


# POP



---

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE


香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

---

**Latest Tracking Poll Results**

**June 1, 2021**

# POP



---

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

---

**Community Democracy Project -**

**Community Health Module**

**Latest Results**

**June 1, 2021**

# Contact Information - Community Health Module

3

	HKPOP Panel	
Date of survey	May 24, 3pm – May 31, 3pm	
Survey method	Online survey	
Target population	Hong Kong residents aged 12+	
	Representative Panel	Volunteer Panel
Total sample size	1,010	8,149
Response rate	11.2%	9.6%
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-3% at 95% confidence level	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.	

# Survey Result - Community Health Module

4


- **Latest survey period: 24-31/5/2021** (*Representative Panel N=1,007 Volunteer Panel N=8,130*)
- **Last survey period: 10-24/5/2021** (*Representative Panel N=1,063 Volunteer Panel N=8,494*)
- **Second last survey period: 19/4-10/5/2021** (*Representative Panel N=925 Volunteer Panel N=7,507*)

Opinion Question <sup>^</sup>		Representative Panel (N=1,007)		Volunteer Panel (N=8,130)	
		Don't know / hard to say	Average	Don't know / hard to say	Average
Q1 How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month? [Logarithmic Scale]	Latest	27%	12%	18%	8% ▼*
	Last	24%	10%	22%	10%
	Second Last	23%	10%	15%	9%

<sup>^</sup> Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020. \* Significant change

# Survey Result - Community Health Module

## Assessment of the public's expected chance of COVID-19 infection



^ Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others and don't know / hard to say. Answer options changed from linear scale to logarithmic scale since Oct 2020.

\* Significant change

# Survey Result - Community Health Module

6

- **Latest survey period: 24-31/5/2021** (Representative Panel N=1,009 Volunteer Panel N=8,138)
- **Last survey period: 10-24/5/2021** (Representative Panel N=1,067 Volunteer Panel N=8,506)
- **Second last survey period: 19/4-10/5/2021** (Representative Panel N=926 Volunteer Panel N=7,512)

Opinion Question <sup>^</sup>		Representative Panel (N=1,009)				Volunteer Panel (N=8,138)			
		Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean <sup>†</sup>	Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean <sup>†</sup>
Q2 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?	Latest	27% ▲*	18%	54%	2.4 ▲*	27% ▲*	14%	58% ▼*	2.3 ▲*
	Last	23%	19%	58%	2.2	24%	13%	63%	2.2
	Second Last	21%	20%	58%	2.3	21%	17%	62%	2.2

<sup>^</sup> Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say


<sup>†</sup> The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

\* Significant change

# Survey Result - Community Health Module

7


Appraisal of HK Government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia



^ Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say

\* Significant change

# POP



---

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

---

**限聚指數**

**Group Gathering Prohibition Index**

**1/6/2021**



# 樣本資料 - 限聚指數基準調查

## Contact Information - Group Gathering Prohibition Index Benchmark Survey

9

	香港民研意見群組成員 HKPOP Panel
調查日期 Survey date	17/5 15:00 – 24/5 15:00
調查方法 Survey method	以電郵接觸群組成員，並於網上完成調查 Online survey
訪問對象 Target population	十二歲或以上的香港市民 Hong Kong residents aged 12+
總成功樣本 Total sample size	6,583
回應比率 Response rate	7.0%
抽樣誤差 Sampling error	95% 置信水平，百分比誤差 +/-1% Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
加權方法 Weighting method	按照1) 政府統計處提供的全港人口年齡及性別分佈統計數字、各區議會人口數字； 2) 選舉事務處提供的區議會選舉結果；3) 常規調查中的特首評分分佈數字，以 「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。 The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.

# 限聚指數

## Group Gathering Prohibition Index

10


- **最新調查日期 Latest survey date: 17-24/5/2021 (N=6,583)**
- **上次調查日期 Last survey date: 16-21/4/2021 (N=6,330)**
- **上上次調查日期 Second last survey date: 22-29/3/2021 (N=6,806)**

意見題目	Opinion Questions
<p>你認為香港應否無條件全面撤銷「限聚令」？</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ 應該無條件撤銷「限聚令」</li><li>▪ 不應該，應視乎疫情而定</li><li>▪ 不知道／很難說</li></ul> <p>[追問沒有選擇應該“無條件撤銷「限聚令」”者] 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於2人？ 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於4人？ 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於8人？ 你認為每天新增確診個案數應是多少，才適合將「限聚令」訂於16人？ 你認為感染個案清零多少天後，限聚令應該全面撤銷？</p> <p>請於以下欄位列舉你認為合適的 [個案數 及 限聚人數] 組合.....</p>	<p>Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally</li><li>▪ No, it should depend on the epidemic situation</li><li>▪ Don't know / hard to say</li></ul> <p>[For respondents NOT answering “Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally”] How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people? How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people? After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether?</p> <p>Please list combinations of [number of cases &amp; number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:</p>

# 調查結果 - 限聚接受程度

## Survey Result – Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level

限聚接受程度 Group Gathering Prohibition Acceptance Level



# 限聚指數 - 分析評論


## Group Gathering Prohibition Index – Commentary

12

香港教育中心商會副主席任偉豪指出：「昨天（5月31日）林鄭特首宣佈第四波疫情已完結，與袁國勇教授在周六的說法一致。政府推出多項谷針新措施，但沒有宣佈放寬限聚令。我認為只宣佈第四波疫情的完結而不放寬限聚措施，對社會和經濟而言，是沒有任何意義的。如果宣佈第四波疫情完結後但又收緊限聚措施的話，則不宣佈完結會更好。根據袁國勇教授所說，第五波疫情在未來是必然發生的。若是的話，則繼續維持這般嚴緊限聚令的實際作用不會很大。香港人已經失去了很多，包括陪伴香港人成長的戲院、卡啦OK，還有其他具香港特色的不同地方及店鋪。數據已顯示有90%香港人認為限聚措施過緊，還不放寬限聚令的話，香港人還要失去多少呢？」

**Vice Chairman of The Hong Kong Chamber of Education Centres Yam Wai Ho observed, “Yesterday (31 May), the Chief Executive announced that the fourth wave of the epidemic was over, in line with what Professor Yuen Kwok-Yung said on Saturday. The government introduced a number of new measures to boost vaccination, but did not announce any relaxation of the prohibition on group gathering. I think, for both the society and the economy, it is meaningless to announce the end of the fourth wave of the epidemic if there is no relaxation of any gathering restriction. I would rather see the government not announce the end of the fourth wave if it would tighten the restrictions again. According to Professor Yuen, the fifth wave of the epidemic is bound to happen in the future, so it would be meaningless to maintain such tight epidemic measures. Hong Kong people have lost so much, including the cinemas, karaoke, and other different places and shops with Hong Kong characteristics. Statistics show that 90% of Hong Kong people think that the restrictions on gathering are too tight. How much more would Hong Kong people lose if we still do not relax the restriction on gathering?”**

# POP



---

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

---

**Latest Tracking Poll Results**

**June 1, 2021**

# Contact Information

14

- Date of survey: 17-21/5/2021
- Survey method: Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers
- Target population: Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above
- Sample size: 1,004 (including 494 landline and 510 mobile samples)
- Effective response rate: 52.5%
- Sampling error: Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-3% and that of ratings not more than +/-2.1 at 95% conf. level
- Weighting method: Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from “Mid-year population for 2020”, while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from “Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2020 Edition)”.

# Survey Topic

15

- June Fourth anniversary survey
  - People's Appraisal on June Fourth Incident
  - People's Appraisal and Expectation of China's Human Right Condition
  - Democratic and Economic Development in China
  - People's Appraisal on the HK Alliance in Support of Patriotic Democratic Movement in China ("the Alliance")

# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

## ● People's Appraisal on June Fourth Incident

	19-21/5/2020	17-21/5/2021	Change	Record
The Beijing students did the right thing	52%	42%	▼11% *	Record <b>low</b> since May 2002
The Beijing students did the wrong thing	20%	22%	▲2%	Record <b>high</b> since May 2017
The Chinese Government did the right thing	15%	19%	▲4% *	All-time record <b>high</b> since May 1993
The Chinese Government did the wrong thing	66%	54%	▼12% *	Record <b>low</b> since May 2002
There should be a reversion of the official stand on the incident	59%	47%	▼13% *	Record <b>low</b> since May 2003
There should not be a reversion of the official stand on the incident	23%	28%	▲5% *	Record <b>high</b> since May 2006


- From a broad perspective, Hong Kong people's mainstream opinion still holds that the Chinese Government was wrong in 1989, people still support the Beijing students and a reversion of the official stand on June Fourth, but all these figures have registered significant drops from last year.

\* Significant change



# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey


市民對北京學生及中國政府的評價 (按次計算)  
**People's Appraisal on the Beijing students and the Chinese Government (Per Poll)**  
 (5/1993 – 5/2021)



# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

18

市民對北京學生及中國政府的評價(按次計算)  
People's Appraisal on a reversion of the official stand on the incident (Per Poll)  
(5/1993 – 5/2021)




# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

19

## 市民對平反六四的看法 (按次計算) People's Opinion on a reversion of the official stand on the incident (Per Poll) (5/1997 – 5/2021)

◆ 支持平反六四 There should be a reversion of the official stand on the incident    ■ 不支持平反六四 There should not be a reversion of the official stand on the incident    ▲ 淨值 Net Value



調查月份 Month of Survey

『不支持平反六四』百分比以負向表示。  
Percentage of 'There should not be a reversion of the official stand on the incident' is presented as negative

# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

20

## ● People's Appraisal and Expectation of China's Human Right Condition

	19-21/5/2020	17-21/5/2021	Change	Record
Has improved since 1989	38%	43%	▲5% *	Record high since May 2019
Has worsened since 1989	43%	34%	▼9% *	Record low since May 2019
Would improve after 3 years	29%	37%	▲8% *	Record high since May 2017
Would worsen after 3 years	44%	33%	▼11% *	Record low since May 2018


- The percentages of those who consider the human rights condition in China worse than that in 1989 and those who think the condition will worsen in the next three years have also decreased significantly compared to a year ago.

\* Significant change

# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

21


市民對中國人權狀況回顧及展望 (按次計算)  
 People's Appraisal and Expectation of China's human right condition (Per Poll)  
 (5/1993 – 5/2021)



# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

22

市民對中國人權狀況回顧及展望 (按次計算)  
People's Appraisal and Expectation of China's human right condition (Per Poll)  
(5/1993 – 5/2021)



# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

23

## • Democratic and Economic Development in China

	19-21/5/2020	17-21/5/2021	Change	Record
HK people <b>have</b> a responsibility to instigate the development of <b>democracy</b> in China	51%	51%	--	--
HK people <b>have no</b> responsibility to instigate the development of <b>democracy</b> in China	36%	34%	▼2%	Record <b>low</b> since May 2019
HK people <b>have</b> a responsibility to instigate <b>economic</b> development in China	45%	53%	▲8% *	Record <b>high</b> since May 2019
HK people <b>have no</b> responsibility to instigate <b>economic</b> development in China	45%	37%	▼7% *	Record <b>low</b> since May 2019

- More Hong Kong people continue to think that they have a responsibility to promote democratic development in China while the number of respondents who thought Hong Kong people had a responsibility to instigate economic development in China surged and those who thought no such responsibility plunged.


\* Significant change

# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

24

香港人推動中國民主/經濟發展的責任 (按次計算)

Responsibility of Hong Kong people to instigate democracy/economic development in China  
(Per Poll) (5/1993 – 5/2021)



調查月份 Month of Survey

『有責任』百分比以負向表示。  
Percentages of 'Irresponsible' are presented as negative




# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

25

香港人推動中國民主/經濟發展的責任 (按次計算)

Responsibility of Hong Kong people to instigate democracy/economic development in China  
(Per Poll) (5/1993 – 5/2021)



# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

26

## • Democratic and Economic Development in China

	19-21/5/2020	17-21/5/2021	Change	Record
HK people should put more effort on instigating <b>economic</b> than democratic development in China	28%	34%	▲6% *	Record <b>high</b> since May 2018
HK people should put more effort on instigating <b>democratic</b> than economic development in China	44%	32%	▼12% *	Record <b>low</b> since May 2009
China should emphasize <b>economic</b> development more	29%	33%	▲4%	Record <b>high</b> since May 2010
China should emphasize <b>democratic</b> development more	49%	39%	▼10% *	Record <b>low</b> since May 2010


- When comparing democratic and economic development, the percentages of respondents who thought Hong Kong people should give more weight to the development of democracy and economic development in China are very close, while more Hong Kong people still think China should emphasize more on the development of democracy now.

\* Significant change

# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

27


你覺得香港人應該推動中國既經濟發展多些定係民主發展多些？(按次計算)  
Do you think Hong Kong people should put more effort on instigating development in China's economy or democracy? (Per Poll) (5/1993 – 5/2021)



# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

28

你覺得中國而家需要經濟發展多些定係民主發展多些？(按次計算)  
Which do you think China needs more: economic or democratic development (Per Poll)  
(5/1993 – 5/2021)



# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

29

- **People's Appraisal on the HK Alliance in Support of Patriotic Democratic Movement in China ("the Alliance")**

	19-21/5/2020	17-21/5/2021	Change	Record
Popularity rating of the Alliance	47.5	45.5	▼2.0	Record <b>low</b> since May 2015
The Alliance should be disbanded	24%	28%	▲4% *	All-time record <b>high</b> since May 1993
The Alliance should not be disbanded	43%	38%	▼5% *	Record <b>low</b> since May 1998

- Regarding the Hong Kong Alliance in Support of Patriotic Democratic Movement in China, 28% of the respondents said it should be disbanded, an **all-time high** since records began in 1993 whereas 38% said no, representing a **new low** since 1998. Its latest popularity rating stands at 45.5 marks.


\* Significant change

# Survey Result - June Fourth anniversary survey

30

## 市民對支聯會的評價(按次計算) People's Appraisal on the Alliance (Per Poll) (5/1992 – 5/2021)

■ 應該解散支聯會 The Alliance should be disbanded ■ 不應該解散支聯會 The Alliance should not be disbanded ■ 支聯會的評分 Popularity rating of the Alliance



調查月份 Month of Survey

『不應該解散支聯會』百分比以負向表示。  
Percentages of 'Alliance should not be disbanded' is presented as negative