



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 82 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 57

Survey Date: 28 May to 1 June 2021

Release Date: 4 June 2021

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “POP” in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 82 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 57 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The theme of this report is "June Fourth Incident".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	28 May, 3pm – 1 June, 3pm
Total sample size	7,209
Response rate	7.7%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)

Success rate	
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the Hong Kong People Representative Panel}} \times 100.0\%$
=	$\frac{7,209}{93,669} \times 100.0\%$
=	7.7%

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 28/5-1/6/2021


Q1 There is a view that organising commemorative activities for the June Fourth Incident would endanger national security . How much do you support or oppose this view?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=6,132)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters^[2] (Base=718)	Combined^[3] (Base=6,850)
Strongly support	2%	17%	11%
Somewhat support	3%	19%	12%
Half-half	2%	15%	10%
Somewhat oppose	4%	15%	10%
Strongly oppose	87%	28%	52%
Don't know / hard to say	2%	6%	4%
Mean^[4]	1.2	2.8	2.2

[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 4: Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 28/5-1/6/2021


Q2 How much do you support or oppose teaching the June Fourth Incident in schools?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=6,134)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters^[5] (Base=718)	Combined^[6] (Base=6,852)
Strongly support	72%	39%	52%
Somewhat support } Support	19% } 91%	21% } 60%	20% } 72%
Half-half	6%	23%	16%
Somewhat oppose	<1%	8%	5%
Strongly oppose } Oppose	2% } 2%	4% } 13%	4% } 9%
Don't know / hard to say	1%	5%	3%
Mean^[7]	4.6	3.9	4.2

[5] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	3,237	52.8%	1,009	39.0%	411	57.2%	2,195	57.1%	3,648	53.2%	3,204	49.8%
Female	2,885	47.0%	1,577	60.9%	306	42.6%	1,648	42.9%	3,191	46.6%	3,225	50.2%
Other	11	0.2%	1	<0.1%	1	0.1%	<1	<0.1%	12	0.2%	1	<0.1%
Total	6,133	100.0%	2,587	100.0%	718	100.0%	3,843	100.0%	6,851	100.0%	6,430	100.0%
Missing case(s)	1		<1		0		0		1		<1	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	881	14.4%	606	23.4%	64	8.9%	541	14.1%	945	13.8%	1,147	17.8%
30 - 39	1,457	23.8%	420	16.2%	125	17.4%	566	14.7%	1,582	23.1%	986	15.3%
40 - 49	1,543	25.2%	418	16.2%	153	21.3%	578	15.0%	1,696	24.8%	996	15.5%
50 - 59	1,423	23.2%	701	27.1%	204	28.4%	1,306	34.0%	1,627	23.8%	2,007	31.2%
60 or above	825	13.5%	440	17.0%	172	24.0%	852	22.2%	997	14.6%	1,293	20.1%
Total	6,129	100.0%	2,587	100.0%	718	100.0%	3,843	100.0%	6,847	100.0%	6,430	100.0%
Missing case(s)	5	0%	1	0%	0		0		5		1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	12	0.2%	13	0.5%	10	1.4%	175	4.6%	22	0.3%	188	2.9%
Secondary	944	15.4%	946	36.6%	175	24.5%	1,346	35.3%	1,119	16.4%	2,292	35.8%
Tertiary or above	5,173	84.4%	1,626	62.9%	530	74.1%	2,288	60.1%	5,703	83.3%	3,914	61.2%
Total	6,129	100.0%	2,584	100.0%	715	100.0%	3,810	100.0%	6,844	100.0%	6,394	100.0%
Missing case(s)	5	0%	3	0%	3		33		8		37	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	2,453	40.1%	392	15.2%	244	34.1%	873	22.7%	2,697	39.4%	1,266	19.7%
Clerks and service workers	1,552	25.4%	652	25.3%	172	24.0%	996	25.9%	1,724	25.2%	1,649	25.7%
Workers	128	2.1%	151	5.8%	21	2.9%	166	4.3%	149	2.2%	317	4.9%
Students	258	4.2%	70	2.7%	29	4.1%	369	9.6%	287	4.2%	439	6.8%
Home-makers / housewives	285	4.7%	436	16.9%	21	2.9%	183	4.8%	306	4.5%	619	9.6%
Others	1,445	23.6%	880	34.1%	229	32.0%	1,255	32.7%	1,674	24.5%	2,135	33.2%
Total	6,121	100.0%	2,582	100.0%	716	100.0%	3,843	100.0%	6,837	100.0%	6,425	100.0%
Missing case(s)	13		5		2		<1		15		5	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	251	4.1%	70	2.7%	23	3.3%	94	2.5%	274	4.0%	164	2.6%
Wan Chai	140	2.3%	34	1.3%	22	3.1%	159	4.2%	162	2.4%	194	3.0%
Eastern	610	10.0%	114	4.4%	75	10.6%	333	8.7%	685	10.1%	447	7.0%
Southern	228	3.8%	104	4.1%	32	4.5%	215	5.6%	260	3.8%	319	5.0%
Yau Tsim Mong	254	4.2%	183	7.1%	25	3.5%	156	4.1%	279	4.1%	339	5.3%
Sham Shui Po	332	5.5%	148	5.8%	35	5.0%	242	6.3%	367	5.4%	390	6.1%
Kowloon City	307	5.1%	157	6.1%	48	6.8%	145	3.8%	355	5.2%	302	4.7%
Wong Tai Sin	312	5.1%	159	6.2%	35	5.0%	165	4.3%	347	5.1%	323	5.1%
Kwun Tong	433	7.1%	302	11.8%	57	8.1%	283	7.4%	490	7.2%	585	9.2%
Tsuen Wan	318	5.2%	56	2.2%	36	5.1%	86	2.3%	354	5.2%	142	2.2%
Tuen Mun	353	5.8%	193	7.5%	36	5.1%	158	4.1%	389	5.7%	351	5.5%
Yuen Long	404	6.6%	113	4.4%	55	7.8%	408	10.7%	459	6.8%	521	8.2%
North	193	3.2%	71	2.8%	20	2.8%	75	2.0%	213	3.1%	146	2.3%
Tai Po	277	4.6%	195	7.6%	31	4.4%	200	5.2%	308	4.5%	395	6.2%
Sai Kung	489	8.0%	160	6.2%	40	5.7%	289	7.6%	529	7.8%	449	7.0%
Sha Tin	717	11.8%	266	10.4%	62	8.8%	201	5.3%	779	11.5%	467	7.3%
Kwai Tsing	330	5.4%	214	8.3%	42	6.0%	255	6.7%	372	5.5%	469	7.3%
Islands	130	2.1%	28	1.1%	31	4.4%	356	9.3%	161	2.4%	384	6.0%
Total	6,078	100.0%	2,568	100.0%	705	100.0%	3,821	100.0%	6,783	100.0%	6,388	100.0%
Missing case(s)	56		20		13		22		69		42	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	3,574	58.3%	1,656	64.0%	0	0%	0	0%	3,574	52.2%	1,656	25.8%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0%	0	0%	73	10.2%	966	25.1%	73	1.1%	966	15.0%
Localist	2,560	41.7%	931	36.0%	0	0%	0	0%	2,560	37.4%	931	14.5%
Centrist	0	0%	0	0%	234	32.6%	1,153	30.0%	234	3.4%	1,153	17.9%
Others	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0%	0	0%	411	57.2%	1,724	44.9%	411	6.0%	1,724	26.8%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	6,134	100.0%	2,587	100.0%	718	100.0%	3,843	100.0%	6,852	100.0%	6,430	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	5,811	95.2%	1,487	58.0%	421	58.6%	374	9.7%	6,232	91.3%	1,861	29.1%
Non-democratic	14	0.2%	86	3.4%	139	19.4%	2,021	52.6%	153	2.2%	2,107	32.9%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	199	3.3%	673	26.2%	118	16.4%	1,068	27.8%	317	4.6%	1,741	27.2%
Not a registered voter	83	1.4%	317	12.4%	40	5.6%	380	9.9%	123	1.8%	697	10.9%
Total	6,107	100.0%	2,563	100.0%	718	100.0%	3,843	100.0%	6,825	100.0%	6,406	100.0%

*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	7,209
Survey period	28/5-1/6/2021
Success rate	7.7%
Questionnaires sent out	93,669
Questionnaires received	7,691
Ineligible samples	51
Invalid samples	48
Incomplete samples	383
Standard error	0.6%
Sampling error	3.4%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 28/5-1/6/2021

Q1 There is a view that organising commemorative activities for the June Fourth Incident would endanger national security. How much do you support or oppose this view?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,587)	Percentages (Base=3,843)	Percentages (Base=6,430)
Strongly support	2.3%	17.0%	11.1%
Somewhat support	4.8%	35.8%	23.3%
Half-half	1.7%	15.4%	9.8%
Somewhat oppose	4.1%	14.7%	10.5%
Strongly oppose	91.2%	43.1%	62.5%
Don't know / hard to say	2.3%	5.8%	4.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.2	2.8	2.1
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	3.0	1.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	<1	0	<1
Net value (Support- Oppose)	-86.4%	-7.3%	-39.1%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 28/5-1/6/2021

Q2 How much do you support or oppose teaching the June Fourth Incident in schools?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,587)	Percentages (Base=3,843)	Percentages (Base=6,430)
Strongly support } Support	71.8%	38.9%	52.1%
Somewhat support }	19.3%	21.0%	20.3%
Half-half	5.7%	22.8%	15.9%
Somewhat oppose }	0.1%	8.5%	5.1%
Strongly oppose } Oppose	2.3%	4.4%	3.5%
Don't know / hard to say	0.9%	4.5%	3.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	4.6	3.9	4.2
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	5.0	4.0	5.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	0	0	<1
Net value (Support- Oppose)	+88.8%	+47.1%	+63.8%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (28/5-1/6/2021)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

***Required**

Eligibility Confirmation

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? *

- Yes
- No

(New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at

<https://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en> *

- Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
- Have just registered as a member
- Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)

(New member) Email used / will be used in registration: *

We Hongkongers Series

There is a view that organising commemorative activities for the June Fourth Incident would endanger national security. How much do you support or oppose this view?

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support

- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / hard to say

How much do you support or oppose teaching the June Fourth Incident in schools?

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?

(Please select the closest answer)

- 0% chance (Certainly not)
- 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)
- 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)
- 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
- 1% chance (1 in 100)
- 5% chance (1 in 20)
- 10% chance (1 in 10)
- 15% chance
- 20% chance
- 25% chance
- 30% chance
- 35% chance
- 40% chance
- 45% chance
- 50% chance
- 60% chance
- 70% chance
- 80% chance
- 90% chance
- 100% chance (Certainly will)
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: _____

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government’s performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don’t know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam?

- _____
- Don’t know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current in Hong Kong?

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don’t know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

Other Opinions

Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Do you have any message you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other locally known persons you name here? (Please provide their identities and your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study. We simply want to assist people who want to send a message to current or former District Councillor, new or senior community leaders, persons in charge of community organisations, and so on. If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Personal Information

(Registered member) This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)
- Provide / update personal information now

Gender

- Male
- Female
- Other

Age

- _____ years old
- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- Other: _____
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say

Area of residence

- Central & Western District
- Wan Chai
- Eastern District
- Southern District
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North District
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing

- Islands

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- Don't know / hard to say
- Blank / void vote
- Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.