (the restriction) }$ | $17 \%$ $24 \%$ | \} $41 \%$ |
| Don't know / hard to say |  |  |
| Mean ${ }^{[15]}$ |  |  |

[14] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.
[15] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into $1,2,3,4,5$ marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

## Chart 7: Q2 Combined chart



[^6]
## Appendices

## Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

| Gender: |  | Pro-democracy camp supporters |  |  |  | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  |
|  |  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Male |  | 2,522 | 54.2\% | 892 | 41.7\% | 341 | 57.7\% | 1,579 | 53.1\% | 2,863 | 54.6\% | 2,470 | 48.3\% |
| Female |  | 2,123 | 45.7\% | 1,245 | 58.3\% | 249 | 42.1\% | 1,396 | 46.9\% | 2,372 | 45.3\% | 2,641 | 51.7\% |
| Other |  | 4 | 0.1\% | <1 | $<0.1 \%$ | 1 | 0.2\% | <1 | $<0.1 \%$ | 5 | 0.1\% | <1 | <0.1\% |
|  | Total | 4,649 | 100.0\% | 2,137 | 100.0\% | 591 | 100.0\% | 2,974 | 100.0\% | 5,240 | 100.0\% | 5,112 | 100.0\% |
|  | Missing case(s) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |


| Age: | Pro-democracy camp supporters |  |  |  | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| 12-29 | 660 | 14.2\% | 759 | 35.5\% | 37 | 6.3\% | 255 | 8.6\% | 697 | 13.3\% | 1,014 | 19.8\% |
| 30-39 | 1,089 | 23.4\% | 245 | 11.5\% | 102 | 17.3\% | 380 | 12.8\% | 1,191 | 22.7\% | 625 | 12.2\% |
| 40-49 | 1,145 | 24.6\% | 362 | 16.9\% | 136 | 23.0\% | 558 | 18.8\% | 1,281 | 24.4\% | 920 | 18.0\% |
| 50-59 | 1,107 | 23.8\% | 428 | 20.0\% | 182 | 30.8\% | 1,153 | 38.8\% | 1,289 | 24.6\% | 1,581 | 30.9\% |
| 60 or above | 648 | 13.9\% | 343 | 16.1\% | 134 | 22.7\% | 628 | 21.1\% | 782 | 14.9\% | 971 | 19.0\% |
| Total | 4,649 | 100.0\% | 2,137 | 100.0\% | 591 | 100.0\% | 2,974 | 100.0\% | 5,240 | 100.0\% | 5,112 | 100.0\% |
| Missing case(s) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |


| Education attainment: | Pro-democracy camp supporters |  |  |  | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Primary or below | 9 | 0.2\% | 12 | 0.6\% | 2 | 0.3\% | 13 | 0.4\% | 11 | 0.2\% | 24 | 0.5\% |
| Secondary | 713 | 15.3\% | 867 | 40.6\% | 136 | 23.1\% | 963 | 32.4\% | 849 | 16.2\% | 1,830 | 35.8\% |
| Tertiary or above | 3,924 | 84.5\% | 1,255 | 58.8\% | 452 | 76.6\% | 1,998 | 67.2\% | 4,376 | 83.6\% | 3,253 | 63.7\% |
| Total | 4,646 | 100.0\% | 2,134 | 100.0\% | 590 | 100.0\% | 2,974 | 100.0\% | 5,236 | 100.0\% | 5,108 | 100.0\% |
| Missing case(s) | 3 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | $<1$ |  | 4 |  | 4 |  |


| Occupation: | Pro-democracy camp supporters |  |  |  | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Administrators and professionals | 1,820 | 39.2\% | 262 | 12.3\% | 202 | 34.2\% | 753 | 25.3\% | 2,022 | 38.6\% | 1,015 | 19.9\% |
| Clerks and service workers | 1,202 | 25.9\% | 530 | 24.9\% | 144 | 24.4\% | 707 | 23.8\% | 1,346 | 25.7\% | 1,237 | 24.2\% |
| Workers | 107 | 2.3\% | 176 | 8.2\% | 23 | 3.9\% | 176 | 5.9\% | 130 | 2.5\% | 352 | 6.9\% |
| Students | 177 | 3.8\% | 265 | 12.5\% | 5 | 0.8\% | 91 | 3.1\% | 182 | 3.5\% | 357 | 7.0\% |
| Home-makers / housewives | 217 | 4.7\% | 369 | 17.3\% | 21 | 3.6\% | 154 | 5.2\% | 238 | 4.5\% | 523 | 10.2\% |
| Others | 1,118 | 24.1\% | 528 | 24.8\% | 196 | 33.2\% | 1,092 | 36.7\% | 1,314 | 25.1\% | 1,620 | 31.7\% |
| Total | 4,641 | 100.0\% | 2,130 | 100.0\% | 591 | 100.0\% | 2,974 | 100.0\% | 5,232 | 100.0\% | 5,105 | 100.0\% |
| Missing case(s) | 8 |  | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 8 |  | 7 |  |


| District: | Pro-democracy camp supporters |  |  |  | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Central \& Western | 195 | 4.2\% | 65 | 3.1\% | 26 | 4.5\% | 45 | 1.5\% | 221 | 4.3\% | 110 | 2.2\% |
| Wan Chai | 107 | 2.3\% | 3 | 0.2\% | 18 | 3.1\% | 110 | 3.7\% | 125 | 2.4\% | 113 | 2.2\% |
| Eastern | 461 | 10.0\% | 113 | 5.4\% | 67 | 11.5\% | 307 | 10.4\% | 528 | 10.2\% | 420 | 8.3\% |
| Southern | 178 | 3.9\% | 105 | 5.0\% | 18 | 3.1\% | 86 | 2.9\% | 196 | 3.8\% | 191 | 3.8\% |
| Yau Tsim Mong | 197 | 4.3\% | 154 | 7.3\% | 25 | 4.3\% | 100 | 3.4\% | 222 | 4.3\% | 254 | 5.0\% |
| Sham Shui Po | 236 | 5.1\% | 90 | 4.3\% | 27 | 4.6\% | 133 | 4.5\% | 263 | 5.1\% | 222 | 4.4\% |
| Kowloon City | 252 | 5.5\% | 135 | 6.4\% | 34 | 5.8\% | 182 | 6.2\% | 286 | 5.5\% | 317 | 6.2\% |
| Wong Tai Sin | 235 | 5.1\% | 84 | 4.0\% | 29 | 5.0\% | 171 | 5.8\% | 264 | 5.1\% | 255 | 5.0\% |
| Kwun Tong | 346 | 7.5\% | 344 | 16.3\% | 38 | 6.5\% | 157 | 5.3\% | 384 | 7.4\% | 501 | 9.9\% |
| Tsuen Wan | 239 | 5.2\% | 77 | 3.6\% | 36 | 6.2\% | 155 | 5.2\% | 275 | 5.3\% | 232 | 4.6\% |
| Tuen Mun | 284 | 6.2\% | 163 | 7.7\% | 34 | 5.8\% | 200 | 6.7\% | 318 | 6.1\% | 363 | 7.2\% |
| Yuen Long | 305 | 6.6\% | 168 | 7.9\% | 46 | 7.9\% | 299 | 10.1\% | 351 | 6.8\% | 466 | 9.2\% |
| North | 137 | 3.0\% | 18 | 0.9\% | 15 | 2.6\% | 125 | 4.2\% | 152 | 2.9\% | 143 | 2.8\% |
| Tai Po | 202 | 4.4\% | 157 | 7.4\% | 28 | 4.8\% | 102 | 3.4\% | 230 | 4.4\% | 259 | 5.1\% |
| Sai Kung | 368 | 8.0\% | 131 | 6.2\% | 39 | 6.7\% | 319 | 10.8\% | 407 | 7.8\% | 451 | 8.9\% |
| Sha Tin | 521 | 11.3\% | 160 | 7.6\% | 59 | 10.1\% | 215 | 7.3\% | 580 | 11.2\% | 376 | 7.4\% |
| Kwai Tsing | 251 | 5.4\% | 113 | 5.3\% | 33 | 5.7\% | 207 | 7.0\% | 284 | 5.5\% | 320 | 6.3\% |
| Islands | 95 | 2.1\% | 33 | 1.5\% | 12 | 2.1\% | 49 | 1.7\% | 107 | 2.1\% | 82 | 1.6\% |
| Total | 4,609 | 100.0\% | 2,111 | 100.0\% | 584 | 100.0\% | 2,962 | 100.0\% | 5,193 | 100.0\% | 5,073 | 100.0\% |
| Missing case(s) | 40 |  | 26 |  | 7 |  | 12 |  | 47 |  | 38 |  |


| Political inclination: | Pro-democracy camp supporters |  |  |  | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Pro-democracy camp | 2,706 | 58.2\% | 1,244 | 58.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 2,706 | 51.6\% | 1,244 | 24.3\% |
| Pro-establishment camp | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 42 | 7.1\% | 460 | 15.5\% | 42 | 0.8\% | 460 | 9.0\% |
| Localist | 1,943 | 41.8\% | 893 | 41.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1,943 | 37.1\% | 893 | 17.5\% |
| Centrist | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 193 | 32.7\% | 845 | 28.4\% | 193 | 3.7\% | 845 | 16.5\% |
| Others | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 356 | 60.2\% | 1,669 | 56.1\% | 356 | 6.8\% | 1,669 | 32.6\% |
| Don't know / hard to say | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Total | 4,649 | 100.0\% | 2,137 | 100.0\% | 591 | 100.0\% | 2,974 | 100.0\% | 5,240 | 100.0\% | 5,112 | 100.0\% |
| Missing case(s) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |


| Voted political camp: | Pro-democracy camp supporters |  |  |  | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  | Raw sample |  | Weighted sample |  |
|  | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% | Freq | \% |
| Democratic | 4,416 | 95.4\% | 1,179 | 55.8\% | 344 | 58.2\% | 311 | 10.4\% | 4,760 | 91.2\% | 1,490 | 29.3\% |
| Non-democratic | 11 | 0.2\% | 48 | 2.3\% | 110 | 18.6\% | 1,446 | 48.6\% | 121 | 2.3\% | 1,494 | 29.4\% |
| Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer | 139 | 3.0\% | 437 | 20.7\% | 100 | 16.9\% | 859 | 28.9\% | 239 | 4.6\% | 1,295 | 25.5\% |
| Not a registered voter | 62 | 1.3\% | 451 | 21.3\% | 37 | 6.3\% | 359 | 12.1\% | 99 | 1.9\% | 810 | 15.9\% |
| Total | 4,628 | 100.0\% | 2,115 | 100.0\% | 591 | 100.0\% | 2,974 | 100.0\% | 5,219 | 100.0\% | 5,089 | 100.0\% |

*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)
\# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Appendix 2: Contact Information

| Total valid samples | 5,471 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Survey period | $11-16 / 6 / 2021$ |
| Success rate | $5.9 \%$ |
| Questionnaires sent out | 93,344 |
| Questionnaires received | 6,637 |
| Ineligible samples | 49 |
| Invalid samples | 25 |
| Incomplete samples | 1092 |
| Standard error | $0.7 \%$ |
| Sampling error | $3.9 \%$ |

## Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

## Q1a Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1a How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? [Young children aged 0-2] | Pro-democracy camp supporters | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentages $(\text { Base }=2,084)$ | Percentages (Base=2,931) | Percentages (Base=5,015) |
| Strongly support  <br> Somewhat support  <br> Half-half  <br> Somewhat opposert  <br> Strongly oppose Oppose <br> Don't know / hard to say  | $37.0 \%$  $355.1 \%$ <br> $18.1 \%$   <br>  $24.2 \%$  <br> $8.7 \%$  $315.2 \%$ <br> $6.5 \%$   <br>  $5.4 \%$  | $28.9 \%$  $345.6 \%$ <br> $16.7 \%$   <br>  $22.6 \%$  <br> $13.1 \%$  $323.1 \%$ <br> $10.0 \%$   <br>  $8.7 \%$  | $32.3 \%$  $349.6 \%$ <br> $17.3 \%$   <br>  $23.3 \%$  <br> $11.3 \%$  $319.8 \%$ <br> $8.6 \%$   <br>  $7.3 \%$  |
| Total <br> Mean values <br> Standard error of mean <br> Median <br> Refuse to answer <br> Net value (Support - Oppose) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 3.7 \\ <0.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 53 \\ +\mathbf{3 9 . 9 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 3.5 \\ <0.1 \\ 3.0 \\ 44 \\ +\mathbf{2 2 . 5 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 3.6 \\ <0.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 96 \\ +\mathbf{2 9 . 8 \%} \end{gathered}$ |

\# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Q1b Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1b How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? [Children aged 3-6] | Pro-democracy camp supporters | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentages (Base=2,078) | Percentages (Base=2,893) | Percentages (Base=4,971) |
| Strongly support  <br> Somewhat support  <br> Half-half  <br> Somewhat opport  <br> Strongly oppose  <br> Don't know $/$ hard to say  | $32.6 \%$  $352.2 \%$ <br> $19.6 \%$   <br>  $25.1 \%$  <br> $9.1 \%$  $315.6 \%$ <br> $6.5 \%$   <br>  $7.1 \%$  | $23.6 \%$  $343.5 \%$ <br> $19.9 \%$   <br>  $25.3 \%$  <br> $16.1 \%$  $325.2 \%$ <br> $9.1 \%$   <br>  $6.1 \%$  | $27.3 \%$  $347.1 \%$ <br> $19.8 \%$   <br>  $25.2 \%$  <br> $13.2 \%$  $321.2 \%$ <br> $8.0 \%$   <br>  $6.5 \%$  |
| Total <br> Mean values <br> Standard error of mean <br> Median <br> Refuse to answer <br> Net value (Support - Oppose) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 3.7 \\ <0.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 59 \\ \mathbf{+ 3 6 . 6 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 3.3 \\ <0.1 \\ 3.0 \\ 82 \\ \mathbf{+ 1 8 . 3 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 3.5 \\ <0.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 140 \\ +\mathbf{2 6 . 0 \%} \end{gathered}$ |

\# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Q1c Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1c How much do you support or | Pro-democracy camp supporters | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? <br> [Seniors aged 60-64] | Percentages (Base=2,052) | Percentages $(\text { Base }=2,830)$ | Percentages <br> (Base=4,881) |
| Strongly support  <br> Somewhat support  <br> Half-half  <br> Somewhat opposert  <br> Strongly oppose \} Oppose <br> Don't know / hard to say  | $28.3 \%$  $50.0 \%$ <br> $21.7 \%$   <br>  $28.0 \%$  <br> $9.9 \%$  $\} 16.0 \%$ <br> $6.1 \%$   <br>  $6.0 \%$  | $23.6 \%$  $350.6 \%$ <br> $27.0 \%$   <br>  $26.2 \%$  <br> $11.4 \%$  $317.7 \%$ <br> $6.3 \%$   <br>  $5.5 \%$  | $25.6 \%$  $\} 50.4 \%$ <br> $24.8 \%$   <br>  $26.9 \%$  <br> $10.7 \%$  $\} 17.0 \%$ <br> $6.2 \%$   <br>  $5.7 \%$  |
| Total <br> Mean values <br> Standard error of mean <br> Median <br> Refuse to answer <br> Net value (Support - Oppose) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 3.6 \\ <0.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 86 \\ \mathbf{+ 3 4 . 0 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 3.5 \\ <0.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 145 \\ +\mathbf{3 2 . 9 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 3.5 \\ <0.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 230 \\ \mathbf{+ 3 3 . 4 \%} \end{gathered}$ |

\# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Q1d Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1d How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? <br> [Seniors aged 65 or above] | Pro-democracy camp supporters | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentages $(\text { Base }=2,137)$ | Percentages (Base=2,938) | Percentages (Base=5,074) |
| Strongly support  <br> Somewhat support  <br> Half-half  <br> Somewhat opposert  <br> Strongly oppose \} Oppose <br> Don't know / hard to say  | $41.4 \%$  $371.2 \%$ <br> $29.8 \%$   <br>  $15.0 \%$  <br> $5.4 \%$  $39.1 \%$ <br> $3.7 \%$   <br>  $4.7 \%$  | $41.2 \%$  $376.4 \%$ <br> $35.1 \%$   <br>  $14.3 \%$  <br> $3.5 \%$  $36.5 \%$ <br> $2.9 \%$   <br>  $2.9 \%$  | $41.3 \%$  $374.2 \%$ <br> $32.9 \%$   <br>  $14.6 \%$  <br> $4.3 \%$  $37.6 \%$ <br> $3.3 \%$   <br>  $3.6 \%$  |
| Total <br> Mean values <br> Standard error of mean <br> Median <br> Refuse to answer <br> Net value (Support - Oppose) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 4.0 \\ <0.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 1 \\ +\mathbf{6 2 . 1 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 4.1 \\ <0.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 37 \\ +\mathbf{6 9 . 9 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 4.1 \\ <0.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 37 \\ +\mathbf{6 6 . 6 \%} \end{gathered}$ |

\# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Q1e Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1e How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? [Children with special educational needs] | Pro-democracy camp supporters | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentages $(\text { Base }=2,137)$ | Percentages $(\text { Base }=2,921)$ | Percentages (Base=5,058) |
| Strongly support  <br> Somewhat support  <br> Half-half  <br> Somewhat opposert  <br> Strongly oppose \} Oppose <br> Don't know / hard to say  | $53.9 \%$  $382.4 \%$ <br> $28.5 \%$   <br>  $12.8 \%$  <br> $1.0 \%$  $32.1 \%$ <br> $1.2 \%$   <br>  $2.7 \%$  | $49.7 \%$  $384.9 \%$ <br> $35.2 \%$   <br>  $8.9 \%$  <br> $0.1 \%$  $33.5 \%$ <br> $3.5 \%$   <br>  $2.6 \%$  | $51.5 \%$  $383.9 \%$ <br> $32.4 \%$   <br>  $10.5 \%$  <br> $0.4 \%$  $32.9 \%$ <br> $2.5 \%$   <br>  $2.7 \%$  |
| Total <br> Mean values <br> Standard error of mean <br> Median <br> Refuse to answer <br> Net value (Support - Oppose) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 4.4 \\ <0.1 \\ 5.0 \\ <1 \\ +\mathbf{8 0 . 3 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 4.3 \\ <0.1 \\ 5.0 \\ 54 \\ \mathbf{+ 8 1 . 4 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 4.3 \\ <0.1 \\ 5.0 \\ 54 \\ \mathbf{+ 8 0 . 9 \%} \end{gathered}$ |

\# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Q1f Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q1f Q1e How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need? [People with chronic illnesses / disabilities] | Pro-democracy camp supporters | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentages (Base=2,136) | Percentages (Base=2,944) | Percentages (Base=5,079) |
| Strongly support  <br> Somewhat support  <br> Half-half  <br> Somewhat oppore  <br> Strongly oppose  <br> Don't know / hard to say  | $58.1 \%$  $386.6 \%$ <br> $28.6 \%$   <br>  $8.6 \%$  <br> $0.9 \%$  $32.0 \%$ <br> $1.1 \%$   <br>  $2.7 \%$  | $56.8 \%$  $389.7 \%$ <br> $32.8 \%$   <br>  $5.5 \%$  <br> $0.8 \%$  $32.2 \%$ <br> $1.4 \%$   <br>  $2.6 \%$  | $57.3 \%$  $388.4 \%$ <br> $31.0 \%$   <br>  $6.8 \%$  <br> $0.8 \%$  $32.1 \%$ <br> $1.3 \%$   <br>  $2.6 \%$  |
| Total <br> Mean values <br> Standard error of mean <br> Median <br> Refuse to answer <br> Net value (Support - Oppose) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 4.5 \\ <0.1 \\ 5.0 \\ 2 \\ \mathbf{+ 8 4 . 6 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 4.5 \\ <0.1 \\ 5.0 \\ 30 \\ \mathbf{+ 8 7 . 5 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 4.4 \\ <0.1 \\ 5.0 \\ 32 \\ \mathbf{+ 8 6 . 3 \%} \end{gathered}$ |

\# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/6/2021

| Q2 Currently, the government restricts recipients of Comprehensive Social Security Assistance, Old Age Living Allowance or Disability Allowance from applying for "living allowance for carers" at the same time. How much do you support or oppose this restriction? | Pro-democracy camp supporters | Non-pro-democracy camp supporters \# | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentages (Base=2,135) | Percentages (Base=2,974) | Percentages (Base=5,110) |
| Strongly support  <br> Somewhat support  <br> Half-half  <br> Somewhat opposer  <br> Strongly oppose  <br> Don't know / hard to say  | $7.6 \%$  $\} 19.0 \%$ <br> $11.4 \%$   <br>  $16.3 \%$  <br> $18.5 \%$  $350.4 \%$ <br> $31.9 \%$   <br>  $14.3 \%$  | $15.6 \%$  $333.7 \%$ <br> $18.1 \%$   <br>  $23.0 \%$  <br> $16.0 \%$  $334.9 \%$ <br> $18.9 \%$   <br>  $8.4 \%$  | $12.3 \%$  $327.6 \%$ <br> $15.3 \%$   <br>  $20.2 \%$  <br> $17.1 \%$  $341.4 \%$ <br> $24.3 \%$   <br>  $10.9 \%$  |
| Total <br> Mean values <br> Standard error of mean <br> Median <br> Refuse to answer <br> Net value ( Support - Oppose) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 2.4 \\ <0.1 \\ 2.0 \\ 2 \\ \mathbf{- 3 1 . 4 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 3.0 \\ <0.1 \\ 3.0 \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{- 1 . 2 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 2.7 \\ <0.1 \\ 3.0 \\ 2 \\ \mathbf{- 1 3 . 8 \%} \end{gathered}$ |

\# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (11-16/6/2021)

## We Hongkongers \& Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: $x x x x-x x-x x$
*Required

## Eligibility Confirmation

## Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? *

- Yes
- No


## (New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at

 https://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en *- Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
- Have just registered as a member
- Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)
(New member) Email used / will be used in registration: *


## We Hongkongers Series

How much do you support or oppose the government setting up "living allowance for carers" to provide cash assistance to family members who are caring for the following categories of people and are in financial need?

|  | Strongly <br> support | Somewhat <br> support | Half- <br> half | Somewhat <br> oppose | Strongly <br> oppose | Don’t know / <br> hard to say |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Young children aged 0-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children aged 3-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seniors aged 60-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Seniors aged 65 or above |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Children with special |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| educational needs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| People with chronic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| illnesses / disabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Currently, the government restricts recipients of Comprehensive Social Security Assistance, Old Age Living Allowance or Disability Allowance from applying for "living allowance for carers" at the same time. How much do you support or oppose this restriction?

- Strongly support (the restriction)
- Somewhat support (the restriction)
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose (the restriction)
- Strongly oppose (the restriction)
- Don't know / hard to say


## Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?
(Please select the closest answer)

- $0 \%$ chance (Certainly not)
- $0.001 \%$ chance ( 1 in 100,000 )
- $0.01 \%$ chance ( 1 in 10,000 )
- $0.1 \%$ chance ( 1 in 1,000 )
- $1 \%$ chance ( 1 in 100 )
- $5 \%$ chance ( 1 in 20 )
- $10 \%$ chance ( 1 in 10 )
- $15 \%$ chance
- $20 \%$ chance
- $25 \%$ chance
- $30 \%$ chance
- $35 \%$ chance
- $40 \%$ chance
- $45 \%$ chance
- $50 \%$ chance
- $60 \%$ chance
- $70 \%$ chance
- $80 \%$ chance
- $90 \%$ chance
- $100 \%$ chance (Certainly will)
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: $\qquad$

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say


## Other Opinions

Please rate on a scale of $\mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with $\mathbf{0}$ indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating halfhalf. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam?
$\qquad$

- Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current $\qquad$ in Hong Kong?
(Randomise the three conditions)

|  | Very much <br> satisfied | Somewhat <br> satisfied | Half- <br> half | Somewhat <br> dissatisfied | Very much <br> dissatisfied | Don't know/ <br> hard to say |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Political <br> condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic <br> condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Livelihood <br> condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Other Opinions

Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?
(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Do you have any message you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other locally known persons you name here? (Please provide their identities and your contacts)
(The request you make here is not part of our study. We simply want to assist people who want to send a message to current or former District Councillor, new or senior community leaders, persons in charge of community organisations, and so on. If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

## Personal Information

(Registered member) This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)
- Provide / update personal information now


## Gender

- Male
- Female
- Other

Age

- $\qquad$ years old
- 12-14
- 15-17
- 18-19
- 20-24
- 25-29
- 30-34
- 35-39
- 40-44
- 45-49
- 50-54
- 55-59
- 60-64
- 65-69
- 70 or above


## Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3 )
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above


## Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: $\qquad$


## Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- Other: $\qquad$
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say


## Area of residence

- Central \& Western District
- Wan Chai
- Eastern District
- Southern District
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North District
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- Don't know / hard to say
- Blank / void vote
- Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)


## End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.


[^0]:    ^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\wedge}$ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\wedge}$ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\wedge}$ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[^4]:    ^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[^5]:    ^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\wedge}$ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

