Hong Kong Public Opinion Program
of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 70 cum "We Hongkongers" Research Report No. 50

Survey Date: 31 March to 7 April 2021

Release Date: 9 April 2021

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 70 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 50 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The research is co-organized with the Election Observation Project on the theme "HKSAR Electoral System by NPCSC".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	31 March, 3pm – 7 April, 3pm
Total sample size	7,119
Response rate	7.5%
Sampling error [1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. "95% confidence level" means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the "HKPOP Panel" recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses "HKPOP Panel" as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Success rate} \\
&= \frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the Hong Kong People Representative Panel}} \times 100.0\% \\
&= \frac{7,119}{94,415} \times 100.0\% \\
&= 7.5\%
\end{aligned}
$$

.

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:
- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above

- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:
- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:
- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:
- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong

- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the "We Hongkongers" Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

**Table 3: Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 31/3-7/4/2021**

Q1 The NPCSC has decided to amend the electoral system for the Chief Executive and the Legislative Council. **What do you think is the effect of such changes on the distance between Hong Kong society and democracy with universal suffrage**?		**Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=6,084)**	**Non-pro-democracy camp supporters[2] (Base=621)**	**Combined[3] (Base=6,705)**
Pulls them much closer	} **Pulls closer**	2% } 2%	6% } 16%	5% } 10%
Pulls them somewhat closer		0%	9%	6%
No effect		<1%	22%	14%
Stretches them somewhat farther apart	} **Stretches farther apart**	1% } **98%**	12% } **49%**	8% } **68%**
Stretches them much farther apart		**97%**	37%	**60%**
Don't know / hard to say		<1%	13%	8%
Mean[4]		1.1	2.3	1.8

[2]   Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3]   The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[4]   The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart, by camp type

* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

**Table 4: Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 31/3-7/4/2021**

Q2 How much do you support or oppose **the newly set up candidate eligibility review system**?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=6,085)		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters[5] (Base=622)		Combined[6] (Base=6,707)	
Strongly support }**Support**	2%	}2%	27%	}47%	17%	}29%
Somewhat support	<1%		19%		12%	
Half-half	<1%		12%		7%	
Somewhat oppose }**Oppose**	3%	}98%	6%	}37%	5%	}61%
Strongly oppose	**95%**		31%		**56%**	
Don't know / hard to say	<1%		4%		3%	
Mean[7]	1.1		3.1		2.3	

[5] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey.

[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart, by camp type

* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	3,383	55.4%	1,377	54.8%	356	56.7%	1,831	46.2%	3,739	55.5%	3,208	49.6%
Female	2,721	44.5%	1,125	44.8%	271	43.2%	2,128	53.8%	2,992	44.4%	3,253	50.3%
Other	8	0.1%	12	0.5%	1	0.2%	<1	<0.1%	9	0.1%	12	0.2%
Total	6,112	100.0%	2,514	100.0%	628	100.0%	3,959	100.0%	6,740	100.0%	6,474	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	962	15.8%	789	31.4%	50	8.0%	389	9.8%	1,012	15.0%	1,178	18.2%
30 - 39	1,483	24.3%	416	16.6%	118	18.8%	329	8.3%	1,601	23.8%	745	11.5%
40 - 49	1,491	24.4%	507	20.2%	151	24.0%	852	21.5%	1,642	24.4%	1,360	21.0%
50 - 59	1,371	22.5%	458	18.2%	186	29.6%	1,580	39.9%	1,557	23.1%	2,038	31.5%
60 or above	798	13.1%	343	13.6%	123	19.6%	809	20.4%	921	13.7%	1,152	17.8%
Total	6,105	100.0%	2,513	100.0%	628	100.0%	3,959	100.0%	6,733	100.0%	6,473	100.0%
Missing case(s)	7	0%	1	0%	0		0		7		1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	12	0.2%	13	0.5%	3	0.5%	27	0.7%	15	0.2%	40	0.6%
Secondary	892	14.6%	930	37.1%	134	21.4%	1,115	28.5%	1,026	15.2%	2,045	31.8%
Tertiary or above	5,201	85.2%	1,563	62.4%	489	78.1%	2,776	70.9%	5,690	84.5%	4,340	67.5%
Total	6,105	100.0%	2,507	100.0%	626	100.0%	3,918	100.0%	6,731	100.0%	6,425	100.0%
Missing case(s)	7	0%	7	0%	2		42		9		49	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	2,446	40.1%	338	13.5%	210	33.4%	1,112	28.1%	2,656	39.5%	1,450	22.4%
Clerks and service workers	1,613	26.5%	760	30.3%	168	26.8%	1,020	25.8%	1,781	26.5%	1,780	27.5%
Workers	122	2.0%	175	7.0%	18	2.9%	75	1.9%	140	2.1%	250	3.9%
Students	296	4.9%	492	19.6%	15	2.4%	215	5.4%	311	4.6%	707	10.9%
Home-makers / housewives	256	4.2%	156	6.2%	22	3.5%	108	2.7%	278	4.1%	265	4.1%
Others	1,365	22.4%	586	23.4%	195	31.1%	1,430	36.1%	1,560	23.2%	2,016	31.2%
Total	6,098	100.0%	2,507	100.0%	628	100.0%	3,959	100.0%	6,726	100.0%	6,466	100.0%
Missing case(s)	14		7		0		0		14		7	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	258	4.3%	47	1.9%	31	5.1%	144	3.7%	289	4.3%	190	3.0%
Wan Chai	135	2.2%	14	0.6%	14	2.3%	131	3.3%	149	2.2%	145	2.3%
Eastern	595	9.8%	135	5.4%	72	11.7%	427	10.9%	667	10.0%	561	8.8%
Southern	243	4.0%	93	3.7%	19	3.1%	86	2.2%	262	3.9%	178	2.8%
Yau Tsim Mong	246	4.1%	134	5.4%	24	3.9%	122	3.1%	270	4.1%	257	4.0%
Sham Shui Po	289	4.8%	151	6.1%	38	6.2%	228	5.8%	327	4.9%	379	5.9%
Kowloon City	330	5.5%	113	4.6%	33	5.4%	237	6.1%	363	5.5%	350	5.5%
Wong Tai Sin	309	5.1%	161	6.5%	30	4.9%	120	3.1%	339	5.1%	281	4.4%
Kwun Tong	419	6.9%	378	15.3%	44	7.2%	348	8.9%	463	7.0%	726	11.4%
Tsuen Wan	312	5.2%	199	8.0%	37	6.0%	232	5.9%	349	5.2%	430	6.7%
Tuen Mun	383	6.3%	104	4.2%	42	6.9%	211	5.4%	425	6.4%	315	4.9%
Yuen Long	404	6.7%	105	4.2%	50	8.2%	360	9.2%	454	6.8%	465	7.3%
North	189	3.1%	62	2.5%	18	2.9%	198	5.1%	207	3.1%	261	4.1%
Tai Po	271	4.5%	135	5.5%	22	3.6%	90	2.3%	293	4.4%	225	3.5%
Sai Kung	477	7.9%	71	2.9%	37	6.0%	331	8.5%	514	7.7%	402	6.3%
Sha Tin	726	12.0%	302	12.2%	59	9.6%	268	6.8%	785	11.8%	570	8.9%
Kwai Tsing	324	5.4%	214	8.7%	32	5.2%	310	7.9%	356	5.3%	524	8.2%
Islands	132	2.2%	56	2.3%	11	1.8%	75	1.9%	143	2.1%	132	2.1%
Total	6,042	100.0%	2,474	100.0%	613	100.0%	3,917	100.0%	6,655	100.0%	6,391	100.0%
Missing case(s)	70		40		15		42		85		82	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	3,462	56.6%	1,597	63.5%	0	0%	0	0%	3,462	51.4%	1,597	24.7%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0%	0	0%	37	5.9%	579	14.6%	37	0.5%	579	8.9%
Localist	2,650	43.4%	917	36.5%	0	0%	0	0%	2,650	39.3%	917	14.2%
Centrist	0	0%	0	0%	200	31.8%	1,213	30.6%	200	3.0%	1,213	18.7%
Others	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0%	0	0%	391	62.3%	2,168	54.7%	391	5.8%	2,168	33.5%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	6,112	100.0%	2,514	100.0%	628	100.0%	3,959	100.0%	6,740	100.0%	6,474	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	5,759	94.7%	1,392	56.0%	374	59.6%	425	10.7%	6,133	91.4%	1,817	28.2%
Non-democratic	13	0.2%	60	2.4%	109	17.4%	1,978	49.9%	122	1.8%	2,038	31.6%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	216	3.6%	474	19.1%	112	17.8%	1,099	27.8%	328	4.9%	1,574	24.4%
Not a registered voter	95	1.6%	560	22.5%	33	5.3%	458	11.6%	128	1.9%	1,018	15.8%
Total	6,083	100.0%	2,487	100.0%	628	100.0%	3,959	100.0%	6,711	100.0%	6,446	100.0%

*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	7,119
Survey period	31/3-7/4/2021
Success rate	7.5%
Questionnaires sent out	94,415
Questionnaires received	7,838
Ineligible samples	35
Invalid samples	464
Incomplete samples	220
Standard error	0.6%
Sampling error	3.7%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 31/3-7/4/2021

	Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
	Percentages (Base=2,508)		Percentages (Base=3,954)		Percentages (Base=6,461)	
Pulls them much closer	1.9%	}1.9%	6.5%	}15.9%	4.7%	}10.5%
Pulls them somewhat closer } Pulls closer	0%		9.4%		5.8%	
No effect	0.0%		22.2%		13.6%	
Stretches them somewhat farther apart	1.1%	}98.0%	11.9%	}49.3%	7.7%	}68.2%
Stretches them much farther apart } Stretches farther apart	96.9%		37.4%		60.5%	
Don't know / hard to say	0.0%		12.6%		7.7%	
Total	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values	1.1		2.3		1.7	
Standard error of mean	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median	1.0		2.0		1.0	
Refuse to answer	*7*		*6*		*12*	
Net value (Pulls closer- Stretches farther apart)	**-96.1%**		**-33.4%**		**-57.7%**	

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 31/3-7/4/2021

			Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
			Percentages		Percentages		Percentages	
			(Base=2,508)		(Base=3,959)		(Base=6,467)	
Strongly support			1.8%	}1.8%	27.2%	}46.5%	17.4%	}29.2%
Somewhat support	**} Support**		0.0%		19.3%		11.8%	
Half-half			0.1%		11.8%		7.3%	
Somewhat oppose			3.1%	}98.0%	6.2%	}37.3%	5.0%	}60.8%
Strongly oppose	**} Oppose**		94.9%		31.0%		55.8%	
Don't know / hard to say			0.0%		4.4%		2.7%	
Total			100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values			1.1		3.1		2.2	
Standard error of mean			<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median			1.0		3.0		1.0	
Refuse to answer			*7*		*<1*		*7*	
Net value (Support- Oppose)			**-96.1%**		**+9.3%**		**-31.6%**	

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (31/3-7/4/2021)

HKPORI: We Hongkongers Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral, we welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again at any time to express your latest views, and your last submission will be collated. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk .

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx xx:xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

*Required

(Registered member) Panel ID: *

(Please do not alter the pre-filled ID)

_____

(Registered member) Invitation token: *

(Please do not alter the pre-filled token)

_____

(New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at https://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en *

◯   Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)

◯   Have just registered as a member

◯   Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)

Eligibility Confirmation

(New member)

Email used / will be used in registration: *

_____

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? *

◯   Yes

◯   No

We Hongkongers

The NPCSC has decided to amend the electoral system for the Chief Executive and the Legislative Council. What do you think is the effect of such changes on the distance between Hong Kong society and democracy with universal suffrage?

◯   Pulls them much closer

◯   Pulls them somewhat closer

◯   No effect

◯   Stretches them somewhat farther apart

◯   Stretches them much farther apart

◯   Don't know / hard to say

How much do you support or oppose the newly set up candidate eligibility review system?

◯   Strongly support

◯   Somewhat support

◯   Half-half

◯   Somewhat oppose

◯   Strongly oppose

◯   Don't know / hard to say

Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?

(Please select the closest answer. If you have another answer, please fill in the last item.)

○ 0% chance (Certainly not)
○ 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)
○ 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)
○ 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
○ 1% chance (1 in 100)
○ 5% chance (1 in 20)
○ 10% chance (1 in 10)
○ 15% chance
○ 20% chance
○ 25% chance
○ 30% chance
○ 35% chance
○ 40% chance
○ 45% chance
○ 50% chance
○ 60% chance
○ 70% chance
○ 80% chance
○ 90% chance
○ 100% chance (Certainly will)
○ Don't know / hard to say
○ Other: _____

Other Opinions

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

○ Very much satisfied
○ Somewhat satisfied
○ Half-half
○ Somewhat dissatisfied
○ Very much dissatisfied
○ Don't know / hard to say

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam? [For weighting purposes only]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 8888)

_____

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current political condition in Hong Kong?

◯ Very much satisfied

◯ Somewhat satisfied

◯ Half-half

◯ Somewhat dissatisfied

◯ Very much dissatisfied

◯ Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current economic condition in Hong Kong?

◯ Very much satisfied

◯ Somewhat satisfied

◯ Half-half

◯ Somewhat dissatisfied

◯ Very much dissatisfied

◯ Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current livelihood condition in Hong Kong?

◯ Very much satisfied

◯ Somewhat satisfied

◯ Half-half

◯ Somewhat dissatisfied

◯ Very much dissatisfied

◯ Don't know / hard to say

<mark>Other Opinions</mark>

Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

_____

Do you have any needs which you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other persons you name here? (Please write down your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study, we simply want to bridge people who need help with people who can help.)

_____

Personal Information (Registered member)

The following section is about personal information, including: gender, age, educational attainment, occupation, political inclination, area of residence, voting behaviour in the 2019 District Council Election. If you have already provided relevant information in the previous PopPanel survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

○ I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)

○ Provide / update personal information now

Personal Information

Gender

○   Male

○   Female

○   Other

Age

_____

Age range

(If prefer not to provide exact age)

○   12 - 14

○   15 - 17

○   18 - 19

○   20 - 24

○   25 - 29

○   30 - 34

○   35 - 39

○   40 - 44

○   45 - 49

○   50 - 54

○   55 - 59

○   60 - 64

○   65 - 69

○   70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

○   Primary or below

○   Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)

○   Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)

○   Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)

○   Tertiary: bachelor degree course

○   Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

○   Administrator and professional

○   Clerical and service worker

○   Production worker

○   Student

○   Home-maker / housewife

○   Retired person

○   Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed

○   Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

○   Localist

○   Pro-democracy camp

○   Centrist

○   Pro-establishment camp

○   No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

○   Don't know / hard to say

○   Other: _____

Area of residence

○   Central & Western District

○   Wan Chai

○   Eastern District

○   Southern District

○   Yau Tsim Mong

○   Sham Shui Po

○   Kowloon City

○   Wong Tai Sin

○   Kwun Tong

○   Tsuen Wan

○   Tuen Mun

○   Yuen Long

○   North District

○   Tai Po

○   Sai Kung

○   Sha Tin

○   Kwai Tsing

○   Islands

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

○   Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist

○   Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist

○   Don't know / hard to say

○   Blank / void vote

○   Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)

○   Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk or call us at 3844 3111 during office hours.